

**IN THE SHADOW
OF PLENTY**

Other books by George Grant

Bringing in the Sheaves:

Transforming Poverty into Productivity, 1985

The Dispossessed:

Homelessness in America, 1986

To the Work: Ideas

for Biblical Charity, 1987

IN THE SHADOW OF PLENTY

The Biblical Blueprint
for Welfare

George Grant

DOMINION PRESS • FT. WORTH, TEXAS

THOMAS NELSON, INC. • NASHVILLE • CAMDEN • NEW YORK

Copyright © 1986 by George Grant

All rights reserved. Written permission must be secured from the publisher to use or reproduce any part of this book, except for brief quotations in critical reviews or articles.

Co-published by Dominion Press, Ft. Worth, Texas, and Thomas Nelson, Inc., Nashville, Tennessee., .

Printed in the United States of America

Unless otherwise noted, all Scripture quotations **are** from the New King James Version of the Bible, copyrighted 1984 by Thomas Nelson, Inc., Nashville, Tennessee.

Library of Congress **Catalog** Card Number 86-050794

I S B N **0-930462-17-3**

To my family:

Karen, my lovely wife
Joel, Joanna and **Jesse**, my three wonderful children
the **Blakleys**, **Grants**, **Dougherty**, **Casons**, and **McCarthy's**
as well as
my BF kith and **kin**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Editor's Introduction	xi
Part I: THE BIBLICAL BLUEPRINT: 10 PRINCIPLES	
Author's Introduction,	3
1. Word and Deed Evangelism	9
2. The Samaritan Legacy	25
3. Dominion by Service	38
4. HiHo, HiHo, It's Off to Work WeGo	49
5. Sheaves For the Provident	58
6. Charity Begins at Home	67
7. Uplifting the Uplifters	78
8. The Unbroken Circle	88
9. Exceeding What is Written	96
10. The Foundation of Peace	107
Part II: THE BIBLICAL BLUEPRINT: 3 STRATEGIES	
11. What the Government Should Do	121
12. What the Church Should Do	136
13. What Families Should Do	151
For Further Reading	159
Scripture Index	161
Subject Index	173
What Are Biblical Blueprints?	175

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS'

In his renowned *Memoirs* Sir James Mackintosh, the 18th century's "philosophical politician" wrote a noble tribute to his devoted wife. In acknowledging the **efforts** of my beloved Karen, I can do little better than to re-phrase his sentiments, **his** divine union being so **akin** to my own.

"I was guided in my choice only by the blind affection of my youth. I found an intelligent companion and a tender friend, a prudent monitress, the most faithful of wives, and a mother as tender as children ever had the fortune to have. I met a woman who by the tender management of my weaknesses, has painlessly taken to correcting the most pernicious of them . . . During the most critical periods of my life, she has **preserved** order in my affairs, from the care of which she relieved me. She has gently reclaimed me from depression; she has propped my weak and irresolute nature; and she has been perpetually at **hand** to admonish my heedlessness and improvidence. To her I owe whatever I am; to her whatever I shall be."

Others, who have stood in the gap as I have labored to "tangibilitate" this manuscript include: my elders Frank Marshall, Kemper Crabb, Dave Marshall, and Brian Martin; my mentors James B. Jordan, David Chilton, and Gary North; my publishers David Dunham and John Mauldin; my HELP co-workers J. D. McWilliams and Suzanne Martin; my "midnight oil compatriots G. K. Chesterton, Lloyd Billingsley, William Gibson, Bruce Sterling," Alexander **Schmemann**, R. L. Dabney, 'R. J. Rush-

In the Shadow of Plenty

doony, J. R. R. Tolkien, and (believe it if you can, Captain) William Ashbless; and of course my dearest friends in life: Ponch, Peut, and Punk.

The Second Sunday of Epiphany, 1986
Humble, Texas

EDITOR'S INTRODUCTION

by *Gary North*

“But would you let people starve?”

This question is the standard last-ditch response by a person who has heard half a dozen arguments, with the supporting **evidence**, that public welfare financed by taxation (1) increases the number of people who are poor, (2) increases the dependence on the state of welfare recipients, (3) reduces per capita productivity in the society and **therefore** reduces per capita wealth, (4) creates **resentment** among voters, (5) keeps growing despite the failure of the programs, and (6) benefits mostly middle-class, welfare bureaucrats. The evidence is clear; hardly anyone disputes it any , more (ten years ago, they still **did**).

Nevertheless, millions-of voters (including Christians) cannot follow with their hearts where their minds are **taking** them. So they argue that the person who is bringing them **this** unpleasant information is himself callous. He is heartless. He doesn't care about the poor. “Would you let people starve?”

Now,, George Grant has answered **that** question. He has avoided the trap of *liberal humanism* by facing squarely the complete failure of the welfare system. He has also avoided the trap of *conservative humanism* by embracing the necessity to demonstrate compassion and justice. In short, he has done what has **seldom**—if ever—been done before: He has answered the poverty **question Biblically**. And he has answered with more than mere words.

George Grant is the pastor of a small church that has **effectively** implemented the principles described in this book in their local community. In fact, they have **so** effectively **implemented** them that they have gained national media attention and notoriety

over the past several years.

What have they done that is so unique, so provocative, and so successful that ABC, CBS, and *The Wall Street Journal* have had to sit up and take notice? Very simply, they have **believed and practiced the Biblical blueprint for welfare**. They have understood that poverty is a *spiritual* concern that can only be addressed **in a spiritual fashion**.

Poverty and Hell

Question: What is temporal hunger compared to eternal hell? Physical hunger is a down payment from God on what lies **ahead:** spiritual hunger for eternity.

Of course the humanist rejects the **Bible's** explanation of the origin of hunger. In his view, there is no God who interacts with men in **history**. There never was an **Adam** and Eve who ate **from** a forbidden tree. The Lord's Supper does not restore fellowship between regenerate Christians and some sovereign God who judges men and nations in history. So there obviously is no link between **food** and salvation, or especially **between** hunger and damnation. Therefore, the humanist demands a solution to the problem of hunger that **makes** no reference to God, the church, salvation, heaven, and hell.

Well, the Bible also has a more earth-bound **answer** to the **question** of why people are hungry. This answer doesn't make **humanists** happy, either, but there is one. The Biblical answer is: **"People** who don't produce anything of value are going to starve, whether we legislate any tax-financed welfare programs or not."

This answer also bothers the critics. **This** answer categorically denies that the state, with its powers of taxation, can cure mankind's fundamental problems. But these people believe in the state. (These days, this **twentieth-century** faith is rapidly waning; but so far they don't believe in anything else higher than the state, or more **effective** than the state, so by default, they still believe in the state.) They believe that man can save himself. They believe that God doesn't hold men responsible for their actions. **Ultimately**, they **believe** in *salvation through legislation, the ancient her-*

esy that the New Testament preaches against, *salvation by law*.

The Bible is **very** clear: each individual is fully responsible before **God** for his sin. God really does send people **to hell** for eternity. If they die in their sins without proclaiming their faith in Jesus' Christ as the sin-bearer who became God's substitute sacrifice at Calvary, then they are judged by God and put into eternal screaming agony forever.

The argument over poverty and its cure is ultimately an argument over hell and its cure. The cure for hell is faith in the saving work of Jesus Christ at Calvary. This is also the cure for poverty.

T H E **B i b l e ' s C u r e** f o r P o v e r t y , , .

Has Biblical law anything to do with the Bible's solution to poverty? It has *everything* to do with it. The Psalmist says:

I have been young, and now am old; yet **I** have not seen the righteous forsaken, Nor his descendants begging bread. **He** is ever "merciful, and lends; "And his descendants are blessed. **Depart** from evil, and do good; And dwell forevermore. For the **Lord** loves justice, And does not forsake His saints; They are preserved forever, But the descendants of the wicked shall be cut off. The righteous shall inherit the land, And dwell in it forever (Psalms 37:25-29).

There is a tight relationship between wickedness and poverty. There is **also** a tight connection between righteousness and having enough to eat. 'God places **the** unrighteous! under covenanted judgment: *cursing*. Their descendants are cut off. He places the righteous under **covenantal** judgment, too: *blessing*. They are never found begging, and their descendants inherit the earth.

This is what outrages the humanists, pagan Third World tyrants, theologically **liberal** Christians, Christian college social science professors, **and the** welfare state politicians who are buying **people's votes** with tax money. It means that the cure for poverty is faithfulness to **God** and obedience to His revealed Word, **the Bible**. Furthermore, *there is no other cure for long-tam poverty except outward obedience to, God's Kingdom principles*. Even God-haters can benefit **externally** if they conform themselves outwardly to these

'principles. These **principles work**, unlike people on public welfare. This means that God, **and** -only "God, can. permanently **heal** hearts, 'minds, families, and **nations**. But men who are in rebellion against **God** do not **want** 'to hear **this** message. They **want** healing, but **they** want it on their terms. They are unwilling to become **humble before** God, but they **know** that to gain healing, they must become humble before the healer. The state is the **self-proclaimed** healer in this century, so men become humble before the state, as the institutional incarnation **of** man.

A few **humanists** don't become humble before **the** state. These are the people who think they run the world. They think they control their environment because they think they control the **state**: -They don't:, God controls the state (Remans **13:1-7**). But He allows them to deceive themselves for a time, and to become instruments of His wrath, sometimes even against God's people, who have **drifted** away from His principles of righteousness. Those who think they run the **world** through power become the modern-day equivalents of the Philistine, Assyrians, and Babylonians, who brought God's **Old** Covenant people under temporary judgment. God always destroys these pagan judges.

One **of** the judgments that God's people are brought under today is the tax-financed welfare system. The tax burden **to** support the various welfare programs takes the lion's share of the Federal budget each year. Christians -are under bondage to the state and the tax collector because they have preached, and believed that God's mandatory tithe often percent of income to the **church** is' somehow optional, that Christians should "give as' the Spirit moves them," and this so-called Spirit (men's own deceptive hearts) has for a hundred years "moved?.Christians to give a lot less than ten percent. (What the **real** Holy Spirit tells men is found in the Bible: a mandatory ten percent tithe.) The **tithe** is built into man's heart. It is a **covenantal requirement before** God. So, under the pressure of **guilt**, voters have **allowed** the state to collect four times the tithe they would have owed to God. Either we pay a tithe to God, or we pay far more. **thán** a tithe to Caesar.

Redemption

How do we obtain exodus **from** our present slavery to the state? How do we gain our **redemption** from bondage ("**redemption**": to buy back)? **By** faithful obedience to God and His decrees. **By** taking up the work of Biblical charity. **By** tithing once again, and then **by** adding gifts and **offerings**.

But , ' some will protest, the task is too great, and besides we already pay far more than a tithe in taxes. True enough. Slaves **do** face tremendous obstacles and struggle against tremendous **liabilities**. But we sold *ourselves* into. political slavery because we accepted the political conclusions of 'a. false religion: that **the** state **brings** earthly healing and salvation, not God. SO, having- sold ourselves into **slavery**, we must now reverse our **allegiances** and **change** our conclusions.

We owe ten **percent** to God's **church**. That-isn't our **redemption money**; that's the **day-to-day**, run-of-the-mill minimum **payment** that we always owe. (By the way, this is ten percent of income *after taxes*. We don't owe a tithe on money **stolen** from us before we get it. The farmer doesn't pay a tithe on that portion of his crop that. the locusts ate, nor do we pay taxes on the money that the tax collectors took.) Not only do we 'owe the normal tithe **to** - the church (and **to** no other institution), we **also** need to dig deeper into our wallets and -start supporting works of Biblical charity. This *after-tithe* money is the money we should earmark for the **almshouses**, the food 'banks, the independent foreign missions agencies, the crisis pregnancy centers,' the rescue missions, and the sheltering homes. First, ten percent to the church; second, extra money for Biblical charity.

But we also owe God's church service. Dollars are not enough. We must put our hand to the plow and do the real labor of charity. We can not effect **reconstruction** by proxy. We must, as Grant so aptly points out "**transform poverty** into productivity." The only way **we** can do that is to go *to work*.

We work our way up and out of slavery with our gifts and offerings and with our service. We prove to the world that we *don't*

intend to let everyone starve. We thereby buildup institutional alternatives to state- **welfare programs.**

“State **welfare programs** are dying anyway. They will **die with** the death **of the dollar.** When the economic crises ‘hit the world economy’ before the **year 2000**, the whole welfare system **will break down.** **At that point, we will learn** the truth of **Christ’s principle** of leadership: *dominion through service.* *Those who take responsibility” during a “crisis are those to whom power flows.* --
 -Christians had **better be** ready **to** effect private welfare programs if they **want to** take public and private power. Those who stand ready to **take** power: **secret** societies, criminal syndicates, revolutionary groups, and cults, also stand ready to comfort the sick, and care for the, widows. The Mafia “Don” **is always** there to help- the locals. **His** ability to help is **basic** to his **power.** He **will** help with a **small** portion of his stolen money or **goods, but** those who need the help **are not** picky. They will give him their allegiance while’ his ability to help holds out.

Therefore, it is our job as Christians to preach a **Word-and-deed** Gospel. **We** must preach both with “our mouths and our actions. We must **regain** dominion through more effective service, both to God and to **the** lost. We must offer the poor both the bread of life and the bread of grain. We must **offer them shelter** from hell and shelter from the weather.

Thankfully, **George Grant** shows us *how.*

Part I

***THE BIBLICAL BLUEPRINT:
10 PRINCIPLES***

Blessed is he who considers the poor, The Lord will deliver him in **the** time of trouble. The Lord will preserve him and keep him alive, and he **will** be blessed on **the** earth . . . The **Lord** will strengthen him on his bed of illness' and sustain him on his sickbed.

Psalm **41:1-3**

AUTHOR'S INTRODUCTION

There is starving in the shadow of plenty.

Still.

Poverty abounds **in the** midst of affluence. And this, despite a massive “war on **poverty**” that has marshaled billions of dollars, thousands of experts, and hundreds of programs into an unprecedented arsenal of **social** activism.

Pitiful ‘ragmen haunt the garbage-strewn alleyways just off Michigan Avenue in Chicago.

Ruthless teenage gangs; riven with hunger **and** hopelessness, pillage the barrios of east **L.A.**’

Young **mothers** from Gary, Indiana’s “**burned over district**” frequent the infamous “lakeside **strip,**” made over in **skintight** gold **lamé** and spandex, supplementing welfare with a few “tricks” on the sly.

‘With their every earthly possession crammed into filthy shopping bags, the homeless women of Manhattan’s midtown wander aimlessly through the rush-hour crowds in Grand Central Station.

‘Tenement dwellers in east St. Louis line up in swollen fury outside dilapidated government buildings after their food stamps allotment fails to suffice to the end of the month.

In a tent city hugging the bank of the San **Jacinto** River just north of Houston’s vast petro-chemical complex, elementary school children disembark from their buses and trudge slowly through the muck and the **mire** toward the cardboard shanties they call ‘home.’”

The “**war** on poverty” was supposed to rid our land of the horrid specter of hunger and privation. It **was** supposed to fit every

citizen for productivity and self-sufficiency. It was supposed to usher in a new era of abundance and prosperity. According to its champion, President Lyndon Johnson, it was "supposed to eliminate the paradox of poverty in the midst of plenty."

But more than two decades later, the paradox remains. The "war on poverty" is a dismal failure.

Poverty is actually increasing. In 1950, one-in-twelve Americans (about 21 million) lived below the poverty line. In 1979, that figure had risen to one-in-nine (about 26 million). Today, one-in-seven (33.7 million) fall below the line.

More than one-fourth of all American children live in poverty (up from 9.3% in 1950 and 14.9% in 1970). And for black children under age six, the figures are even more dismal: a record 51.2%.

Today, 81% percent of elderly women living, alone live in poverty, all too often in abject poverty, up from a mere 37% in 1954.

As many as three million Americans are homeless, living out of the backs of their cars, under bridges, in abandoned warehouses, atop streetside heating grates, or in lice-infested public shelters. Even at the height of the depression, when dust-bowl refugees met with the "grapes of wrath" on America's highways and byways, there have never been so many dispossessed wanderers.

Crime is up. Educational standards are down. Unemployment figures have finally climbed down from "recession" highs to "recovery" lows, but before the bureaucrats strike up the band, close scrutiny should be given to the fact that long-term, and hard core unemployment continues unabated, inching toward record highs every month.

Amidst all this human carnage, where have the masterminds behind the "war on poverty" been? What have they been doing?

Very simply, they have been squandering vast amounts of time, money, and resources.

In 1951, spending for all the government's social welfare programs barely topped \$4 billion. By 1976, the "Great Society" had far superceded the legacy of "Camelot," spending \$34.6 billion. In 1981, welfare activists were appalled by the "scrooge sentiment" in Washington when social welfare spending was "limited" to a "mere" \$316.6 billion!

Food stamps spending rose **from** \$577 million in 1970 to an astonishing high of \$10.9 billion in 1984.'

In the **two-and-a-half** decades since the Eisenhower **administration** vacated the White House, since the "war on poverty" was initiated: health and medical expenditures have increased *sixfold* (in constant dollars); public assistance costs have **risen** *thirteenfold* (again in constant dollars); education **expenditures** outstripped pre-reform levels *twenty-four times*; social insurance costs rose *twenty-seven times*; and housing costs inflated a whopping *one hundred twenty-nine times*.

By 1984, social **welfare** spending of every sort, including social security Aid for Families with Dependent Children (**AFDC**), Unemployment Insurance, Supplemental Security Income (SS1), Workman's Compensation, and Food Stamps claimed 63.4% of the federal budget.

But instead of making things better, this extremely costly, ever-escalating "war on poverty" has only made things *worse*. The very policies that were intended to *help* the poor have only aggravated their problems. Welfare policies have undermined families, encouraged promiscuity, promoted dependence, and provided disincentives to work and industry.

The "**war** on poverty" has been fought with righteous verve and passionate zeal. But what are the spoils of this "**war**"?

There is more misery than ever before.

There is more hopelessness than ever before.

There is more poverty than ever before.

W h y ?

Why have all the **best-laid** plans fallen to ruin? Why have all the grandest of resources been so **blatantly squandered**? Why has the "war" been an utter failure?

Why? Because the "war on poverty" completely **ignored**, and as a consequence violated, **God's** blueprint for living: the Bible.

The bureaucrats in Washington who have waged the "war on poverty" over the years certainly cannot be **faulted** for their concern over the plight of the poor (Psalm **41:1**). Where **they** went wrong was in taking matters into their own hands. Instead of adhering to the wise and inerrant counsel of scripture, they "did

what **was** “right in **their** own eyes” (Judges **21:25**). For all their good intentions, their programs were blatantly man centered. In other words, they were humanistic!

‘ “All Scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is **profitable** for **doctrine**, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness, that the man of God maybe ‘complete, thoroughly equipped for every good work.” (2 Timothy **3:16-17**). Thus, to attempt the ‘good work’ of poverty relief without taking heed to the **clear** instructions of the Bible is utter foolishness (Remans **1:18-23**). It is to invite inadequacy and incompetency (Deuteronomy 28:15).. All such attempts are doomed to **frustration** and failure, as the “war on poverty” has so amply and aptly proven. Humanism and its various programs; policies, **and** agendas can’t **work**, because **humanism** is out of touch with reality (**Ephesians 5:6**). It is fraught with fantasy (**Colossians 2:8**). Only the Bible can tell **us** of things as they **really** are (**Psalms 19:7-11**). Only the Bible faces reality squarely, practically, completely, and honestly (Deuteronomy **30:11-14**). Thus, only the Bible can illumine genuine solutions to the problems that plague mankind (**Psalms 119:105**).

This book’s primary intention is to look **simply** and briefly at what the Bible says about poverty relief. What does Scripture teach concerning welfare? Or work? **Or** charity? **Or** entitlement programs? What about the civil government’s role? **Or** that of private initiative? Or the churches? And what about income redistribution? What does the Bible **really** say about justice, mercy, and compassion? Or civil rights and **affirmative** action? Or oppression and bondage?

Once a clear and principled picture has been drawn of the Bible’s blueprint for relief, then-and only **then—can** specific policy recommendations be made (Deuteronomy 15:4-8). Only then can strategies be outlined, tactics designed, and programs initiated (Joshua **1:8**). -

But, don’t get the idea that simply because this book focuses most of its attention on Biblical principles that it is a book of theory and not a book of practice. Because the Bible is itself by nature practical (Proverbs 3:5-6), this book is inevitably practical

as well. In fact, we hope that it will prove to be helpful as a **manual** for action (James 1:22).

Christian philosopher Cornelius Van Til has said, ‘the Bible is authoritative on everything of which it speaks. And it speaks of everything.’ Even of such mundane matters as poverty and welfare. Thus, to evoke Scripture’s blueprint for our cosmopolitan culture’s complex dilemmas is not some naive resurrection of musty, dusty archaisms. “More than that, blessed are those who hear the word of God, and keep it!” (Luke 11:28) for, “. . . the Scripture cannot be broken” (John 10:35). ‘

What does it profit, my **brethren**, if someone says he has faith but does not have deeds? Can that faith save him? If a brother or sister is naked and destitute of daily food, and one of you says to them, “Depart in peace, be **warmed** and filled,” but you do not give them the things which are needed **for the** body, what does it profit? Thus also faith by itself, if it does not have deeds is dead.

James **2:14-17**

WORD AND DEED EVANGELISM

Also for Adam and his wife the LORD God made, tunics, of skin, ‘and clothed them (Genesis ‘3:21).’

Poverty is nothing new. It has plagued mankind **from the** very beginning of time. **Almost.**

It all started in the garden.

Adam and Eve impoverished themselves amidst the riches of Eden by sinning against God and transgressing His Law. **Suddenly**, there in the shadow of plenty, they knew real lack. They became utterly destitute.

Pain and sorrow became their lot (Genesis 3:16). Hardship and calamity became the course of their lives (Genesis 3:17) They fell from riches to rags, from a well-watered **garden** to a progressively more wretched wasteland (Genesis 3:18-19, 23-24).

When God came to them in the cool of the day, they were huddled together in their misery and their shame (Genesis 3:7-8). He looked upon their broken estate and saw their pitiful poverty.

So how did He respond to them? What did God do?

First, He pronounced a Word of judgement on them. He conducted a kind of courtroom lawsuit against them: questioning, in terrogating, cross’ examining, and sentencing. He judged their sin (Genesis 3:14-19).

Next, He pronounced a Word of hope for them. He opened the prophetic books and revealed the promise of a Deliverer, a Savior. He gave them **good** news (Genesis 3:15).

And finally, He confirmed His Word with deeds. He killed an animal (or animals) and clothed them in **the** hides. He covered

them. He showed them mercy. He **matched** judgement and grace with charity (Genesis 3:21).

There in the cool of the garden, in the shadow of plenty, God confronted -the **sin** of Adam **and** Eve. And He did it by meeting **their** deprivation with judgement first, good news second, and charity third.

This is the Biblical model, the divine model, of true *evangelism*.

True evangelism announces to sinful men that they have **disobeyed** a Holy God, that He will find them out, and that He will pronounce **judgement** against them.

True evangelism also offers hope. It tells sinful men that there is a Savior who crushes the serpent’s head and redeems them from their plight. .

But **as** “essential as those two announcements are, true **evangelism** is not complete without **charity**. True evangelism involves both Word and deed.

God *verified* **His** Word of judgement and His Word of hope with sacrificial, merciful compassion. *That* is true evangelism.

When we proclaim the gospel to the nations, we must take great care to follow this model. If we fail to share God’s abhorrence of sin and rebellion, we haven’t truly evangelized. If we fail to share God’s gracious provision of the cross of Christ, we haven’t truly evangelized. This should be quite evident from the Scriptures.. But at the same time, if we leave out the charity that *testifies* to the *ultimate charity* of God, then we haven’t truly **evangelized**, either. That should be equally evident from the Scriptures.

Excuses, Excuses

Have you ever noticed the excuses that Adam and Eve gave for their sin?

‘Adam said, ‘The woman whom You gave to ‘be with me, *she* gave me of-the tree, and **I** ate” (Genesis 3:12). “Not me! It was **her!**”

Eve said, “The serpent deceived me and I ate” (Genesis 3:13). “Not me! It was **him!**”

Now in all fairness, neither of them actually lied. Both excuses

were true. But they were, *lame* excuses, nonetheless. Both sinners refused to face up to the fact: *They* had actually disobeyed God Almighty. They had no one to blame but themselves.

But blame they **did**: **Adam** blamed Eve, and Eve blamed the serpent.

Even that was not the worst of it, -though. *Both of them also blamed God*. It was the woman *God* had given to him who was at fault, Adam said. In other words, “God, YOU messed up. You placed me in a poor environment. I was only responding to my circumstances. **It’s** not my fault, God. It’s **Your** fault!”

Eve said just about the same thing. “Look God, I was deceived. It wasn’t my fault. I’m just a woman.’ This serpent here is very shrewd. Devilish, even. **He** knew **just how** to ‘deceive the **likes** of me. So why’ **did You** let him into **the** garden? It’s all **Your** fault. ‘You should have known better than to let me be taken advantage of!”

This sort of argument is the essence of sinful, rebellious poverty. Wherever it exists, there can be no escape from the downward spiral of want. If we refuse to regard ourselves **as** responsible agents before God, if **we** refuse to see our environment as something to be transformed by righteous labor, thrift, and planning for the future, then poverty **of body** and soul is our inescapable lot. If, like Adam and **Eve**, we insist that somebody else is responsible for our condition, then we will always **be** poor.

That is why God issues His Word of *judgement*. He will not let us excuse our sin., He forces us to accept personal responsibility for our sorry lot.

G r a c e a n d C h a r i t y

But God does not leave us under stern condemnation. He matches **judgement** with grace and charity.

Adam and Eve sinned. *Then* they tried to cover their **sin** by their own pitiful works: fig leaf aprons. *Then* they tried to hide from God. *Then* they tried to blame each other, or their **environment**, or God, or anyone but themselves.

They were **deserving** of death (Genesis 2:17; Remans 6:23). They had eaten the forbidden fruit. But God mercifully relented. Instead of immediately executing His holy wrath upon them, **he** graciously extended their lives.

And not only that, He graciously tended to their needs as well. He covered them.

God extended their lives *by grace*. He looked forward in time to the death of His Son Jesus, and He **afforded** them life for the **sake of** that ultimate sacrifice. ”

God covered their nakedness with *charity*. He looked forward in time to the robe of Christ’s righteousness and He **afforded** them covering for the sake of that ultimate substitution.

God gave them grace (life). And God gave them *charity* (covering). Clearly, grace and charity are, two sides of the same coin. Both come from the same **root** word in Greek: *charis*. Both flow forth from the mercy seat of Almighty God. Both are **necessary** to complete the work of evangelism begun by judgement.

God *gave*. Man received. Grace’ and charity quick on **the** heels of **judgement**. There was no legal obligation involved except **the** legal obligation that man trust and submit to God.

True evangelism always adheres to **this** pattern. It involves two clear messages: the coming **judgement** of God, and God’s lawful way **of** escape in Christ the sin-bearer. Thus, the evangelist actually imitates God’s pronouncement of **judgement against** the sinner and *grace to the* sinner when he preaches the’ gospel.

But **if** he stops there, he has not truly evangelized. Evangelism is not just words. It also involves **deeds**. It involves charity by the message-bearer, who imitates God’s gift of the coverings to Adam and Eve. God is’ the model for judgement, grace, *and* charity.

Isaiah’s Evangelism

We see this evangelical pattern in **the** testimony of the prophet Isaiah. **He** announces **judgement**. He announces a way of escape. And **then he** issues a call **to** charity.

Following God's model he said:

Cry aloud, spare not; Lift up your voice like a trumpet; Declare to My people their' transgression, And the house of Jacob their sins . . . Is this not the fast that I have chosen: To loose the bonds of wickedness, To undo the heavy burdens, To let the oppressed go free, And that you break every yoke? Is it not to share , your bread with the hungry, And that you bring to your house the poor who are cast out; When you see the naked, that you cover him, And not to hide yourself from your own flesh? Then your light will break out like the morning, Your healing shall spring forth speedily, And your righteousness shall go before you; The glory of the Lord shall be your rear guard. Then you shall call, and the Lord will answer; You shall cry, and He will say, "Here I am." If you take away the yoke from your midst, The pointing of the finger, and speaking wickedness, If you extend your soul to the hungry, And satisfy the afflicted soul, Then your light shall dawn - in the darkness, And your darkness shall be as the noonday. The Lord will guide you continually, And satisfy your soul in drought, And strengthen your bones; You shall be like a watered garden; -And like a spring of water, whose waters do not fail. Those from among you shall build the old waste places; You shall raise up the foundations of many generations; And you shall be called the Repairer of the Breach, The Restorer of Streets to dwell in (Isaiah 58:1, 6-12).

God made His evangelistic program clear to Isaiah. *First*, he was to tell the people of Judah that they were in sin: "Declare to My people their transgression." *Second*, he was to reveal the way" out: They were to fast in repentance. *Finally*, he was to point them toward righteous charity: They *were* not to starve themselves in a *ritual* fast, but to loosen the bonds of wickedness, to let the oppressed go free, to feed the hungry, to invite the homeless into their homes, to provide clothing fo'r the naked.

Once again, here is God's plan of evangelism: First, announce' the judgement of sin; second, proclaim the good news of hope; and third, take up the work of charity. First, *wrath against sin*. Second, *grace covering over sin*. And third, *charity soothing the hurts of sin*.

Christ’s Evangelism

Jesus too confirmed this Word and deed pattern of evangelism. When He began His public ministry in the town of Nazareth, He went into the synagogue, as was His custom, and stood up to read. What He read was significant: the passage from Isaiah 61 that deals with the coming of the Messiah.

Who is the Messiah? The Anointed One who preaches the gospel to the, poor:

The Spirit of the Lord is upon Me, Because He has anointed Me to preach the gospel to the poor. He has sent Me to heal the brokenhearted, To preach deliverance to the captives, And recovery of sight to the blind, To set at liberty those who are oppressed, To preach the acceptable year of the Lord (Luke 4:18-19).

Isaiah had prophesied that the Anointed One would go into the highways and byways to heal the lame, to give sight to the blind, and to comfort the brokenhearted. Jesus proved His position as the Messiah by doing literally what Isaiah said He would do. So in the synagogue He boldly announced the prophetic fulfillment: “Today this Scripture is fulfilled in your hearing” (Luke 4:21).

Christ never shied away from announcing God’s condemnation of sin (Matthew 7:13-23). Neither did He hesitate to announce the good news of hope (Matthew 11:28-30). But without acts of charity to back up those words, He would have seemed just another phony savior, just another false Christ.

Jesus proved He was the Messiah by wedding Word and deed. He authenticated His claims by combining judgement and grace with charity: He took liberty to the ‘captives.

Charity was central to His ministry among us for this reason. He became poor for our sake, meting out charity because that was His Messianic task: to follow God’s eternal pattern and save the perishing.

For you know the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, that though He was rich, yet for your sakes He became poor, that you through His poverty might become rich (2 Corinthians 8:9).

We owe everything to Christ. Our riches, however defined, come from Him. He experienced poverty to make our blessings possible. He became a servant for our sake. He exercised charity on our behalf.

And then came **the** ultimate charity: He suffered death and separation from **God** His Father for the sake of placating God's **eternal** wrath against us.

He made **Himself** of no reputation, **taking** the form of a servant, and coming in the likeness of men. And being **found in appearance** as a man, He humbled Himself and became obedient to the point of death, even the death of the cross. Therefore God also has highly exalted Him and given Him the name which is above every name, that **at** the name of Jesus every knee should bow . . . (Philippians 2:7-10).

Talk about serious charity! Yes, Jesus brought a message of **judgement** (Matthew 23:13-36). Yes, Jesus brought a message of great hope (Matthew 28:18-20). But He never let those words stand alone. He *authenticated* them with deeds.

What Does Charity Prove?

To challenge men with the gospel, we must first love them. Isaiah loved the **people** of Judah. He sacrificed his whole life to bring the message of salvation to those few who would listen. A man who has been loved by God is to show love to others: first, by proclaiming the coming judgement of God, second, by announcing His gracious escape, and third, by demonstrating commitment to God above, **caring** for the poor and helpless.

Though I speak with the tongues of men and of angels, and have not charity, I am become as sounding brass, or a tinkling cymbal. And though **I** have the gift of prophecy, and understand all mysteries, and all knowledge; and, though **I** have all faith, so that I could remove mountains, and have not charity, I am nothing. And though I bestow **all** my goods to feed the poor, **and** though I give **my** body "to be burned, and have not charity, it profiteth me nothing (1 Corinthians 13:1-3KJV).

What **did** charity prove in the **life of** Jesus? It proved that **He** cared for men. It proved **that He loved** them. It proved that He was willing to put His life on the **line**. It proved that He was being fully obedient to His Father. Finally, it proved that **His** Words had **authority**, because they were being put into **action**.

Shortly after the **announcement of His** messianic authority in the synagogue at Nazareth, Jesus healed a paralyzed man. **Jésus** stood in front of the Pharisees and lawyers, who were watching to see if He would in any way commit a transgression of God's law. The paralyzed man had been brought to Him in a unique way: his friends had broken a hole in the roof and lowered him down, to avoid the crowd around Jesus.

So when He saw their faith, **He** said to him, “Man, your sins ‘are forgiven you .” And the scribes and the Pharisees began to reason, saying, “Who is this who speaks blasphemies? Who can forgive sins but God alone?” **But** when Jesus perceived their thoughts, He answered and said to ‘them, “Why are’ you reasoning in your hearts? Which is easier, to say, ‘Your sins are forgiven you,’ or to say, ‘Rise up and walk’? **But** that you may know that the Son of Man has power on earth to forgive sins”- He said to the man who was paralyzed, “I say to” you, arise; take up **your** bed, and go to your house.” Immediately he rose up before them, took up what he had been lying on, and departed to his own house, glorifying God. And they were **all** amazed, and they glorified God and were filled with fear, saying, We have seen strange things today! (Luke 5:20-26).

Jesus first drew attention to the man's ‘sins. **Judgement**. Then He forgave him. **Grace**. And finally, in demonstration’ of His **authority** to judge and forgive, He raised the man up. **Charity**. Word was accompanied by deed.

Notice, that **after** Christ ministered to the man in this fashion the entire crowd was “amazed.” They all “glorified **God** and were **filled with fear**.” Seeing Word and deed **together**, they said **with** sheer , astonishment, ‘We have’ seen strange things today.”

Jesus **authenticated** the words of **His** mouth with the deeds of

His hands. **Jesus demonstrated the** reality of His claims. And so the people *believed*.

Why does so much of our evangelism today have so little impact? Why do our best efforts **so** often fall on deaf ears? Could it be that we have strayed from God's pattern of evangelism? Could it **be that** we have stripped Gospel Word of its validity and authenticity **by** neglecting to accompany it with Gospel charity?

What does it profit, my brethren, if someone says he **has** faith but does not have deeds? Can that faith save him? If a brother or **sister** is **naked** and destitute of daily food, and one of you says to them, "Depart in peace, be warmed and filled," and you do not give them the things which are needed for the body, what does it **profit**? Thus "also faith by itself, if it does not have deeds, is dead (James 2:14-17).

The world is looking for *proof*. They want *evidence*.

When Jesus wed Word and deed, the people who heard and saw got their proof. They needed **no** further evidence. They could see that this Gospel was not simply pie in **the** sky. **It** was a Gospel of hope. Real hope. It was a Gospel that made "a *difference*."

Talk is cheap. Time evangelism isn't.

Giving charity verifies the claims **of** the Gospel. It tells the world that there is indeed a sovereign gracious God who raises up faithful people, who blesses those people, and who gives them a loving disposition. It tells the world that there is a God who refills empty storehouses, replenishes dry cisterns, restocks depleted threshing floors, and opens, hands and hearts. **It tells** the world that there is a God who instills such confidence in His followers that they can, give, never fearing **lack**, that they can sacrifice, never lacking anything, **that** they **can** serve, never doubting provision. It provides **proof**.

Words of ultimate judgement and consummate hope need something to back them up in the eyes **of** **sinful** men. That "**some-thing**" is charity.

Evangelism simply isn't complete if we fail to follow God's **pat-**tern of matching judgement and hope with charity.

Word and Deeds in History

Whenever and wherever the **gospel** has gone out, the faithful have emphasized the priority of good works, especially works of compassion toward the needy. They have matched the message of judgement and hope with charity. Every great revival in **the** history of the church, from Paul's missionary journeys to the Reformation, **from Athanasius'** Alexandria outreach to America's Great Awakening, has been accompanied by an explosion of Christian care. Hospitals were established. Orphanages **were** founded. Rescue missions were started. **Almshouses** were built. Soup kitchens, were begun. Charitable societies were incorporated. The hungry were fed, the naked clothed, and the homeless sheltered. Word was wed to deeds.

This fact has always proven to be the bane of the church's enemies. Apostates can argue theology. They can dispute philosophy. They can subvert **history**. And they can undermine character. But they are helpless in **the** face of the church's extraordinary feats of selfless compassion.

Not only did Augustine (354-430)' **change** the face of the church with his brilliant theological treatises, **he also** transformed the face of Northern Africa, establishing works of charity in thirteen cities, modeling authentic Christianity for the whole of the Roman Empire.

Not only did Bernard of **Clairveaux** (1090-1153) launch the greatest monastic movement of all time, sparking, evangelical fervor throughout France and beyond, he also established a charitable network throughout Europe to care for the poor, a network that has survived to this day.

Not only did John Wyclif (1329-1384) revive interest in the Scriptures during a particularly dismal and degenerate era with his translation of the New Testament into English, he also unleashed a grassroots movement of lay preachers and relief workers' that brought hope to the poor for the first time in over a century.

Not only did Jan Hus (1374-1415) shake the foundations of the medieval church hierarchy with his vibrant evangelistic sermons,

he also mobilized a veritable army of workers for emergency relief at a time when central Europe **was** struck with one disaster after another.

Not only was John Calvin's (1509-1564) Geneva known throughout the world as the center of **the** Reformation, the hub of the greatest revival since Apostolic days, it was also renowned as a safe haven for all Europe's poor and persecuted, dispossessed, and distressed.

Not only was **George Whitefield** (1714-1770) the founder of Methodism (John Wesley was brought into **the** movement and then **disciplined** by Whitefield) as well as the primary instigator of the Great Awakening in America, he was also the primary patron of Georgia's first orphanage and the driving force **behind** that **colony's** first relief **association** and hospital.

Not only was Charles Haddon Spurgeon, (1834-1892) "the prince of the preachers" proclaiming the good news of "Christ and Him crucified" throughout Victorian England, he was also the founder of over 60 different charitable ministries **including** hospitals, orphanages, and almshouse.

Not only was Dwight L. Moody (1837-1899) America's premier evangelist throughout the dark days following the Civil War, he was also responsible for the establishment of over 150 street missions, soup kitchens, clinics, and rescue outreaches.

And on and on and on the story goes. From Francis of Assisi to Francis **Schaeffer**, from **Polycarp** to William Carey, obedient believers have always cared for the poor, the helpless, the orphan, and the widow. They wed word and deed.

For them, charity was, and is, central to the gospel's task. And as a result, souls were saved, nations converted, and cultures restored. The message of their mouths was validated and authenticated by the work of their hands. The "peace that surpasses all understanding" became the inheritance of 'many because God's faithful covenant people kept His commandments.

Isaiah knew that. So did Augustine, Bernard, **Wyclif**, **Hus**, Calvin, Whitefield, Spurgeon, Moody, and countless others throughout the **church's** glorious march **through** the ages. They

knew “that God is **not one** to show partiality, but in every nation the man who reveres Him and works righteousness, is welcome to Him” (Acts 10:34-35). And *this* is “the word which He sent to the sons of Israel, preaching peace through Jesus Christ” (Acts 10:36).

Thus, they knew that” righteous deeds of compassion were essential for the fulfillment of the church’s mission and could not be subjugated even to the most critical of tasks: discipleship, pastoral care, or cultural reclamation”. They knew that the words of their mouths had to be authenticated by the works of their hands.

Faith at Work

In writing to Titus, the young pastor of Crete’s pioneer church, the Apostle Paul pressed home this fundamental truth with impressive persistence and urgency. The task before Titus was not an easy one. Cretan culture was marked by deceit, ungodliness, sloth, and gluttony (Titus 1:12). And he was to provoke a total Christian reconstruction there! He was to introduce peace with God through Christ. Thus, Paul’s instructions were strategically precise and to the point. Titus was to preach judgement and hope, but he was also to make good deeds the focus of his outreach. Charity was to be a central priority.

Paul wrote:

For the grace of God that brings salvation has appeared to all men, teaching us that, denying ungodliness and worldly lusts, we should live soberly, righteously, and godly in the present age, looking for the blessed hope and glorious appearing of our great God and Savior Jesus Christ, who gave Himself for us, that He might redeem us from every lawless deed and purify for Himself His own special people, zealous for good works (Titus 2:11-14).

Word *and* deed. ,

This was a very familiar theme for Paul. It **wasn’t** exclusively aimed at the troublesome Cretan culture. Like Isaiah before **him**, he returned to it at every opportunity. Earlier, he had **written** to the **Ephesian** church **saying**, ,

For by grace you have been saved through faith, and that not of yourselves; it is the gift of God, not of works, lest anyone should boast. For we are His workmanship, created in Christ **Jésus** for good works, which God, prepared beforehand that we should walk in them (**Ephesians 2:8-10**).

God saves us by grace. There is nothing we can do to merit His favor. We stand condemned under His judgement. Salvation is completely unearned (except by Christ), and undeserved (except to Christ). But we are not saved capriciously, for no reason and **no** purpose. On the contrary, “we are His workmanship, created in “Christ Jesus for good works.” We are “His own possession” set apart and purified to be “zealous for good deeds.” Word and deed are **inseparable**. **Judgement** is answered with grace. Grace is answered with charity. **This** is the very essence of the evangelistic message.

So, Paul tells Titus he must order his fledgling ministry among the Cretans accordingly. He himself was “to be a pattern of good deeds” (**Titus 2:7**). He was to teach the people ‘to be ready for every good work’ (**Titus 3:1**). The older women and the younger women were to be thus instructed, so ‘that the word, of God may not be dishonored’ (**Titus 2:5**); and the bondslaves, ‘that they may adorn the doctrine of God our Savior in **all things**’ (**Titus 2:10**). They were all to ‘learn to maintain, good works, to meet urgent needs, that they **may** not be unfruitful’ (**Titus 3:14**). There were those within the church who professed “**to know God**, but in works they deny Him, being abominable, disobedient, and disqualified for every good work” (**Titus 1:16**). **These**, Titus was **to** “rebuke . . . sharply, that they may **be** sound in the faith” (**Titus 1:13**). He was to ‘affirm constantly, that those who **have** believed in God should **be** careful to maintain good works’ (**Titus 3:8**).

As a pastor, Titus had, innumerable **tasks** that he was responsible to fulfill. **He** had **administrative** duties (**Titus 1:5**), ‘doctrinal duties (**Titus 2:1**), discipline duties (**Titus 2:2-10**), preaching duties (**Titus 2:15**)? counseling duties (**Titus 3:1-2**), and arbitrating duties (**Titus 3:12-13**). But intertwined with them all, fundamental to them all, were his *charitable* duties:

And what **was** true for Titus then is true for us **all** today, for “these **things are good and** profitable for **all men**” (Titus 3:8 KJV).

Isaiah knew that. So did Augustine, Bernard, Wyclif, and the others. **True evangelism** weds word-and *deed*. It always has. It always will.

The Bible **tells** us that if we would obey the command to be generous to the poor, we would ourselves be happy (Proverbs 14:21), God would preserve us (Psalm 41:1-2), we would never suffer need (Proverbs 28:27), we would prosper and be satisfied (Proverbs 11:25), and even be raised up from beds of affliction (Psalm 41:3). God would ordain peace for us (Isaiah 26:12), bless us with peace (Psalm 29:11), give us His peace (John 14:27), guide our feet into **the way of peace** (Luke 1: 79), ever and always **speaking** peace to us (Psalm 85:8), and grant peace to the land (Leviticus 26: 6). God would authenticate our faith, our evangelistic message (James 2:14-26).

Therefore let us be “zealous for good works” (Titus 2:14).

From Pillar to Post

Sadly, like the Israelites in Isaiah’s day, and the Cretans to whom Titus was commissioned to minister, we have turned away from true evangelism to pursue our own twisted agendas.

As it is written, “There is none righteous, no not one; There is none who understands; There is none who seeks for God. They have all gone out of the way; They have together become unprofitable; There is none who does good, no, not one. Their throat is an open tomb; With their tongues they have practiced deceit; The poison of asps is under their lips; Whose mouth is full of cursing and bitterness. Their feet are swift to shed blood; Destruction and misery are in their ways; And the way of peace have they not known: There is no fear of God before their **eyes**” (Remans 3:10-18).

Our tendency has been to alternate between sanctimonious escapism and humanistic activism. And *neither* represents the Scriptural position. We have either adopted a know-nothing-do-nothing *pietism* that makes us so heavenly minded that **we’re no** earthly

good, or a save-the-starving-third-world-whales bleeding-heart *liberalism* that separates us from the problems God has put on our own doorsteps.

Charity is central to the task of evangelism, but we have run from pillar to post, from extreme to extreme with all manner of needless, heedless extravagance. We have perpetrated self promoting trivialities, self indulgent mundanities, and self serving in-anities while the nations of the earth languish and perish, never 'knowing the glorious hope of Christ our Lord.

If we are to have any hope of faithfully fulfilling the Great Commission, if we are ever to "lay hold of the good things of the Lord" (1 Timothy 6:19), then we must begin, ". . . to loosen the bonds of wickedness, to undo the bands of the yoke, and to let the oppressed go free . . . to divide . . . bread with the hungry, and, bring the homeless poor into the house . . ." (Isaiah 58:6-7 NAS).

We cannot afford to play games any longer. There is starving in the shadow of plenty. Young and old, black and white, male and female, the dispossessed cry out. Their voices arise from wretched backwoods hovels in Appalachia, from crime-ridden streets in Philadelphia, from frozen alleyways in Baltimore, from rat-infested tenements in Harlem, from gutted public housing projects in Dallas.

We must answer those voices. With God's Word of judgement. With God's Word of hope. And with God's hand of charity.

Conclusion

The first basic principle in the Biblical blueprint for welfare is that charity completes the work of evangelism. It is *integral* to the evangelistic mandate.

We will never know the full blessings of peace, abundance, and joy that God intends for His faithful people unless we understand this. In fact, we can't even claim to be His faithful people unless we understand this. Righteous good deeds are the unavoidable results of a life yielded to Christ. They are the fruits of grace. They authenticate, verify, and give evidence to the work of the Spirit. They go hand in hand with the proclaimed Word,

A quick glance at church history shows that this **has been** the understanding of Christ's disciples throughout **all** times, eras, and **dispensations**. So though discipleship, missions, **pastoral** care, and education have always occupied the church's attentions, charity has maintained its priority place of adorning the doctrine of truth with grace **and** love. Without **charity**, discipleship, **pastoral** care, and, education **are** hollow and incomplete; they 'are unable to get started.

Charity is integral to the fulfillment of **the** Great Commission. Evangelism is weakened without it. **In** fact, evangelism is not true evangelism, without it. '

Summary.

God responded to the sin of Adam and Eve first by **announcing judgement**, second, by proclaiming **hope**, and third, by extending **charity**.

This divine pattern of wedding word **and** deed is **the Biblical** model of true evangelism.

In his evangelistic message to the Israelites, **Isaiah** followed **this** pattern, condemning sin, calling for repentance, and **outlining** a lifestyle of righteous good deeds.

Jesus too, followed this same pattern, fulfilling the **Messianic** prophecies that foretold 'His 'integration of **Word and deed**.

Thus, when the **early** Christians began to take the Gospel to the nations, they naturally adhered to the pattern as well. From Titus in Crete to Spurgeon in London, the story has always been the same: **charity** authenticates the **evangelistic** message; without **it** revival tarries.

If we are to **ignite** the fires of holy devotion **and** evangelistic effectiveness, then we too must return to this very fundamental truth: **faith without deeds is dead**. Our faithful fulfillment of the Great Commission depends on it.

THE SAMARITAN LEGACY

And behold, a certain lawyer stood up and tested Him, saying, “Teacher, what shall I do to inherit eternal life?” He said to him, “What is written in the law? What is your reading of it?” So he answered and said, “You shall love the Lord your God with **all** your heart, with **all** your soul, with all your strength, and with all your mind,” and “your neighbor as yourself.” And He said to him, “You have answered rightly; do this, and you will live” (Luke 10:25-28).

It was supposed to be a test. **Straightforward**. Simple. A test of orthodoxy. A test of theological skillfulness. Not a trap, really. Just a test.

“You shall love the Lord your God . . .” Jesus said, “. . . and your neighbor. . .”

Well, so far, so good. Christ’s Sunday School-word-perfect-never-miss-a-beat response should have been music to the ears of any good Pharisee. **He** unhesitatingly upheld the Mosaic Law. He was **careful** ‘not to exceed what is written’ (1 Corinthians 4:6). He was impeccably orthodox,

If that were the end of the story, it would **be** less a story than a dry recitation of doctrine: true, good, -and necessary, but not particularly gripping. But of course, the story doesn’t end there. It goes on: true, good, necessary, **and gripping**.

The lawyer just wouldn’t **let** Jesus off the hook. He continued to cross-examine the Lord. He pressed the issue. Sinful men love **to** do this. They want God on the hot seat. They want God in the dock.

Jesus wouldn’t’ make *him* look like a fool!

But he wanting to justify himself, said to Jesus, 'And **who** is my neighbor?' Then Jesus answered and said: 'A certain man went down **from** Jerusalem to Jericho, and fell among thieves, who stripped him of his clothing, wounded him, and departed, leaving him **half** dead. Now by chance a certain priest came down that road. And when he saw **him**, he passed by on **the** other side. Likewise a Levite, when he arrived at the place, came and looked, and passed by **on** the other side. But a certain Samaritan, **as** he journeyed, came where he **was**. **And** when he saw him, he had compassion on him, and went to him and, bandaged his wounds, **pouring** on oil and wine; and he set him on his own animal, brought him to an inn, and took care of him. On the next day, when he departed, he took out two denarii, gave them to the innkeeper, and said to him, '**Take** care of him; and whatever more you spend, when I come again, I will repay you.' So which of these three do you think was neighbor to him who fell among the thieves?' And he said, 'He who **showed** mercy on **him**.'" Then Jesus said to him, 'Go and do likewise" (Luke 10:29-37).

Quite a story! What started out to be a test, a theological confrontation over the law, was suddenly transformed by the Lord Jesus into a moment of conviction. The Pharisee found **himself** in the **valley** of decision. And at the same time he was on the horns of a dilemma.

A Samaritan! How odd!

Seven hundred years earlier, Assyria had overrun and depopulated the northern kingdom, of Israel, including **Samaria**. The conquerors had a **cruel** policy of population-transfer that scattered the inhabitants of the land to the four winds. Then, **the** empty countryside was repopulated with a ragtag collection of vagabonds and scalawags from the dregs of the Empire (2 Kings 17:24-41). Instead of regarding these newcomers as prospects for Jewish evangelism, the people of Judah, who continued in independence for another full century, turned away in contempt, and the racial division between Samaritan and Jew began its bitter course.

Samaritans were universally despised by good Jews. They were half-breeds who observed a half-breed religious **cultus**. Worse than the pagan Greeks, **worse** even than the barbarian

Remans, the Samaritans were singled out by Jews as a perfect example of despicable depravity. They were close enough geographically and culturally to know the Pharisees' version of the truth, yet they resisted. They had no excuse; other nations did. They were therefore far more guilty than other nations. At least in the eyes of the Jews.

And now, Jesus was elevating a Samaritan, of **all** things, to a position of great respect and honor. A Samaritan was the good neighbor, the hero of the parable.

Jesus was slapping **the** religious leaders of Israel in their collective faces.

After demanding an expansion of Christ's textbook answer, the teacher might have expected a parable that encouraged him to show love to all men, *even* to Samaritans. But never in a thousand years would he have guessed that Christ would show how such a despised one could be nearer to the Kingdom than a pious, but compassionless Jew.

The teacher asked a passive question, expecting a passive answer: "Who is my neighbor?" (Luke 10:29). But Jesus responded with an *active* command: "**Go** and do likewise." (Luke 10:37). In other words, Jesus did not supply **the** teacher with *information* about whom he should or shouldn't help, because failure to keep the commandment springs not from a lack of information, but a lack of *obedience* and love. It was not keener understanding, that the teacher needed, **but** a new heart. Like that of the Samaritan!

Both Law and Love

The Samaritan in the story is a "paragon of virtue. He **strictly** observed the Law, shaming the priest and Levite who "passed by on the other side" (Luke 10:31-32). He paid attention to the needs of others (Deuteronomy 22:4) and showed concern for the poor (Psalm 41:1). He showed pity toward the weak (Psalm 72:13) and rescued them from violence (Psalm 72:14). Knowing the case of the helpless (Proverbs 29:7), he gave of his wealth (Deuteronomy 26:12-13), and shared his food (Proverbs 22:9).

But perhaps even more **significant** than his strict adherence to

the Law was the *compassion that the Samaritan* demonstrated. He wasn't simply "going by the rules." His was not a dry,, passionless obedience. He had "put on tender mercies, kindness, humbleness of mind, meekness, longsuffering" (Colossians 3 :12). He "became a father to the poor, and searched out the case" of the stranger (Job 29:16). He loved his neighbor as himself (Mark 12:31), thus fulfilling the Law (Remans 13:10).

The Samaritan fulfilled the demands of both Law and love! He demonstrated both obedience and compassion, holding to *both the Spirit and the letter*. He had wed Word and deed.

There were established strictures, built into the cultural framework of Israel, for the care of the needy. **There were** provisions for free harvesting (Leviticus 19:9-10; Deuteronomy 24:19-22). There were alms feasts (Deuteronomy 14: 22-29) and alms giving (Deuteronomy 26:12-13). There were debt cancellations (Deuteronomy 15:1-11) and special loans available (Leviticus 25:35-55).

But there wasn't any time to call a community meeting. There wasn't time to go through all the **official** channels, or make a formal request. There was simply a man lying in the road who was so near death that the religious representatives of Israel were afraid of touching him, for **fear** of coming under the Old Testament Laws regarding contact with a dead body (Numbers 19:11-16). Why, it might have required them to go through some inconvenient **ritual** washing requirements! No,, better to let the man lie t h e r e .

The Samaritan refuses to "pass the buck." He isn't **worried** about contact with the dead, for he **honors** a **basic** principle of life: charity. He refuses to leave the "dirty work" - the ritually unclean work — to someone else. He accepts personal responsibility, **never** once looking for an easy way out. He spends *his* time, *his* money, and *his* energy on behalf of the poor man.

Thinking perhaps that **someone** else would come along-someone better equipped, someone "called" to that kind of **outreach** - just someone else; the priest and the **Levite** "did not remember to show mercy" (Psalm 109:16), but the Samaritan rescued "the poor and **needy**" to "free them from the hand of the wicked" (Psalm

82:4). Without hesitation. **Without** a second thought. Without looking for excuses. He just did his job. He did what he *ought* to have done. He did what he *had* to do morally. He demonstrated Law *and* love in action.

He realized that charity was part of the job of righteousness, of evangelism. And it was *his* job. There was no way around it.

It didn't matter what the priest did or didn't do. It didn't matter what the **Levite** did or didn't do. It only mattered that God had encoded compassion into His unchangeable Word and that **He** had caused the Samaritan to cross paths with the victim pilgrim.

Charity was *his* job.

A Model for the Church

When the early Christians read or heard the story of the Good Samaritan, they were devastated, as Jesus knew they would be. This went beyond Law-keeping! Real spiritual power would be needed to imitate the Good Samaritan, and they knew that “with men it was **impossible**”—until that is, they realized just *Who* the Good Samaritan *really* was.

You see, by itself this story only condemns us. Who **is** equal to the task? Only *the* Good Samaritan, Jesus Himself (see John 8:48), a man from “Galilee of the *gentiles*,” (Matthew 4:15). Like the God-fearing Samaritans of 2 Chronicles 28:8-15, Jesus had come to “free the **captives**.”

The early Christians realized that what the Law **could** not do (the priest **and** the **Levite**), Jesus had done. After all, the priest had a legitimate worry: Contact with an unclean person would defile him and prevent him from exercising his appointed task. But Jesus had broken the restrictions of the ceremonial Law. A new age had come!

The early Christians also realized that the inn in the parable was the church, and the innkeeper symbolized pastors. But more than that, **they** realized that the Holy Spirit had been poured out, placing them in ethical *union* with Christ the Good Samaritan, and *enabling* them to imitate His “grace and mercy.

The parable no longer condemned them. **Rather**, it liberated

them to **do deeds of love** and mercy, by which **the** heavenly King-
dom 'would come.

The faith of the Good Samaritan became a model for the church ever **after**. Taking their cue from **him**, the faithful saints of the Gospel's pioneer days took responsibility for the needy **in their** midst with an eagerness and effectiveness that utterly baffled' the populace at large. Having sole access to the "bread of life" (John 6:48), they knew that if *they* did not feed the masses of starving **souls** in their day, *no* one would. They were driven by a holy compulsion.

Luke tells us of the liberality of the Jerusalem **church**, led by the remarkably charitable Barnabas.

And with great power the **apostles gave witness** to the resurrection of the Lord Jesus. And great grace was **upon** them all. Nor was there anyone among them who lacked; for **all** who were possessors of **lands** or houses sold them, **and** brought the proceeds of the things that were sold; and laid them at the apostles' feet; and they distributed to each as anyone had need. And **Joses**, who was also named Barnabas by **the** apostles (which is **translated** Son of Encouragement), a Levite of the country of Cyprus, having **land**, sold it, and brought the money and laid it at the **apostles'** feet (Acts 4:33-37).

Writing to the Corinthians, Paul describes the Good Samaritan attributes of the Macedonia Church.

Moreover, brethren, we make known to you the grace of God bestowed on the churches of Macedonia: that in a great trial of **affliction** the abundance of **their** joy and their deep poverty abounded in the riches of their **liberality**. For I bear witness that according to their **ability**, yes, and beyond their ability, they were freely' willing, imploring us with much urgency that we would receive the gift and the fellowship of the ministering to the saints. And this they did, not as we had hoped, but first gave themselves to the Lord, and then to us by the will of God (2 Corinthians 8:1-5).

And the Good Samaritan faith was imitated by scores of others, both churches and individuals, throughout the New Testament era: **Tabitha** (Acts 9:36-41), Titus (2 Corinthians 8:16-17), Paul (Acts 11:27-30), Peter and James (**Galatians 2:9-10**), Phoebe (Remans 16:1-2), Stephanas, Fortunatus, and **Achaicus** (1 Corin-

thians 16:17-18), Philemon (Philemon 5), Epaphroditus (Philippians 2:25-30), the church in Thyatira (Revelation 2:19), Tychicus (**Ephesians 6:21-22**), and Cornelius (Acts 10:31). One and all, they demonstrated the reality of their faith with selfless compassion. Like the Good Samaritan, they fulfilled the demands of both Law and love. Like Him, **they realized** that charity was part of the job of righteousness, of evangelism. And it was **their** job.

It was *their* job. Not the civil governments. Not the **bureaucracy's**. Not the Pharisees'. Not the **Sanhedran's**. Not the Remans' or the Greeks' or the **Jews'**. It was *their* job.

It was a job no one else *could* do.

And because they did it, they were able to conquer the Roman Empire in less than three centuries.

God's Own Provision

God's people have always been cared for by God's own hand.

When they have been homeless, He has given them shelter. For instance, **when** Abraham was nothing but a wandering shepherd, the Lord made a covenant with him saying,

. . . To your descendants I have given this land, from the river of Egypt to the great river, the River Euphrates—the Kenites, the Kenizzites, and the **Kadmonites**; the Hittites, the Perizzites, and the Rephaim; the Amorites, the Canaanites, the Girgashites, and the **Jebusites** (**Genesis 15:18-21**).

When his descendant; were driven from their homes by famine, God moved the heart of Pharaoh in Egypt to open his land to the Jews saying,

Now you are commanded—do this: take carts out of the land of Egypt for your little ones and for your wives; bring your father and come. Also do not be concerned about your goods, for the best of all the land of Egypt is yours (**Genesis 45:19-20**).

Later, when conditions in Egypt made life unbearable for the people, God promised them a new home, a land flowing with milk and honey.

And the Lord said: “I have surely seen the oppression of My people who are in Egypt, and have heard their cry because of their taskmasters, for know their sorrows. So I have come down to deliver them out of the hand of the Egyptians, and to bring them up from that land to a good and large land, to a land flowing with milk and honey, to the place of the Canaanites arid the Hittites and the Amorites and the Perizzites and the Hivites and the Jebusites. Now therefore, behold, the cry of the children of Israel has come to Me, and I have also seen the oppression with which the Egyptians oppress them. Come now, therefore, and I will send you to Pharaoh that you may bring My people, the children of Israel, out of Egypt” (Exodus 3:7-10).

Whenever the people were exiled, alone, in a dry and weary wasteland, God came to their rescue and gave them shelter.

A father of the fatherless, a defender of widows, is God in His holy habitation. God sets the solitary in families; He brings out those who are bound into prosperity; But the rebellious dwell in a dry land. (Psalm 68:5-6)

So, throughout the ages, His people have cried out in praise,
s a y i n g ,

I will love You, O Lord, my strength. The Lord is my rock and my fortress and my deliverer; My God, my strength, in whom I will trust; My shield and the horn of my salvation, my stronghold. I will call upon the Lord, who is worthy to be praised; So shall I be saved from my enemies (Psalm 18:1-3).

But, not only have they raised up songs of joyous praise, they **have** been provoked to shelter the homeless themselves. Having tasted the **abundance** of God’s lovingkindness, they felt compelled to minister likewise to others. They became “Good Samaritans.” They asserted along with the Apostle Paul the necessity of giving that which they had been given.

Blessed be. the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, the Father of mercies and God of all comfort, who comforts us in **all** our tribulation, that we may be able to comfort those who are in any trouble, with the comfort with which we ourselves are com-

forted by God. For as the sufferings of Christ abound in us, so also our consolation also abounds through Christ (2 Corinthians 1:3-5).

They cared for the alien, the stranger, the sojourner, the weak, the poor, and the despised because they once had been in that , deprived state themselves and God had graciously raised them up (Exodus 22:21-24, 23:9; Leviticus 19:32-34).

“ God’s “Good Samaritan” people shelter the dispossessed because they themselves have been sheltered.

Similarly, when they have been hungry, God has fed them. He fed them in the garden (Genesis 2:16). He fed them manna in the’ desert (Exodus 16:4). He fed them bread in the morning and meat in the evening (Exodus 16:12). He fed them on the fat of a bounteous land flowing with grapes, figs,. and pomegranates, flowing with milk and honey (Numbers 13:23-27). He fed them in times of famine (Ruth 1:1-6), in times of oppression (Ezekiel 34:13-14), in “ times of distress (1 Kings 19:1-8), in times of drought (1 Kings 17:1-16), and in times of war (1 Samuel 21:1-6).

“The Lord will not allow the righteous soul to famish” (Proverbs 10:3).

When’ Jesus, the *real* Good Samaritan came, He came to *feed*. The people were *starving*. So Jesus *fed* them. He fed them loaves and fishes (John 6:1-14). And He fed them the “bread of life” (John 6:33). He, said to them, “I am the bread of life. He who comes to Me shall never *hunger*, and he who believes in Me shall never thirst” (John 6:35). He continued, saying,

Most assuredly, I say to you, he who believes in Me has everlasting life. I am the Bread of life. Your fathers ate the manna in the wilderness, and are dead. This is the bread which comes down from heaven, that one may eat of it and not die. I am the living bread which came down from heaven. If anyone eats of this bread, he will live forever; and the bread that I shall give is My flesh, which I shall give for the life of the world (John 6:47-51).

Clearly, “this is a hard saying; who can understand it?” (John 6:60). But one thing is very evident. Jesus came to feed the *starving*. He came to *invite* us to His banqueting table (1 Corinthians

11:23-25). He came to sup with us, and us with **Him** <Revelation , **3:20**). He came to **prepare** a table before us in the ‘presence of our enemies; to anoint **our** heads with oil, and to fill our cups to overflowing (Psalm **23:5**). He came to feed us at the glorious marriage supper **of** the Lamb (Revelation **19:7-9**).

Ever since, Christians have made **the** focus of” their weekly worship the Lord’s Supper. They have come together to eat., God **gathers** ‘His people about Him and-feeds them **from** His bounty. As a result, God’s “Good Samaritans” in turn feed the hungry because they themselves have been fed.

And because of that, they are the **only** ones capable of feeding. They are the **only** ones who have partaken of **God’s** own provision. They are the **only** ones to have been **truly** sheltered, **truly** fed. They **are** the **only ones** to have learned genuine refuge, genuine ‘nourishment. And they are the **only ones** to have before their eyes constant reminders of that provision: **the** land of promise, and the memorial communion.

God’s people are the only ones **fit for** “Good Samaritan” service because they are the only ones fit **by** “Good Samaritan” service.

Christians are supposed to exercise dominion over the whole earth (Genesis **1:28**; Matthew **28:19-20**). It is their job— an assignment from God that they and they alone will be equipped to fulfill in eternity. But **power and authority come through service**. There is no more fundamental principle of dominion in the Bible. Charity is the first step toward reformation and victory (Isaiah **58:10-12**).

From the Table of the Lord

Historically, Christians have cared for **the poor**, remembering their own care from above. And they have particularly **remem-**bered this in connection with the Lord’s Supper. The Free Presbyterian Church of Scotland has for centuries now provided for almsgiving immediately following **the** communion **meal**. Likewise, the Christian Reformed churches traditionally have a special offering for the poor after the quarterly Lord’s Supper service. Primitive Baptists make special provision for charitable offerings as the communion elements are passed, that all may feast. The

historic liturgical churches take up food and clothing during the **Christmas** and Easter-Eucharists, so that the abundance of God's table might be shared, with, even those along the highways and hedges (Luke **14:16-24**). For, "Blessed is he who shall eat bread in the Kingdom of God" (Luke **14:15**).

This special integration of physical and spiritual concerns is possible only **among** God's people. Bureaucracies can't even begin to provide the **wholistic** care to the poor that the church can. Neither can governments or other secular coalitions and associations.

Thus, it is terribly tragic when the church loses sight of all this, When the church minimizes the Lord's Supper to make room for "more important" matters, when she attempts to separate "worldly" **affairs** from "heavenly" affairs, when she makes a **distinction** between "the sacred" and "the profane," when she underplays asocial issues in favor of "spiritual" issues, when she delegates its responsibility to care for the poor to others, she becomes like salt that has lost its savor (Matthew **5:13**). She abandons the Great Commission to win **all** things for the Lord Jesus (Matthew **28:18-20**). She no longer attempts to "bring **all** things in heaven and on earth together under one Head, even Christ" (**Ephesians 1:10**). She plays into the hands of the enemies of the **truth** (1 Peter **5:8**). She fails to recognize that charity is an essential component in the work of the Gospel.

With such scrambled priorities, is it any wonder that our evangelism has bogged down? Is it any wonder that worldliness has crept into our churches almost unnoticed? Is it any wonder that our youth wander away from the fold into the house of horrors that American culture has become? Is it any wonder that our families are disintegrating, that our schools are in shambles, and that humanism has captured control of the media, the courts, and the **arts**? Is it? Is it any wonder that **our** streets and alleys and parks are cluttered with the human refuse of an economy gone mad? Is it?

Not hardly.

When the people of God forget who they **are**; when they forget what they are supposed to do; when they forget who they serve

and for what purpose, then **all** the world suffers. “**Where there is no vision, the people perish**” (Proverbs 29:18 KJV).

The **very** foundations of our life and liberty are at risk because **we have** forgotten. And, “If the foundations are **destroyed**, what can the righteous **do?**” (Psalm 11:3).

What *can we* do?

Well, it’s simple. We do our job.

We “**build** the old waste places,” and we “raise up the foundations of many generations,” and we “repair the breach,” and we “restore the streets in which to dwell” (Isaiah 58:12).

And how do we do that?

Again, it’s simple. We do our job.

We “give ourselves to the **hungry**,” and we “satisfy the desire of the afflicted” (Isaiah 58:10).

That’s all.

But of course, that’s plenty. And that’s what leads to plenty.

Conclusion

The second basic principle in the Biblical blueprint for welfare is that charity is **our** job. It is the job, of Christians,

The Good Samaritan modeled for the church compassion and charity. He came **as a** reminder that because God **has cared** for us, we are to **care** for the afflicted of the world. He came as a sign of **all** that Christ has done for us, and the responsibility that **has** resulted. Jesus came to feed His people on the bread of life; **and** now we are in turn to feed the needy from that bounty. We are to integrate spiritual **and** physical concerns, **meeting** the needs of the **whole** man. This is one of **the** special dynamics of **the** Lord’s Supper celebration.

The Good Samaritan didn’t wait. He didn’t shuffle his responsibility off on someone else. He understood that he would **have** to care for the victim by the way. with the very care he had **received** from Almighty God.

Can we do any less?

Summary

The story of **the** Good Samaritan, as shocking as it was to Christ's interrogator, was a classic defense of the Old Testament faith, the faith of Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Moses, David, and all the prophets: Law and love.

The Hero in the story— who was in fact Christ **Himself**— **re-**refused to evade His very clear responsibility to authenticate His Words with, deeds.

The early Christians' by the power of the Holy Spirit used the Good Samaritan as a model for their own works of righteousness: making **certain** that there were no needy among them, caring for the orphans and widows, and sacrificially giving to various relief causes.

They knew that charity was *their job* and no one else's because only they were qualified, only they had been cared for by God Himself.

The Lord's Supper **emblemizes** the fact that God cares for our **most** basic and fundamental needs and that **we** are to likewise go to the world caring for the needs of others. That's our job.

The challenge that faces us then is quite simple: Do our job; Adhere to the Samaritan Legacy. ,

3

DOMINION BY SERVICE

Ahaz was **twenty** years old when he became king, and he reigned sixteen years in Jerusalem; and he did not do what was right in the sight of the Lord, as his father David had done . . . Therefore the Lord his God delivered him into the hand of the king of Syria. They defeated him, and carried away a great multitude of them as captives, and brought them to **Damascus**. Then he was also delivered into the hand of the king of Israel, who defeated him with a great slaughter. For **Pekah the son of Remaliah** killed one hundred and twenty thousand in Judah in one day, **all** valiant men, because they had forsaken the Lord God of their fathers. **Zichri**, a mighty man of Ephraim, killed **Maaseiah** the king's son, **Azrikam** the officer over the house, and **Elkanah** who **was second** to the king. And the children of Israel carried away captive of their brethren two hundred thousand women, sons, and daughters; and they also took away much spoil from them, and brought the spoil to **Samaria** (2 Chronicles 28:1, 5-8).

He was just trying to save his skin. And there didn't seem to be any other way. With enemy armies to the left of him and enemy armies to the right of him, *any* help seemed like good help. He was grasping at straws.

Apparently, early on in **Ahaz's** reign, Rezin, king of Aram (Syria), and Pekah, king of Israel, tried to force him to join their defensive alliance against Assyria (Isaiah 7:1). Failing to persuade him, they decided to invade Jerusalem (2 Kings 16:5). The young **king's** army suffered heavy **casualties** and many of his subjects were dragged off into captivity,

What should the young king do? Where should he turn?
 W h o m c o u l d h e t r u s t ? ‘

Then the Lord said to Isaiah, “Go out now to meet **Ahaz**, you and **Shear-jashub** your son, at the end of the aqueduct from the upper pool, on the highway to the **Fuller’s** field, and say to him: “Take heed, and be quiet; do not fear or be fainthearted for these two stubs of smoking firebrands, for the fierce anger of Rezin and Syria, and the son of **Remaliah**. Because Syria, Ephraim, and the son of **Remaliah** have taken evil counsel against you, saying, “Let us go up against Judah and trouble it, and let us make a gap in its wall for ourselves, and set a king over them, the son of **Tabeel**” — thus says the Lord God: “It shall not stand, Nor shall it come to pass. For the head of Syria is **Damascus**, And the head of Damascus is Rezin. Within sixty-five years Ephraim will be broken, So that it will not be a people. The head of Ephraim is **Samaria**, And the head of **Samaria** is **Remaliah’s** son. If you will not believe, Surely you shall not be established”” (Isaiah 7:3-9).

In the midst of the crisis, **Isaiah** came to the king beseeching him to put his trust in the Lord. Though his armies be defeated, though his city be besieged, though his hopes be dashed, Ahaz could rely on the faithfulness of the King of kings. He could turn unto Him. After all, what was Rezin before the awesome glory of the Almighty God? What was **Pekah** before the sovereign Maker of heaven and earth? Ahaz could rest and relax. He could wait in silent confidence for God to rescue him from his adversaries (Psalm 7:1), for the Lord would be his rock and his salvation, his stronghold in times of calamity (Psalm 62:2). The Lord Himself would be a shield about him, the glory and the lifter of his head (Psalm 3:3).

But no, that wasn’t sufficient for faithless **Ahaz**. He wanted something “more secure” than the promise of divine intervention, divine favor. So . . . ‘

At the same time King **Ahaz** sent to the kings of Assyria to help him (2 Chronicles 28:16).

God’s way wasn’t good enough for Ahaz. In fact, God himself wasn’t good enough for **Ahaz**. He preferred the throne of **Nineveh**

to the **throne of heaven**. He **preferred the strength of mere men** to the strength of the hosts of glory.

And for "that **grave error in judgement**, he paid dearly. For the rest of his life; **he paid**.

"**Woe to the rebellious children,**" says the Lord, "**Who take counsel, but not of Me, And devise plans, but not of My Spirit, That they may add sin to sin**" (Isaiah 30:1).

Because' he **entered into an unholy alliance**, because he chose to **trust** in men and their schemes **rather** than God and His covenant promises, Ahaz wreaked **havoc upon** his tiny kingdom.

Rezin and **Pekah** were driven back finally, but for that service the pagan **kings** of Assyria charged a monumental tribute that **virtually** emptied the royal treasury (2 Chronicles 28:21). Ahaz even had to resort to pilfering the Temple in order to pay off his debt to the, warlords of Nineveh for **their** newly enforced "peace" (2 Chronicles 28:20-21). Ahaz placed an Assyrian altar in the temple **court** (2 Kings 16:10-14) and displaced the original bronze' altar, utilizing it for divination (2 Kings 16:15). Eventually, he event.losed the **Temple** sanctuary 'itself, preferring the "**high places**" of the newly installed pagan cult (2 Chronicles 28:24-25), completely desecrating **all** worship in the Lord (2 Kings 16:17-18).

And that wasn't even the half of it. 'As it **turned** out, Assyria 'wasn't a very **good ally**, even after all the expense, heartache, and **spiritual** compromise. When the Edomites and the **Philistines** decided to take advantage of Judah's weakened condition, the high and mighty in **Nineveh** simply **ignored** the situation **and** allowed Ahaz to be sacked **mercilessly** (2 Chronicles 28:17-19). -

Service and Authority

There is a 'fundamental principle of dominion **in the Bible**: *dominion through service*. This principle is understood well by the modern welfare State. The politicians and **planners** recognize that the agency that supplies charity in the name of **the people will** gain the allegiance of&e people. So, they "**serve**." And so they gain dominion.

The kings of the Gentiles exercise lordship over them, and those who exercise authority over them are called benefactors.” But not so among you; on the **contrary**, he who is greatest among you, let him be as the younger, and he who governs as he who serves. For who is greater, he who sits at the table, or he who serves? Is it not he who sits at the table? Yet **I** am among you as the One who serves. But you are those who have continued with Me in My **trials**. And I bestow upon you a kingdom, just as My Father bestowed one upon Me, that you may eat and drink at My table in My kingdom, and sit on thrones judging the twelve tribes of Israel (Luke 22:25-30).

Unfortunately, Christians have not understood this link between charity **and** authority. They have time and again fallen into the trap that snared Ahaz: alliances with the enemy.

Someone must be in charge. There is no escape from responsibility. When people are needy, or fearful, or desperate, they seek protection. Who will give it to them? And what will the protector, the benefactor, ask **in** return?

This is why the question of the responsibility for charity is ultimately a question of authority. And this is **why the** issue of charity is such a volatile issue. At stake is ultimate control over the society. For that men will go to war.

Thus, the battle for the control over charity is very similar to a military campaign. And God’s people are warned repeatedly by God: make no alliance with foreign gods. Make no alliances with the enemy. .

Unholy Alliances

God’s warnings against entering into unholy alliances are abundant and clear.

Do not enter the path of the wicked, And do not walk in the way of evil. Avoid it, do not travel on it; Turn’away from it and pass on. For they do not sleep unless they have done evil; And their sleep is taken away unless they make someone fall. For they eat the bread of wickedness, And drink the wine of violence. But the path of the **just** is like the shining sun, That shines ever brighter

unto the perfect day. **The** way of the wicked is like darkness; They do not know what makes them stumble (Proverbs 4:14-19). ‘

When the Israelites came out of the land of Egypt, He emphasized the issue. ‘

Observe what I command you this day. Behold, I am driving out from before you the Amorite and the Canaanite and the **Hittite** and the Perizzite and the Hivite and the **Jebusite**. Take, **heed** to yourself, lest you make a covenant with the inhabitants of the land where you **are** going, lest it be a snare in your midst (Exodus 34:11-12).

The problem was not so much that the pagan influences **of** the other nations would pollute **God's** covenant people, though **it** is true that ‘Bad company corrupts good morals’ (1. Corinthians 15:33). The **real** problem was that **God** desired *that the people rely on Him, and Him slow*. For their security, for their **guidance**, for their **inspiration**, for their **standards** of good and evil, of right and wrong, of beauty and knowledge, of pleasure and wisdom, God was to be entirely sufficient, their only source of help and hope.

Blessed is the man Who does not **walk** in the counsel of the ungodly, Nor stand in the path of sinners, Nor sit in the seat of the scornful; But his delight is in the **law** of the Lord, And in His law he meditates day and night (Psalm 1:1-2).

Every time God's people violated this basic principle, catastrophe resulted.

Lot entered into an unholy alliance with Bera, king of Sodom, and **as** a result lost **all** his wealth, his position, his home, and finally his wife (Genesis 19:1-26).

Asa entered into an unholy alliance with **Ben-hadad**, king of **Aram**, and as a result emptied both the **royal** and the temple treasuries, virtually bankrupting the kingdom (I Kings 15:16-19).

Jehoshaphat entered **into** an unholy alliance with Ahab the apostate, king of Israel, and as a result nearly lost his life to deception and intrigue (1 Kings 22:24-33; 2 Chronicles 18:1).

Having failed to learn his lesson, Jehoshaphat entered into still another unholy alliance, this time with Ahab's son Ahaziah,

and as a result, the entire royal fleet was lost in **Ezion-Geber** (2 Chronicles 20:35-37).

God's intention was not simply to make **Israel** insular and isolationist (Isaiah 56:9-12). Neither were His prohibitions an expression of prejudice (Acts 10:34). They were moral, not political. They were **ethical**, not cultural.

Israel was to **nurture** the nations of the earth (Isaiah 49:6). Israel was to **be** a light to the nations (Isaiah 42:6). That meant that God's people 'had to be a "peculiar people" (Deuteronomy 14:2). They had to be a 'nation of priests' unto the Lord (Exodus 19:6). They had to be utterly uncompromised and uncompromising (Deuteronomy 18:9-13).

Otherwise, they would not be able to lead all the peoples of the earth to truth and righteousness (Matthew 15:14). They would not be able to be the "nursery of the Kingdom" (Jeremiah 5:30-31). Since dominion' is accomplished through *service*, whenever they allowed evil doers to *serve* as their security, they yielded their authority and dominion to them.

Thus, if believers are "to go-and make disciples of the nations, baptizing, them in the **name** of the Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit, teaching them to observe all that (Christ has) commanded" (Matthew 28:19-20), then we *must abstain from all unholy alliances, relying solely and completely upon the Lord.*

The Nursery of the Kingdom

When the church inherited Israel's charge to nurse the nations of the earth with the waters of life (Revelation 22:17), the bread of life (John 6:31; 1 Corinthians 11:24), and the Word of life (1 John 1:1), she also inherited the prohibition against unholy alliances.

Do not be unequally yoked together with unbelievers. For what fellowship has righteousness and lawlessness? And what **communion** has light with darkness? And what accord has Christ with **Belial**? Or what part has a believer with an unbeliever? And what agreement has the temple of God with idols? For you are the **temple** of the living God. As God has said: "I **will** dwell in them and walk among them. I will be their God, And they shall be My **peo-**

pie. Therefore, Come out **from** among them and be **separate,**" says the Lord. "**Do** not touch what is **unclean,** And I will receive you. **I**, **will** be a Father to you, And you shall be **My** sons and daughters to **Me,** Says the Lord **Almighty.**" Therefore, having these promises, beloved, let us **cleanse** ourselves **from** all filthiness of the flesh and spirit, **perfecting** holiness in the fear of God (2 **Corinthians** 6:14-7:1).

The absolute importance of this command is brought into focus, interestingly, enough, in the celebration of the Lord's Supper.

The Lord's Supper! Again?

Again, **indeed!** As it turns out, the Lord's Supper **is** one of *the* primary sources of Biblical instruction on charity.

The common meal was to be protected **from** all defilement and trivialization: from divisions and factions (1 **Corinthians** 11:18-19), from selfishness and gluttony (1 **Corinthians** 11:21-22), from false motives and lack of **commitment** (1 **Corinthians** 11:23-25), from dry ritualism and unworthiness (1 **Corinthians** 11:26-27), and **from** self righteousness and **judgementalism** (1 **Corinthians** 11:28-29).

The **meal** was to be pure and undefiled, because it was **the** celebration of a New Era, a New Covenant (Luke 22:20). Again, this is what Jesus was **talking** about when He said,

The **kings** of the Gentiles exercise lordship over them, and those who exercise authority over them, are called "benefactors." But not so among you; on the contrary, he who is greatest among you, let him be as the younger, and he who governs as he who serves. For who is greater, the one who sits at the table, or he who serves? Is it not he who sits at the table? Yet I am among you as the , One who serves: But you are those who have continued with **Me** in My trials. And **I** bestow upon you a **kingdom,** just as My, Father bestowed one upon Me, that you may eat and drink at My table in My kingdom and sit on thrones judging the twelve tribes of Israel" (Luke 22:25-30).

In the New Era, the New Covenant, Christ gathers *His* people around *His* bountiful table and feeds **them.** He then sets them upon thrones to judge over the Kingdom. Unlike the unbelievers who trust men and the ways of men, the servant **rulers** at **God's** table are set **apart** by their humble commitment to trust Christ and the ways of Christ.

Unmarked by unholy alliances and the striving of mere men, the service of the Lord's Supper was thus the ultimate sign of the church's influence over the world. It marked her dominion over the powers and principalities and it distinguished her from the so-called "benefactors" of the world. It set her apart as the nursery of the Kingdom: serving from the very table of God.

The crumbs from this spiritual table are to feed the world (Matthew 15:27).

Bureaucracies and Benefactors

The contemporary church has, in utter defiance of this basic Biblical truth, struck a deal with the "benefactors" thus diluting or perhaps even nullifying their influence over the world. Though her leaders readily acknowledge their responsibility to care for the poor, they have "gone down to Egypt" for help. Like Ahaz, they have looked around at apparently impossible circumstances and have decided to enter into an unholy alliance. Instead of trusting God, feeding the hungry, clothing the naked, sheltering the homeless, and comforting the distressed His way, from the table of the Kingdom, they have run to the lumbering bureaucracies of big government for assistance.

Scripture demands that *Christians* do the work of charity, *not* bureaucrats. Scripture asserts that the *church* is to be society's primary advocate for the needy, *not* the state. *Believers* are to lead the way with unswerving compassion and concern.

In no other way can God's people gain long-term dominion and authority. The principle of service is the foundation of dominion.

When the church and her leaders call for more government interference in the economy, more programs to "help the poor," and more legislation to provide entitlements, benefits, and affirmative action, the work of the Kingdom is inevitably compromised and paralyzed. An unholy alliance has been forged. When the church and her leaders go and ask the state to do what she should be doing, when she attempts to escape her responsibility by placing it on the shoulders of bureaucracy, dominion is subverted. When the church abandons the table of the Lord, and the responsibility

to serve from that table, she also abandons her right to sit upon the throne of **judgement**.

The poor must be **com-d-for**.

But it is the community of faith that **must do** the caring, The church is to nurse the world, not the state. The *church* must serve.

And she must do it unhindered and unencumbered by unholy alliances.

Then and only then can the church take her proper place **leading**, influencing, shaping, and guiding the world. Then and only then can the church “be like a watered garden, and like a spring of water whose waters do not fail” (Isaiah **58:11**). Then and only then can the church “rebuild the ancient ruins” and “raise up the aged foundations” and “be called the repairer of the breach, the restorer of the streets in which to dwell” (Isaiah **58:12**).

Then and only then can Christian **Reconstruction** be **accomplished** in any measure.

Volker's Lesson

William **Volker** was a millionaire **back** in the days when a million dollars was a lot of money. He was an immigrant who started with nothing, and he amassed a fortune. And from the first day as a youth when he went to work; he tithed. Over his lifetime, **he** gave away a fortune — no, several fortunes.

Volker, in 1910, as a leading citizen of St. Louis, Missouri, helped organize one of the first publicly **funded** welfare departments in the nation, the Municipal Department of Public Welfare. He contributed vast sums to it — the last major donor from the private sector. He had to learn the hard way that charity and authority are directly linked.

The Department was operated by the city. And through that charitable base the city's politicians **laid** the foundations for one of the most powerful political machines in American history. The man who inherited control of the Department was Tom Pendergast. Since he knew how to use that control politically, Pendergast was able to make and unmake politicians in Missouri **for several** decades, including an **obscure** Justice of the Peace named Harry **Truman**.

By 1918, Volker realized what his decision had really cost the city, and he vowed never again to compromise on this **fundamental** principle: *the separation of charity and State*. Never again would he mix charity with politics. He had learned his lesson the hard way. Politicians always try to buy votes with tax **dollars**. He adopted as **his** goal in life Jesus' words: "Take heed that you do not do your charitable deeds before men, to be seen by them. Otherwise you have **no reward** from your Father in **heaven**" (Matthew 6:1). From 1918 on, he did his charity in private. He gave away millions in secret. Even his biography was titled *Mr. Anonymous*. No more unholy alliances.

Conclusion

The third basic principle in the Biblical blueprint for welfare is that the church must not rely on civil government to do its job of charity. She must not even enlist the government's help. To do so would be to entangle herself in an unholy alliance.

Ahaz entangled himself and his kingdom in an unholy alliance because he simply could not bring himself to trust in the sufficiency of **God's care**. As a result, the nation was compromised and fell into terrible cycles of sin and judgement.

God wants His people to be the nursery of the Kingdom, **nursing** the nations of the earth on the goodness of the Word. They are to make a clear distinction between themselves and the "benefactors" of the world. The Lord's Supper illustrates that distinction: dependent disciples feeding off of God's inexhaustible bounty, serving the world, by grace through **faith**, this not of themselves.

Charity is too important to be left to the state. It is also way too dangerous to be left to the state.

Charity **is the church's** job. *Not* the government's. Thus, the **church** should do the job. Without the meddling aid of the civil government. Without unholy alliances.

Summary

Ahaz entered into an unholy alliance with the enemies of God and brought great suffering on his **subjects**, the people of Judah.

In so doing, **Ahaz** violated one of the most basic principles in all of Scripture: **God's** people must not depend on the **world** or the ways of the world or the, **men** of the **world**; the sovereign Lord *alone* is their security.

Dominion is accomplished **through service**, so when we allow **evil** doers to **serve** as our security, **we** yield authority and dominion to them.

The church is charged with being the **nursery** of the Kingdom. Thus, the church must bring the benefits of Kingdom to the **na-**tions - *not* the bureaucrats, *not the* benefactors, but the church.

Thus when we compromise ourselves **with** a reliance on government to do *our* job, we not only yield undue **authorit y** and unwarranted dominion to them, but we also limit the effectiveness of our evangelistic impact.

Charity is too important to be left to the state. It is also way too **dangerous** to be left to the state. It is time we did *our* job.

HI HO, HI HO, IT'S OFF TO WORK WE GO

For even when we were with you, we commanded you this: if anyone will not work, neither shall he eat" (2 Thessalonians 3:10).

When Jesus issued a call for "laborers" for the harvest (Luke 10:2), he sure got one in Paul of Tarsus!

Paul labored in times of poverty and in times of prosperity (Philippians 4:12). He labored amidst persecution and popularity (Acts 14:8-19). He labored at home and abroad (Acts 11:25-30). He labored in season and out (2 Timothy 4:1-8). He labored with any number of friends and helpers, "and he labored alone (2 Timothy 4:9-12). He continued to labor though beaten with rods, stoned, and shipwrecked (2 Corinthians 11: 25). He labored on frequent journeys despite dangers from rivers, dangers from robbers, dangers from the Jews, dangers from the Gentiles, dangers in the wilderness, dangers on the sea, and' dangers from false brethren (2 Corinthians 11:26). He labored through many hardships: sleepless nights, hunger, thirst, cold, and exposure (2 Corinthians 11:27). Paul labored on and, on and on.

He learned the importance of labor early in his life, long before his conversion. Though he was set aside by his education and skills to be a teacher of the Law (Acts 22:3), he still was required to learn a trade. And tentmaking was the trade he learned (Acts 18:2-3).

Tentmaking is hard work.

Later, when he began to labor for the harvest, he continued to support his ministry through tentmaking. Speaking to the Ephesian elders, he said,

Yes, you **yourselves** know that” these hands have provided for my necessities, and **for** those who were with me. I have shown you in every way, by laboring **like** this, that you must support the weak. And remember the words of the Lord Jesus, that He said, “**It is more** blessed to give than to receive” (Acts **20:34-35**).

He made certain to drive that same point home at every opportunity. He emphasized it when he wrote **to** the Corinthian church the first time (1 Corinthians **4:12, 9:14-15**), and again in his second letter (2 Corinthians 11:7, 12:13). He noted his labors twice in the first letter to the **Thessalonian** church (1 **Thessalonians 2:9, 4:11**), and again in his second letter (2 **Thessalonians 3:8**). And in every other New Testament letter, save one, he touched upon the issue either directly or indirectly.

In fact, one of his favorite designations for his brethren in the ministry was “co-laborer” or “fellow-worker,” just to make certain that the point got across (**Romans 16:3, 9, 21; Philippians 2:25, 4:3; Philemon 1, 24**).

The **Biblical** Work Ethic

The truth of it is though, Paul wasn’t even **all** that unique among the heroes of the ‘faith in his commitment to diligent labor. God very often used workmen, common ordinary laborers, in the **enactment of** his glorious plan of redemption. He used shepherds like Jacob (Genesis **30:31-43**) and David (1 Samuel **17:15**). He used farmers like Amos (Amos **7:14**) and Gideon (Judges **6:11**). He used merchants like Abraham (Genesis **13:2**) and Lydia (Acts **16:14**). He used craftsmen like **Aquila** (Acts **18:2-3**) and **Oholiab** (Exodus **31:6**). He used artists like Solomon (1 Kings **4:32**) and **Bezalel** (Exodus **31:2-5**). And **the** disciples He chose to convert the nations of the earth in the first century were laborers, men. of low esteem: tax collectors and fishermen (Acts **4:13**).

The reason for this is that *work* is the means by which God’s people obtain their promised dominion of the earth. Everitually, the “craftsmen” will overcome the “horns” (**Zechariah 1:18-21**). In other words, those who work diligently at their God-ordained tasks will overcome those who grasp for power by force and **decep-**

tion. Notice, that throughout Scripture, implements of work are continually shown to destroy implements of war and intrigue.

Shamgar prevailed over an army of 600 Philistine warriors with only an oxgoad (Judges 3:31).

Jael defeated the commander of the Canaanite army with a tent peg (Judges 4:17-22). ‘

Gideon led his tiny band of faithful men to victory against the Midianite army with nothing more than empty pitchers, torches, and trumpets (Judges 7:13-23).

- Wicked **Abimelech** defeated every army he marched against, but he was helpless against the millstone hurled upon his head by the woman of Thebez (Judges 9:50-54).

Samson destroyed 1,000 Philistine with nothing but the jawbone of an ass (Judges 15:14-16).

The **swordless**, spearless, and spiritless brigade of Saul was able to deliver Israel from the mighty Philistine army with only a small, two-man diversion (1 Samuel 13:19-14:23).

And the young David overwhelmed the giant warrior Goliath without armor, without a sword, and without shield or spear; he had only his shepherd's staff and sling, along with five smooth stones from a brook (1 Samuel 17:40-50).

Even Christ used ordinary tools, implements of work, when He made a spectacle of the powers and the principalities: He *nailed* them to the cross (**Colossians** 2:13-15)!

Dominion comes *through service*. But it comes *by* work. Work is the hand that plucks the golden fruit of God's very great and precious promises. Work will ultimately, inevitably overcome force and deception. The plowshare and the **pruning** hook will overcome the sword and the spear.

Now it will come to pass in the latter days, That the mountain of the Lord's house shall be established on the top of the mountains, And shall be exalted above the hills; And all nations shall flow to it. Many people shall come and say, 'Come, and let us go up to the mountain of the LORD, To the house of the God of Jacob; He will teach us His ways, And we shall walk in His paths.' For out of Zion shall go forth the law, And the words of the Lord from

Jerusalem. He **shall** judge between&e nations, And shall rebuke many peoples; They **shall** beat their swords into plowshares, And their spears into pruning hooks; Nation shall not lift up sword against nation, Neither shall they learn war anymore (Isaiah 2:2-4).

God is the one” who awards power, wealth, and dominion (Deuteronomy 8:18), and He awards it to laborers and diligent workers (Proverbs 10:4).

Far from being a bitter consequence of the Fall then, work is a vital aspect of God’s overall purpose for man. In fact, “a man can do nothing better than find satisfaction in his work” (Ecclesiastes 2:24, 3:22).

Work is a part of God’s perfect plan for all men. We were made for work. Thus, an abundance of work is a blessing. Lack of work is a curse.

Who Are the Poor?

According to Scripture, there are basically two categories’ of poor people. Both categories are at least partially defined in terms of *work*. There are the poor who are *denied the* opportunity to work, and there are the poor who *refuse* the opportunity to work. The early Elizabethan “Poor Laws,” upon which our social policies in Western Civilization were built until recently, called these the “deserving” and the “undeserving” poor. The Bible calls them the “oppressed” and the “sluggardly” poor.

It is critical that we thoroughly comprehend this differentiation if we are to exercise Biblical charity in any measure.

The Oppressed

The oppressed are the objects of God’s special care.

Happy is he who has the God of Jacob for his help, Whose hope is in the LORD his God, Who made heaven and earth, The sea, and all that is in them; Who keeps truth forever; Who executes justice for the oppressed, Who gives food to the hungry. The LORD gives freedom to the prisoners. The LORD opens the eyes of the blind; The LORD raises those who are bowed down; The LORD

loves the righteous. The **LORD** watches over the strangers; He relieves the fatherless and the widow; But the way of the wicked He turns -upside down (Psalm 146:5-9). ‘

I will greatly praise the Lord with my mouth; **Yes, I will praise Him among the multitude. For He shall stand at the right hand of the poor, To save him from those who condemn him”** (Psalm 109:30-31).

I know that the **LORD** will maintain the cause of the afflicted, And justice for the poor (**Psalm 140:12**).

He sets on high those who are lowly, And those who mourn are lifted to safety. He frustrates the devices of the crafty, So that their hands cannot carry out their plans. He catches the wise in their own craftiness, And the counsel of the cunning comes quickly upon them. They meet with darkness in the daytime, And grope at noontime as in the night. But **He saves the needy from the sword,** From the mouth of the mighty, And from their hand. **So the poor have hope, And injustice shuts her mouth** (Job 5:11-16).

Lord, You have heard the desire of the humble; You will prepare their heart; You will cause Your ear to hear, To do justice to the fatherless and the oppressed, That the man of the earth may oppress no more (Psalm 10:17-18).

, The Lord executes righteousness and justice for all who are oppressed (Psalm 103:6).

When Jesus began His ministry, His attentions were especially devoted to the oppressed. He dwelt among **them** (Luke 5:1-11); He ate with them (Luke 5:27-32); He comforted them (Luke 12:22-34); He fed them (Luke 9:10-17); He restored them to health (Luke 5:12-16); and He ministered to them (Luke 7:18-23). When He summarized His life's work, He quoted Isaiah, saying,

The Spirit of the Lord is upon Me, because He has anointed **Me** to preach the gospel to the poor. He has sent Me to heal the brokenhearted, To preach deliverance to the captives And recovery of sight to the blind, to set at liberty those who are oppressed, To preach the acceptable year of the Lord (Luke 4:18-19).

And when He responded to John the Baptist's request for evidence that He was indeed the Christ, He said,

. . . Go and tell John the things you **have** seen and heard: that the blind see, the **lame walk**, the lepers are cleansed, and the deaf **hear**, the dead are raised, the poor have the gospel preached to them. And blessed is he who is not offended because of Me (Luke 7:22-23).

The Sluggards

But while the oppressed are the objects of God's special care, the **sluggardly** are the objects of His special condemnation.

Sluggards waste opportunities (Proverbs 6:9-10), bring poverty upon themselves (Proverbs 10:4), are victims of self-inflicted bondage (Proverbs 12:24), and are unable to accomplish anything in life (Proverbs 15:19). A sluggard is prideful (Proverbs 13:4), boastful (Proverbs 10:26), lustful (Proverbs 13:4), **wasteful** (Proverbs 12: 27), improvident (Proverbs 20:4), and lazy (Proverbs 24:30-34). He is self-deceived (Proverbs 26:16), neglectful (**Ecclesiastes 10:18**), unproductive (Matthew 25:26), and impatient (Hebrews 6:12). A sluggard will die for the lack of discipline, led astray by his own great folly (Proverbs 5:22-23). Though he continually makes excuses for himself (Proverbs 22:13), his laziness will consume him (Proverbs 24:30-34), paralyze him (Proverbs 26:14), and leave him hungry (Proverbs 19:15).

True Charity

There is a *clear* distinction then between the oppressed and the **sluggardly**. The oppressed *would* work, if only they *could*. The **sluggardly** *could* work, if only they *would*.

Since the only means of moving up and out of poverty, and in fact the only means of fulfilling God's purpose for our lives, is through diligent labor, the distinction between those **who** will work and those who won't, has *very* important implications for poverty relief.

Charity to the oppressed involves loosening "**the** bonds of wickedness," undoing "the bonds of the heavy burden," and letting

“the oppressed go free” (Isaiah 58:6); it involves dividing bread with the **hungry**, bringing the homeless poor into the house, and covering the naked (Isaiah 58: 7). It involves transforming poverty into productivity.

Charity to the sluggardly, on the other hand, involves *admonition and reproof* (2 Thessalonians 3:15; Proverbs 13:18). The **compassionate** and loving response to a sluggard is to *warn* him. He is to, be warned of the consequences of immorality (Proverbs 5:10), of sloth (Proverbs 6:11), of deception (Proverbs 10:3), of negligence (Proverbs 10:4), of selfishness (Proverbs 11:24), of boastfulness (Proverbs 14:23), of **slackfulness** (Proverbs 19:15), of drunkenness (Proverbs 21:17), of gluttony (Proverbs 23:21), and of thievery (Proverbs 28:22). Charity to the **sluggardly** does not add to his complacency by making life increasingly easier to abuse through promiscuous entitlement programs. Instead, charity to the **sluggardly** equips and enables him to, move *beyond dependency*, beyond entitlement.”

Subsidizing sluggards is the same as *subsidizing evil*. It is *subsidizing' dependence*. It is ultimately *subsidizing slavery*— moral slavery first, and then physical slavery. On the other hand, refusing to care for the oppressed” is the same as *endorsing evil*. It is *endorsing injustice*. It is ultimately *endorsing slavery*— again, moral and physical.

Implementing Care

The Apostle Paul, master laborer for the Kingdom of Christ, understood all this, **and thus** built into the Biblical blueprint for welfare basic work requirements; requirements that ultimately made distinctions between the oppressed, or deserving poor, and the **sluggardly**, or undeserving poor.

But we command you, brethren, in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that you withdraw from every brother who walks disorderly and not according to the tradition which he received from us. For you yourselves know **how** you ought to follow us; for we were not disorderly among you; nor did we eat anyone's bread free of charge, but worked with labor and toil night and day, that we “might not be a burden to any of you, not because we do not have

the authority, but to make ourselves an **example of how you should follow us.**' For even when we were with you, we **commanded you,** this: **If anyone will not work, neither shall he eat. For we hear that** , there are' some who walk among you **in a disorderly manner,** not working at all, **but** are busybodies. Now **those who are such** we 'command and exhort through our **Lord Jesus Christ that** they work in quietness and eat their own bread. **But as for you, brethren,** do not, **grow weary in doing good.** And if anyone does 'not obey our word in **this epistle,** note that person and do not keep **company with him,** that he may be ashamed., And yet **do not count him as an** 'enemy, but admonish him as a brother (2 **Thessalonians 3:6-15**).

Those who **were to gather** around the table **of the Lord,** to eat, of His bounty, **to benefit from His charity,** **were required to work.** If they refused, then they forfeited access to the table.

It was that simple.

Really, it still is.

The Christian obligation to the oppressed is to remove the bonds that hinder them, not simply to administer emergency relief. The Good Samaritan task is to set them on the road **to recovery.** Charity involves education, job training, family counseling, youth rehabilitation, and money managements well as soup kitchens, rescue missions, and public shelters. Charity involves legal and legislative advocacy that opens up the bottom half of the" free market so that, the poor are not locked out of the economy. This, in addition to advocating civil rights and civil liberties.

The Christian obligation to the **sluggardly** is to 'knock away the props. The Good Samaritan task is to get them back on their own two feet. Charity involves removing crippling "entitlements." (What a horrible word: it means that sluggards are legally *entitled* to the wealth of the thrifty.) Charity means getting rid of state-run affirmative action programs, subsidies, and give-away schemes, as well as enacting health and hygiene programs. It involves getting rid of all **state** legislated impediments to labor: minimum wage laws, occupational licensing restrictions, and "closed shop" union regulations. Charity involves honest, tough love. After all, accommodating sin benefits no one.'

Concision

The fourth basic principle in the Biblical **blueprint** for welfare is that work is the foundation of charity. Productivity is the only cure for poverty. Productivity is **the fruit** of labor. Thus, labor must be the manner and the means of poverty relief.

The Apostle Paul modeled the centrality of the work ethic in his own life and **ministry**. He illustrated the truth that dominion is attained through work. He showed that work was essential to the call of God upon our lives. Thus, any poor who **are** unwilling to work have not only denied themselves the opportunity to be **all** God wishes them to be, they have also denied **the** opportunity to receive charitable relief as well.

Handouts are not Biblical. Work is.

Charity, true charity, Biblical charity, recognizes that fact, and **implements** it.

Summary

Work is a central theme throughout the Scriptures. It is prominent in Paul's writings as well as **all** of the Old Testament because work is at the heart of man's created purpose.

The Biblical work ethic is foundational to **everything** Scripture has to say about 'wealth, poverty, dominion, and charity.

Thus, the poor can be identified and defined, at least in part, by their attitudes toward work: the oppressed **who** are denied the opportunity to work and the **sluggardly** who refuse the opportunity to work.

According to Scripture, we are to show **charity** to *both* the oppressed and the **sluggardly**, but the charity we show will be different for each: relief to the oppressed, admonition to the **sluggardly**. Basic to Biblical charity then, is the imperative of the Apostle Paul, "If anyone will not work, he shall not **eat**."

If we are to do our evangelistic duty to care for the poor, we must not fall into the trap of promiscuous giving. **We** must make certain that our charity adheres to the Biblical blueprint.

SHEAVES FOR THE PROVIDENT

Now when you **reap** the harvest of your land, you shall not wholly reap the corners of your field, nor **shall** you gather the gleanings of your harvest. And you shall not glean your vineyard, nor shall you gather every grape of your vineyard; you shall leave **them** for the poor and the stranger: I am the Lord your God (Leviticus 19:9-10).'

The rolling Judean hills were, fresh with the sights, sounds, and smells of harvest. As Naomi and her young daughter-in-law Ruth made their approach **from** the southeast, the contrast must have been quite stark. The land before them was rich with grain, alive with activity, and bustling with joy. The land behind them was dry, desolate, and dismal with the bitter memory of death and deprivation. The land before them was Bethlehem, the "house of bread." The land behind them was **Moab**, literally the place of "no bread." The land before them was the land of promise. **The** land behind them was the place of condemnation (Ruth 1:2, 6).

But even though Bethlehem was the land of promise for Naomi and Ruth, offering hope where there had been only despair before, they still had a number of serious problems ahead of them.

They were impoverished. They were widows. Naomi was aged and Ruth was an alien. Neither had any visible means of support. ' What could they do?

Determined to take responsibility for her mother-in-law (Ruth 1:14), Ruth does the only thing she could do. She goes out to find work (Ruth 2:2). She decides to take advantage of Israel's generous "gleaners" laws.

So Ruth the Moabite said to Naomi, "Please let me go to the field, and glean heads of grain after him in whose sight I may find favor." And she said to her, "Go, my daughter." Then she left, and went and gleaned in the field after the reapers. And she happened to come to the part of the field belonging to Boaz, who was of the family of Elimelech (Ruth 2:2-3).

According to God's Law, charity was essentially opportunity. Opportunity to work. Opportunity to labor. Opportunity to pull up by the bootstraps.

And that's all Ruth wanted! She didn't need a handout. She didn't need to stand in lines, to fill out forms, to wade through bureaucratic red tape. She needed the opportunity to work.

Now behold, Boaz came from Bethlehem, and said to the reapers, "The Lord be with you!" And they answered him, "May the Lord bless you!" Then Boaz said to his servant who was in charge of the reapers, "Whose young woman is this?" So the servant who was in charge of the reapers answered and said, "It is the young Moabite woman who came back with Naomi from the country of Moab. And she said, 'Please let me glean and gather after the reapers among the sheaves.' So she came and has continued from morning until now, though she rested a little in the house" (Ruth 2:4-7).

Gleaning was, hard, backbreaking work. Following behind harvesters, collecting the overlooked, cast off, and leftover grain could not have been easy or pleasant for Ruth. But she was determined to live in terms of God's covenant (Ruth 1:16-17) and to move up out of destitution by working with all diligence and fervor.

This determination was impressive. And it especially impressed Boaz, the owner of the field from which Ruth was gleaning.

Then Boaz said to Ruth, "You will listen, my daughter, will you not? Do not go to glean in another field; nor go from here, but stay close by my young women. Let your eyes be on the field which they reap, and go after them. Have I not commanded the young men not to touch you? And when you are thirsty, go to the vessels and drink from what the young men have drawn." Then she fell on her face, bowed down to the ground, and said to him, "Why have I found favor in your eyes, that you should take notice of me, since I

am a foreigner? **And Boaz answered** and said to her, 'It has been fully reported to me; all that you have done for your **mother-in-law** since the death of your husband, and how you have left your father and your mother and the land of your **birth**, and have come to a people whom you did not know before. **The Lord** repay your work, and a full reward be given you by the Lord God "of Israel, under whose wings you have come for refuge." Then she said, 'Let me find favor in your sight, my lord; for you have comforted me, and have spoken kindly to your maidservant, though I am not like one of your maidservants.'" Now **Boaz** said to her at mealtime, "Come here, and eat of the bread, and dip your piece of bread in the vinegar." So she sat beside the reapers; and he passed parched grain to her; and she ate and was satisfied, and kept some back, And when she rose up to glean, **Boaz** commanded his young men, saying, 'Let her glean even among the sheaves, and do not reproach her. Also let some grain from the bundles fall purposely for her; leave it that she may glean, and do not rebuke her.'" So she gleaned in the field until evening, and beat out what she had gleaned, and it was about 'an eph of barley. Then she took it up and went into the city, and her mother-in-law saw 'what she had gleaned. So she brought out and gave to her what she had kept back after she had been satisfied (Ruth 2:8-18).

Eventually, by God's grace, and by force 'of an unwavering faithfulness, Ruth and Naomi were raised up out of poverty. **Society**, under the rule of Biblical Law, gave them an opportunity. And they took it.

The Opportunity **Society**

The gleaning laws that Ruth took advantage 'of in Bethlehem were an integral part of **God's** blueprint for welfare.

When you reap the harvest of your land, you shall not wholly reap to the comers of your field, nor shall you gather the gleanings of your harvest. And you shall not glean your vineyard, nor shall you gather every grape of your vineyard; you shall leave them for the poor and the stranger: I am the Lord your God (Leviticus 19:9-10).

"You shall not pervert justice due the stranger or the fatherless, nor take a widow's garment as a pledge. But you shall remember

that you were a slave in Egypt, and that the Lord your God redeemed you from there; therefore I command you to do this thing. When you reap your harvest in your field, and forget a sheaf in the field, you shall not go back to get it; it shall be for the stranger, the fatherless, and the widow, that the Lord your God may bless you in all the work of your hands. When you beat your olive tree, you shall not go over the boughs again; it shall be for the stranger, the fatherless, and the widow. When you gather the grapes of your vineyard, you shall not glean it afterward; it shall be for the stranger, the fatherless, and the widow. And you shall remember that you were a slave in Egypt; therefore I command you to do this thing (Deuteronomy 24:17-22).

When you reap the harvest of your land, you shall not wholly reap the corners of your field when you reap, nor shall you gather any gleaning from your harvest. You shall leave them for the poor and for the stranger: I am the Lord your God (Leviticus 23:22).

God's opportunity society provided protection and opportunity for aliens and sojourners (Exodus 23:9), for travelers (Deuteronomy 23:24-25), for orphans and widows (Deuteronomy 24:19), and for the needy and oppressed (Leviticus 19:9-10). If they were willing to submit to the terms of God's covenant, willing to labor, willing to glean from the edges of the field and the tops of the trees, then they would be able to make, it. They would be able to transform poverty into productivity.

That is *real* charity. That is *Biblical* charity.

The opportunity provided by the gleaning laws might seem to be an isolated, historical and cultural incidence, hidden away amidst all the other obscurities of the Mosaic Law Code. Actually though, gleaning is a *prominent* feature of the Biblical blueprint for welfare, spanning over 1500 years of revelation, a millennium and a half! In fact, it is *the* prominent feature.

Gleaning is the primary means of exercising charity in the Law, of course (Leviticus 19:9-10; Deuteronomy 24:17-22), but it is also the primary means in the Old Testament books of history (Ruth 2:2), and in the Prophets (1 Samuel 21:1-6). Gleaning principles also take a high profile in the Gospels (Mark 2:23), and in the New Testament Letters (2 Thessalonians 3:10),

The Confidence Factor

When David was fleeing the wrath of King Saul, the *symbol* of God's provision, the Showbread, became for him *actual* provision.

Then David came to Nob, to Ahimilech the priest. And Ahimilech was afraid when he met David, and said to him, "Why are you alone, and no one with you?" So David said to Ahimelech the priest, "The king has ordered me on some business, and said to me, 'Do not let anyone know anything about the business on which I send you, or what I have commanded 'you.' And I have directed my young men. to such and such a place. 'Now therefore, what do you have on hand? Give me five loaves of bread in my hand, or whatever can be found.'" And the priest answered David and said, "There is no common bread on hand; but there is holy bread, if the young men have at least kept themselves from women," Then David answered the priest, and said to him, "Truly, women have been kept from us about three days since I came out. And the vessels of the young men are holy, and the bread is in effect common, even though it was sanctified in the vessel this day." So the priest gave him holy bread; for there was no bread there but the showbread which had been taken from before the Lord, in order to put hot bread in its place when it was taken away (1 Samuel 21:1-6).

On the surface, this incident – focusing as it does on the Sabbath Showbread– seems to have nothing whatsoever to do with gleaning, but Scripture ties the two together, making them inseparable concepts.

The Showbread was an important part of the worship of God's covenant people. It is variously called the 'continual bread' (Numbers 4:7), the "sacred bread" (Hebrews 9:2), the "bread of ordering" (1 Chronicles 9: 32), and the 'bread of presence" (Exodus 35:13). It was an everlasting symbol (Exodus 25:30) of the "everlasting covenant for the sons of Israel" (Leviticus 24:8).' It was meant to remind the people that God is man's Provider and Sustainer, that God will ever and always feed them from His bountiful table. It was a surety that God dwelt amidst His chosen and cared for them. The Showbread was a kind of visual sermon paralleling Christ's own Sermon on the Mount:

Therefore I say to you, do not worry about your life, what you will eat or what you will drink; nor about your body, what you will put on. Is not life more than food and the body than clothing? Look at the birds of the air, for they neither sow nor reap nor gather into barns; and yet your heavenly Father feeds them. Are you not of more value than they? Which of you by worrying can add one cubit to his stature? So why do you worry about clothing? Consider the lilies of the field, how they grow: they neither toil nor spin; and yet I say to you that even Solomon in all his glory was not arrayed like one of these. Now if God so clothes the grass of the field, which today is, and tomorrow is thrown into the oven, will He not much more clothe you, O you of little faith? Therefore do not worry, saying, 'What shall we eat?' or 'What shall we drink?' or 'What shall we wear?' For after all these things the Gentiles seek. For your heavenly Father knows that you need all these things. But seek first the kingdom of God and His righteousness, and all these things shall be added to you (Matthew 6:25-33).

Gleaning, like the Sabbath Showbread, is God's provision for man (Mark 2:2 7). It is evidence that all those "who are weary and heavy laden" shall have rest (Matthew 11:28).. It is the promise that the land of rest (Hebrews 4:1-11), the land flowing with milk and honey (Exodus 3:8), is set aside for the oppressed, the people of the covenant, the laborers for the harvest (Matthew 5:3-16). It is evidence. that indeed,

Blessed are you poor, For yours is the kingdom of God. Blessed are you who hunger now, For you shall be filled. Blessed are you who weep now, for you shall laugh (Luke 6:20-21).

Similarly, gleaning, like the Sabbath Showbread, is testimony that God is man's Provider and Sustainer (Psalm 55:22). It is the assurance that He "who covers the heavens with clouds, who provides rain for the earth, who 'makes grass to grow on the mountains, who gives to the beast its food and the young ravens which cry" (Psalm 147:7-9), will also "gather the outcasts of Israel" (Psalm 147:2), "heal the broken-hearted" (Psalm 147:3), 'support the afflicted'" (Psalm 147:6), and satisfy "with the finest of the wheat" (Psalm 147:14).

The Bible, as it so often does, ties **all** the loose ends **together**, forcing us all to see **the** "big picture." It shows us how **all** the pieces of our theological jigsaw **puzzle** fit together into one coherent whole. It takes **us** beyond "mere surface **legality** and legalism and drives us to **the** heart of the matter.

God's Law was never **intended** to be a burden upon **His** people.

The law of the-Lord is perfect, converting the soul; The testimony of the Lord is sure, making wise the simple; The statutes of the Lord are right, rejoicing the heart; the **commandment** of the Lord is pure, enlightening the eyes; The fear of **the** Lord is clean, enduring forever; The judgments of **the** Lord are true and righteous altogether. More to be desired than gold, Yea, than much fine gold; Sweeter also than honey and the honeycomb. Moreover by them Your servant is warned; And in keeping them there is great reward (Psalm 19:7-11).

God's Law was **intended** to provide life, **liberty**, and everlasting happiness. It was intended to create an atmosphere of justice, truth, and mercy. It was intended to make Israel an opportunity society.

The Land of the Free and the Home of **the** Brave

Were Ruth and Naomi to migrate to any major **urban** area in the United States today, it is highly unlikely that 'they' would find the kind of opportunity that **they** did several millennium ago in Bethlehem. 'And **this** is supposed to be the "Land of Opportunity."

The fault for this lies not with the lawmakers, the **farmers**, and the landowners. Instead, the fault lies with Christians. We have **all** too often perpetrated doctrines and theologies that fail to **integrate** the faith into a comprehensive whole. By separating "**spiritual**" matters from "physical" matters, we make ourselves vulnerable to Christ's condemnation:

Woe to you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! For you pay tithes of mint and anise and cumin, and have neglected the weightier matters of the law: justice and mercy and faith. These you ought to have done, without leaving others undone. Blind guides, who strain out a gnat and swallow a camel (Matthew 23:23-24).

When our churches, outreaches, and ministries begin to major on minor matters, when we develop structures that fail to reflect God's providential care for His people, we certainly are no better than the Pharisees.

Conclusion.

The fifth basic principle in the Biblical blueprint for welfare is that work opportunities are created through gleaning. Gleaning is the gracious provision God built into the opportunity society so that the poor could work their way up and out of the poverty trap.

Ruth was willing to labor long and hard, and thus was the recipient of charity in Israel's opportunity society. She pulled herself up by her bootstraps. She gathered in the sheaves that God had laid out for the provident.

Scripture demonstrates that the *very* laws which Ruth took advantage of are at the heart of God's message of liberty and abundance, for today. God wishes to "make a way" for His people. He desires us to conform to those laws so that once again ours can be the "Land of Opportunity."

, Summary

The story of Ruth and Naomi illustrates one of the most important charitable provisions that God built into Israel's society: *opportunity* based upon the work ethic.

In charity, the work ethic is evidenced in God's provision for gleaning: the poor were given opportunities to pull themselves up by their own bootstraps, by their own labor.

The *opportunity* afforded by gleaning is a concept that runs throughout the entire Bible: the Law, the Prophets, the Gospels, and the Letters all contain affirmations that the gleaning model is basic to God's program of care.

Even in the worship of God in the temple, the provision of gracious opportunity is highlighted.

Thus if we are to care for the poor in a Biblical fashion, fulfilling our evangelistic calling to wed Word and deed, we must make

our nation a "*Land of Opportunity*" once again. **We** must integrate gleaning opportunities into **every** aspect of our- society: in the private sector, in the marketplace,' and **in** the church.

CHARITY BEGINS “AT HOME

But if anyone does not provide for his own, and especially for those of his household, he has denied the faith and is worse than an unbeliever (1 Timothy 5:8).

The job was just too big for one person. Even for a person as gifted, as dynamic, as extraordinary as Moses was.

Day after day, week in and week out, from morning until evening, the children of Israel thronged around Moses. Questions. Disputes. Complaints. Concerns.

It was just too much.

Physically, emotionally, **and** spiritually, Moses could hardly keep up such a demanding schedule. Besides, he had other matters to attend to. He had to plan. He had to lead. He had to care for his family. He had to attend to his relationship with the Lord.

But on the other hand, he just couldn't turn away all these people, needy people, *his* people.

So when Moses' father-in-law saw all that he did for the people, he said, "What is this thing that you are doing for the people? Why do you alone sit and all the people stand before you from morning until evening?" And Moses said to his father-in-law, "Because the people come to me to inquire of God. When they have a difficulty, they come to me, and I judge between one and another; **and** I make known the statutes of God and His laws" (Exodus 18:14-16).

What Moses was attempting to do was quite admirable, but it was also quite foolish. He wouldn't be able to hold up long under such a tremendous strain. And **Jethro**, Moses' father-in-law, knew it.

So Moses' father-in-law said to him, "The thing that you do is not good. Both you and these people who are with you will surely wear yourselves out. For this thing is too much for you; you are not able to perform it by yourself. Listen now to my voice; I will give you counsel, and God will be with you; Stand before God for the people, so that you may bring the difficulties to God. And you shall teach them the statutes and the laws, and show them the way in which they must walk and the work they must do. Moreover you shall select from all the people able men, such as fear God, men of truth, hating covetousness; and place such over them to be rulers of thousands, rulers of hundreds, rulers of fifties, and rulers of tens. And let them judge the people at all times. Then it will be that every great matter they shall bring to you, but every small matter they themselves shall judge. So it will be easier for you, for they will bear the burden with you. If you do this thing, and God so commands you, then you will be able to endure, and all this people also will go to their place in peace" (Exodus 18:17-23).

Jethro didn't want to be presumptuous. He didn't want to step beyond proper bounds. After all, he *had* "heard of all that God had done for Moses and for Israel His people – that the Lord had brought Israel out of Egypt" (Exodus 18:1); he had even "rejoiced for all the good which the Lord had done" (Exodus 18:9) saying,

. . . Blessed be the Lord, who has delivered you out of the hand of the Egyptians and out of the hand of Pharaoh, and who has delivered the people from under the band of the Egyptians. Now I know that the Lord is greater than all the gods; for in the very thing in which they behaved proudly, He was above them (Exodus 18:10-11).

Still, he knew that his son-in-law was terribly overburdened, and that the situation needed to be righted. So with humble hesitation and sensitivity, he suggested that Moses, *de-centralize* the administration of justice and care in Israel.

So Moses heeded the voice of his father-in-law and did all that he had said. And Moses chose able men out of all Israel, and made them heads over the people: rulers of thousands, rulers of hundreds, rulers of fifties, and rulers of tens. So they judged the people

at all times; the **hard** cases they brought to Moses, but they judged every small case themselves (Exodus 18:24-26).

Jethro was simply encouraging Moses to **take advantage** of the principle of the division of labor.

Moses may have been able to give judicious counsel based on God's direct revelation, he may have been able to dispense "perfect" justice, and he may have been able to provide instantaneous satisfaction to the people, but he was ultimately limited by time and space. And those were limitations that no amount of brilliance or inspiration could overcome.

Besides, there were literally hundreds of qualified and gifted men among the people who were not being utilized at all in the work of the ministry. They were men who feared God, men of truth, men who hated dishonest gain — men who were simply wasting their leadership capabilities (Exodus 18:21).

God's plan was to make them a "nation of priests" (Exodus 19:6). He wanted to equip **them all** to be a peculiar people (Deuteronomy 26:18), a light to the nations (Isaiah 42:6), zealous for good works (1 Peter 2 :9). It would be necessary then to give them individual responsibilities. To decentralize. To effect a division of labor. To recognize **the gifts** and callings of the people. *All the* people.

Empire vs. Kingdom

What is the source of mankind's earthly blessings? The Bible is clear: God is. "And you **shall** remember the **Lord** your God, for it is He who gives you power to get wealth, that He may establish His covenant which He swore **to** your fathers, as it is this day" (Deuteronomy 8:18). "Every good gift and every perfect gift is from above, and comes down from the Father of lights, with whom there is not variation or shadow of turning" (James 1:17).

What is, **the** proper source of earthly authority? The Bible is clear on this point: God is. God executes judgement, in time and on earth (Deuteronomy 8:19-20).

How does God exercise His authority? Both directly and **in-**directly. God has established several earthly institutional **inter-**

mediaries (representatives), **but** He never relies on them exclusively. God's people **can always** appeal" to God directly through prayer. God is the **final** judge. **He** also judges men and institutions continually. He brings continual earthly **judgement**.

Earthly rewards **and** punishments come from God. This is what pagan societies deny. They allow God to be a final judge "beyond time, maybe, but He **is** not allowed to be a temporal judge,' ever. Other judges fulfill the role of final judge **in** pagan societies. Other gods reward and punish. Understand: He who rewards is He who punishes. The source of charity is **also** the source of power. In most pagan societies historically, **the state** has become the **final** judge: the ultimate dispenser **of** earthly rewards and punishments.

Whenever we find a doctrine of a **final** earthly authority, we find ethical rebellion. We find the sin of establishing a new god: mankind.

Pagan empires are organized as top-down societies, where one man rules from on high, as if he were God Himself. This bureaucratic empire is structured like a giant pyramid. **It's** no wonder that the Egyptians built pyramids as symbols of their nation, with its god-pharaohs.

The Hebrews built no pyramids for themselves. They built no Babylonian pyramid-like ziggurats (stepped pyramids reaching to the sky). Their only building of importance was the Temple, and even that came almost five centuries after they fled Egypt (1 Kings **6:1**). **Why no** pyramids? Because God's Kingdom model isn't anything like a pyramid.

The Kingdom of God is structured far differently from pagan empires. Absolute authority is always with God, and only with God. But that authority is personally applied through His Law by the Holy Spirit, and also through God-designed human institutions. Since nobody can legitimately claim to be God except God, no one can claim to be a final earthly authority. This means that the basis of the Kingdom must be a bottom-up system of multiple courts. Not one chain of command — *man*...

God deals with His people **directly** and personally. They can

pray to Him, and He hears their prayers. No intermediary is necessary. God's people are *saints*. This means **that** they have direct access to God's *sanctuary* through prayer. God never establishes human institutional barriers between Himself and His people's prayers. God warns His people: "You shall not afflict any widow or fatherless child. **If** you **afflict** them in any way, and they cry at all to Me, I will surely hear their cry; and My wrath will become **hot**, and **I** will kill you with the sword; your wives shall be widows, and your children fatherless" (Exodus 22:22-24). But He *does* require intermediary institutions in order to *restrain evil men*. The **three** main ones are church, family, and state.

There was a brief time in Israel's history when its structure of authority did resemble an empire: the period of the exodus. Moses was in complete authority. He was their representative **before** the pharaoh and before God. But then God removed this empire-like system. We can date the shift in Israel's institutional structure "**from** empire to Kingdom": Jethro's wise counsel to his son-in-law, and Moses' wise concurrence.

Decentralization

Thus, the principle of decentralization that Jethro advocated was not merely a matter of convenience, effected just for the sake of Moses. It was an essential part of God's plan for His covenant people. Israel was *not* to be an empire. It was to **be** a decentralized Kingdom of righteousness ruled by the Sovereign Lord. This is evident throughout Scripture.

When God gave instructions for the construction of **the** tabernacle, the plan for the division of labor was obvious. Clans, tribes, families, and individuals all had their different tasks (**Exodus 35:1-35**). The extravagant complexity of the work absolutely precluded the idea that one or two or even a few might **be** able to complete it alone. It would take teamwork. It would take a coordination of *all* the people's gifts, resources, skills, and abilities.

Then, once 'the tabernacle was erected and dedicated, it was necessary to divide the various tasks of maintaining and **transporting** the massive structure. Again, *all the* people would need to

cooperate. *All the people* would need to participate. The work would *have to be decentralized* in order for it, to, be, accomplished. Again by *clans, by tribes, and* by families they were given their assignments (Numbers 3:1-4:49).

When the people entered into *the land* flowing with milk and honey, the *land* of the inheritance, *again* the innumerable tasks had to be accomplished—that no one single man or group of men could possibly *handle* alone. So, the various *clans, tribes, and families* were given different *sections* of the land *for* which they would be responsible (Joshua 13:1-14:15). Administration was to be decentralized. *Government* was to be decentralized. The *only* centralizing factor for the people, *at all*, in fact, *was the* centrality of God's' Law and the *commonality* of their covenant bond.

Each city *too*, was run on *the* basis of the division' of labor. The Levites had their tasks (Deuteronomy 18:1-22); the elders in the gate had their tasks (Ruth 4:1-17); each, individual family had its tasks (Judges 19:1-30); and each family member then had his or her tasks (Proverbs 31). Decentralization was woven into the very fabric of life in Israel.

This emphasis on decentralization carried *over* into the establishment and administration of the early *church* as well. Mutual ministry and the division of labor were an essential and distinguishing *characteristic* of the authentic Christian community. *All* the believers were equipped to do the work of the ministry (Ephesians 4:12) and to exercise their own peculiar gifts (Romans 12 :6). In fact, *each* church could only grow and build itself up in love, as each part, each member, did his own work (Ephesians 4:15-16). Tasks were divided among the elders (Hebrews 12:17), the deacons (Acts 6:1-6), the teachers (1 Corinthians 12 :28), the evangelists (2 Timothy 4:5), the pastors (Ephesians 4:11), 'the older women (Titus 2:3-5), the young men (Titus 2:6-8), the sons and *daughters* (Acts 2:17), and so' forth. "But the manifestation of the Spirit is given to each one for the-profit of all" (1 *Corinthians* 12:7).

There's No Place Like Home

Decentralization is one of the two major aspects of Kingdom authority. Personalism is the other. Not only is a godly society

supposed to be decentralized, it is also supposed to be personalized. Not that it is to be judged **by** men in terms of special favors to persons. On the contrary, God is no respecter of persons, meaning *fallen* persons (.Leviticus 19:15; Deuteronomy 1:17). God respects only the Person of Jesus Christ, and this is because Christ is His son, and-also **the** perfect human who fulfilled God's perfect Law.

What is personal in the structures of the Kingdom society is God's Law. It is to be administered without respect to persons, . because only one Person deserves mankind's respect: the Author of the Law.

Perhaps the most dynamic illustration of **how** God planned a personalized society for His people is the way He gave emphasis and authority to the *family*. The small family **unit** was the basic building block of the godly **society**.

The family had the primary jurisdiction in such wide-ranging endeavors as education (Proverbs 22:6), **governance** (Deuteronomy 6:20-25), economics (Deuteronomy 21:17), spirituality (Ephesians 6:1-4), evangelism (1 Peter 3:1-4), and charity (1 Timothy 5:3-13).

When Nehemiah was faced with the monumental task of **re-**building the walls of Jerusalem from the rubble and rubbish at hand (Nehemiah 2:1-20), he **turned** to *families* (Nehemiah 3:1-32). It -wasn't a government project (despite the fact that Nehemiah was governor). It wasn't coordinated by **experienced** contractors (despite the fact that Asaph, keeper of the **king's** forest, was **involved**). It wasn't funded by large philanthropic foundations (despite the fact that the king gave his sanction and blessing). The entire effort was executed and consecrated by *families*. Each doing **its** own part.

When God established a system of charity in the land to care for the orphan, the widow, the alien, the stranger, the oppressed and the dispossessed, He built it largely around the family unit. He decentralized it.

Nothing could have worked better or been more compassionate. In **the** years since, innumerable other systems have been tried, but none has been able to match the superior record of the *family*.

“God sets the lonely in families” (Psalm 68:6 NIV).

Gleaning was operated and regulated not by the magistrates of the state, not by philanthropic agencies, and not even by a local bureaucracy. It was operated and regulated by individual families under the rule of God’s Law (Ruth 2:4-16). This **meant** that the landowners could dispense charity unhindered and unencumbered. Accountability and flexibility were made possible. Local conditions could be taken into account, and personal attention was maximized. By thus keeping charity decentralized, **de-institutionalized**, and family-centered, everyone was saved from the hassles of graft, corruption, and red tape.

Like gleaning, the interest-free loan was another aspect of Biblical charity. that was **operated** and regulated by individual families under the rule of God’s Law (Exodus 22:25-27; Leviticus 25:35-37). There was no supervision by an over-arching state agency, there were **no** administrators, there were no forms to fill out, no lines to stand in, and there was no standardized institution to conform to. The loans were simply the means by which godly families **met** pressing needs with available resources, above and beyond the requirements of law or responsibility.

Of course, besides gleaning and interest-free loans, Biblical charity could also be dispensed through private giving. **Obviously**, this too **was** a function of the family, independent of any outside influence or regulation except the influence and regulation of God’s Law. This was the approach the Good Samaritan took on the road to Jericho (Luke 10:30-37), and it was the impulse that motivated Barnabas and other philanthropists in the first Jerusalem church when emergency relief became necessary (Acts 4:32-37).

Charity really does begin at home! But it doesn’t end there.

Notice: just because charity was not under the regulatory jurisdiction of the state did not mean that families were **free** to do (or not to do) whatever they pleased. Gleaning was operated and regulated by individual families *under the rule of God’s Law*. Private giving was operated and regulated by individual **families under the rule of God’s Law**.

A *natural* family, an *Adamic* home, is just as impotent as a

tyrannical, over-arching state. Centralizing charity in *fallen* family structures is just as disastrous as centralizing it in *fallen* civil structures. That is why charity in the Bible is not libertarian! It is not left to the free discretion of families. Charity is operated and regulated by individual families, but they are families *under the rule of God's Law*.

Under the rule of God's Law, individual families are held accountable to *particular standards* of behavior. They are held accountable to the *elders* of the faith. They are held accountable to the church, the *new Family* (Ephesians 3:15).

Charity begins at home, but not in just *any* home. Charity begins with the family at home in the house of the Lord. Thus, for instance, the alms-tithe was, like gleaning, the interest-free loan, and private giving, operated on a decentralized, family-centered" basis. *But*, the families did *not* operate autonomously. Each town in Israel was required to keep a benevolence fund for emergency relief purposes. Every third year, special tithes were collected for this' fund and placed under the control of the elders (Deuteronomy 14:29). Any unspent **Levitical** tithes were also returned to the fund, to be administered by the families of the community (Numbers 18:24). *But*, the *families* (plural) administered the fund *together* as a *Family* (singular).

The churches in the New Testament continued this concept of coordinated but decentralized almsgiving in order to care for the needy (Acts 4:35; 1 Corinthians 16:2; 2 Corinthians 8:1-9:15).

So, charity begins at home. It is *operated and regulated* by families. *But*, it is *accountable* to the families' *Family*.

Centralization and **Collapse** of Care

When we depersonalize and centralize the apparatus for charity, when we yield the responsibility to care for the poor to professional humanitarians, things inevitably turn ugly. Terribly ugly. Witness the devastating failure of America's "war on poverty."

After expenditures that exceeded \$640. billion in 1983, the level of poverty was only reduced by about \$85 billion. (See *The 'Grace Commission Report*, Green Hill Publishers, Ottawa, Illinois.) That is a rather dismal failure!

But the worst of it was not the gross waste of billions upon billions of dollars. The worst of it was the **awful** human waste that resulted.

Decentralized, Law-ruled, family-centered **Biblical charity** is personal. It is intimate. **It is flexible**. It **is** efficient. It is **compassionate**.

Centralized government welfare, on the other hand, is a bumbling, fumbling, uncoordinated monster. It blunders its way along, splintering families, crushing incentive, **decimating** pride, and foiling productivity. It naturally falls into the traps of blatant mismanagement, **fiscal irresponsibility**, and misapportioned authority.

Why? Because it is today an aspect of the civil **government**. And civil governments are inherently bureaucratic these days. They do everything **“by the book.”** In other words, the system, is innately **impersonal**.

When we depersonalize and centralize the **apparatus** for **charity**, when we yield the responsibility to care for the poor to these professional humanitarians, charity ceases to exist altogether.

Isn't it about time that was changed?

Isn't it about time we learned the lesson of Moses and **Jethro**?

conclusion

The sixth basic principle in the Biblical blueprint for Welfare is that charity must **be decentralized** and family-oriented in order to function properly.

Moses was faced with an almost insurmountable task in dispensing justice in Israel. He tried to do it all by himself. But **his** father-in-law, Jethro, showed **him** how to utilize the natural **gifts** and leadership abilities in others to get the big job done: **decentralize**.

The family is the most dynamic example of how **decentralization** can work effectively and efficiently under the Law of God, especially in the area of charity. God designed the family to **be** flexible, accountable, compassionate, and diligent. Thus, the family **was** and is best able to handle the tasks of overseeing **glean-**

ing, the interest-free loan, and private giving.
Charity really does begin at home.

Summary

The story of Moses and his father-in-law Jethro clarifies one of the most fundamental differences between a godly social order and **an** ungodly one: a righteous culture is decentralized.

While paganism always aims at empire building, Christianity always aims at Kingdom building. Thus while the empires of evil doers have always relied on centralized authority, the Kingdom of God relies on a multiplicity of authority structures: family, church, and civil government.

The emphasis on godly decentralization is evident throughout the Scriptures and **affects** every task set before the believer, **including charity**.

Like many other social welfare tasks, charity is primarily a function of **the family**. Charity begins **at** home.

When we fail to recognize this truth, and **try** to centralize and bureaucratize the **care** of the poor, not **only** do the suffering suffer all the **more**, but our evangelistic outreach falters as well.

UPLIFTING THE UPLIFTERS

Therefore, as we have opportunity, let us do good to all, especially to those who are of the household of faith (**Galatians 6:10**).

Jesus told his disciples that following his ascension to the right hand of the Father, there would be difficult times ahead for them. There would be tribulation (**John 16:33**), persecution (**Luke 21:12**), and natural calamity' (**Matthew 24:7**). There would even be times of famine and pestilence (**Matthew 24:7**).

But, He told them that these events, as horrible, as heart wrenching as they would be, would also serve as an *opportunity* to spread the Gospel with great power and effect.

Then He said to them, “Nation will rise against nation, and kingdom against kingdom. And there will be great earthquakes in various places, and famines and pestilences; and there will be fearful sights and great signs from heaven. But before all these things, they will lay their hands on you and persecute you, delivering you up to the synagogues and prisons, and you will be brought before kings and rulers for My name’s sake. But it will turn out for you as an occasion for **testimony**” (**Luke 21:10-13**).

Famine and calamity, like any of the other events in nature or history, were understood by the disciples to fit into the eternal program of divine *providence* (**Amos 4:6**; **Revelation 7:8**; **Remans 8:28**). They knew that God possessed and controlled the “forces of nature” just as surely as He flung the cosmos into existence at the creation (**Psalm 104:1-35**). They knew that He exercised His power over these “forces” in direct correspondence to His relation-

ship with the people of the covenant. When the people were obedient, then He blessed the earth with **fruitful** abundance (Deuteronomy 28:1-14; Isaiah 4:2; Hosea 2:21-23). But when they were rebellious, He cursed the earth with empty desolation (Deuteronomy 28:15-68; Leviticus 26:14-35). The disciples knew that God used famine and calamity throughout Israel's long tumultuous history to indicate his displeasure **and** to warn the people to repent (1 Kings 17:1; Haggai 1:5-11).

Thus, when famine struck Judea a short time after the church was inaugurated in the first century, n'one of the disciples was taken **by** surprise.

They knew why.

And they knew for what purpose.

The "why?" was easy. God was judging Jerusalem for her **un-**belief (Matthew 23:37-38).

The "for what purpose?" was equally easy. God was providing them with **an** opportunity for their testimony (Luke 21:13).

Jesus had said, "By this all men will know that you **are** my disciples, if you have love for one another" (John 13:35).

The famine gave them the opportunity to let "all men know." It gave them the opportunity to demonstrate their love, visibly and tangibly.

And in these days prophets came from Jerusalem to Antioch. And one of them, named **Agabus**, stood up and showed by the Spirit that there was going to be a great famine throughout all the world, which also happened in the days of Claudius Caesar. Then the disciples, each according to his ability, determined to send relief to the brethren living in Judea. This they also did, and sent it to the elders by the hands of Barnabas and Saul (Acts 11:27-30).

When the famine struck, they sprang into action. There was no need for long drawn out emotional appeals. They were ready, willing, and able to love, not merely "in word or in tongue, but in deed and in truth" (1 John 3:18). For the righteous man, ". . . will not be afraid of evil tidings; His heart is steadfast, trusting in the Lord. His heart is established; He will not be afraid, Until he sees his desire upon his enemies. He has dispersed abroad,. He has

given to the **poor**; His righteousness endures forever; His horn will be exalted with honor” (Psalm 112:7-9).⁶

When the famine proved to be **prolonged**, the disciples responded with *continued* sacrifice. Writing to **the** Corinthians, Paul encouraged their generosity, saying,

Moreover, brethren, we make known to you the grace of God **bestowed** on **the** churches of Macedonia: that in a great trial of affliction the abundance of their joy and their deep **poverty** abounded in the riches of their liberality. For I bear witness that according to their ability, yes, and beyond **their** ability, they were **freely** willing, imploring us with much urgency that we would receive the gifts and the fellowship of **the** ministering to the saints. And this they did, **not** as we had hoped, but first gave themselves to the Lord, and then to us by the will of God. So we urged Titus, that as **he** had begun, so **he** would also complete this grace in you as well. But as you abound **in** everything—in faith, in speech, in knowledge, **in** all diligence, and in your love for us— see that you abound in this grace also (2 Corinthians 8:1-7).

But with the Corinthian believers, little encouragement was necessary.,

Now concerning the ministering to the saints, it is superfluous for me to write to you; for **I** know your willingness, about which I boast of you to the **Macedonians**, that Acaia was ready a year **ago**; and your zeal has stirred up the **majority**” (2 Corinthians 9:1-2).

Thus, Paul concluded his comments, saying,

But this I say: He who sows sparingly will **also** reap sparingly, and he who sows bountifully will also “reap bountifully. So let each one give as he purposes in his heart, not grudgingly or of necessity; for God loves a cheerful giver. And God is able to make **all** grace abound toward you, that **you**, always having **all** sufficiency in **all** things, have an abundance for every good work. As it is written: ‘He has dispersed abroad, He has given to-the poor; His righteousness remains **forever**.’ Now may **He** who supplies seed to the sower, and bread for food, **supply** and multiply **the** seed you have sown and increase the **fruits** of your righteousness, while you are enriched in everything for all liberality, which causes thanksgiving

through us to God.. For the administration of this service not only supplies the needs of the saints, but also is abounding through many thanksgivings to God, while, through the proof of this ministry, they glorify God for the obedience of your confession to the gospel of Christ, and for your liberal sharing with them and all men, and by their prayer for you, who long for you because of the exceeding grace of God in you. Thanks be to God for His indescribable gift (2 Corinthians 9:6-15).

The disciples understood clearly that in the providence of God they had been given a tremendous opportunity to give evidence to the world of the power of the Gospel. For them, “love your neighbor as yourself” (James 2:8) wasn’t simply a slogan. It was the authenticating mark of their faith (1 John 4:12).

Again, Paul commanded them,

Bear one another’s burdens, and so fulfill the law of Christ . . . Do not be deceived, God is not mocked; for whatever a man sows, that he will also reap. For he who sows to his flesh will of the flesh reap corruption, but he who sows to the Spirit will of the Spirit reap everlasting life. And let us not grow weary while doing good, for in due season we shall reap if we do not lose heart. Therefore, as we have opportunity, let us do good to all, especially to those who are of the household of faith (Galatians 6:2,7-10).

To the great aggravation of the enemies of the Gospel, the disciples did indeed bear one another’s’ burdens, even in times of great hardship amidst famine conditions, so that “nor was there anyone- among them who lacked” (Acts 4:34).

Facilitating Good Deeds

The early church -was ready, willing, and able to “do good to all, especially to those who (were) ’of the household of faith” (Galatians 6:10), because their very structure -congregational, familial, and interpersonal —encouraged and even facilitated such good deeds.

Besides the elders, who were ordained to the task of teaching (Titus 19), guarding (Acts 20:28), and ruling (Hebrews 13:17), the church was also served by deacons (1 Timothy 3:8-13). These

men were charged with the task of caring for the physical needs of the membership. They made certain that food distribution was even and efficient (Acts **6:1-6**), they cared for ‘the special needs of the widows (Acts **6:1**; 1 Timothy **5:2-16**), ‘and they took care of any basic pastoral needs **that** ‘might distract the elders from “their work of teaching and intercession (Acts **6:4**). **These** deacons were to be “men of good reputation, full of the Holy Spirit&d **wisdom**” (Acts **6:3**). They were to be “reverent, not double tongued, not given to much wine, not greedy for money, holding the mystery of the faith with a pure conscience” (1 Timothy 3:8-9]. **They** were **to** ‘be “husbands of one wife, ruling their children and their own houses **well**” (1 Timothy **3:12**). ‘After all,’ if they **could not** manage their own households, how could they **be** expected to manage the household of **faith**? Possible candidates for the office of deacon were to be “**tested,**” then, if they were found to be “**beyond reproach,**” they could begin their service (1 Timothy 3:10).

These were stern requirements. .

And for good reason. “**For** those. **who** have seined well as deacons obtain for themselves a good standing and great boldness in the faith which is in Christ Jesus” (1 Timothy **3:13**).

The work of the deacon, and thus the office of the deacon, was *extremely* important to the health and welfare of the church. It was not to be taken lightly. .

If the church could not take care of its own, then what w’as to be made of its claim of dominion over the whole earth? If the church could not nurse its own, how could it claim to be **the** nursery of the kingdom? If the church could not structure itself so that it encouraged and even facilitated good deeds, how could it possibly bear a message of glad tidings and great joy, of peace on earth and good will toward men?

Just as **judgement** begins with the house of God (2 Corinthians **5:10**), so charity must begin with the house of God (1 Corinthians **13:1-13**). In the company of the faithful, charity and its **fruits** *must* be evidenced.

The disciples in the early church knew this, and structured their life together accordingly. Thus, they could readily say,

Though the fig tree may not blossom, Nor fruit be on the vines; Though the labor of the olive may fail, And the fields yield no food; Though the flock be cut off from the fold, And there be no herd in the stalls—Yet I will rejoice in the Lord, I will joy in the God of my salvation. The Lord God is my strength; He will make my feet like deer's feet, And He will make me walk on my high hills (**Habakkuk 3:17-19**).

The work of compassionately caring for the needy within the covenant community did not rest *solely* on the deacons' shoulders. They administered the work. They supervised it. They coordinated it. And they gave it inspiration and impetus. But charity so permeated the church's "body life" that it **could** not possibly be contained institutionally. The **people lived** charity. Really, that was why "there was not a needy person among them" (**Acts 4:34**). They *continually* bore one **another's** burdens (**Galatians 6:2**). And more, they were constantly stimulating each other on "to love and good deeds," not forsaking assembling together, but "encouraging one another" (**Hebrews 10:24-25**). Their interpersonal relationships were marked by mutual ministry and care.

The church was of course blessed with tremendous gifts. And as each one had received a special gift, they employed it "ministering it to one another, as good stewards of the manifold grace of God" (**1 Peter 4:10**).

Now there are diversities of gifts, but the same Spirit. There are differences of ministries, but the same Lord. And there are diversities of activities, but it is the same God who works **all in all**. But the manifestation of the Spirit is given to each one for the profit of all (**1 Corinthians 12:4-7**).

Some had **the gift** of mercy (**Remans 12:8**). Some had the gift of hospitality (**1 Peter - 4:9-10**). Some had the gift of service (**Remans 12:7**). Some had the gift of encouragement (**Acts 4:36**). Some had the gift of giving (**Remans 12:8**). And each one was called to utilize that gift to the edification of the body. Each one was called to,

"Be kindly affectionate to one another with brotherly love, in honor giving preference to one another; not lagging, in diligence,

fervent in, spirit, serving the Lord; **rejoicing** in hope, patient in tribulation, continuing steadfastly in prayer; **distributing** to the needs of the **saints**, given to **hospitality** (Remans 12:10-13).

Each one was called to **“rejoice** with those who **rejoice**, and weep with those “who weep” (Remans 12:15). Each one was called to “associate with the humble” (Remans 12:16). Each one was called to,

walk worthy of the calling with which you were called, with **all** lowliness and gentleness, with **longsuffering**, bearing with one another in love, endeavoring to keep the unity of the Spirit in the bond of peace (Ephesians 4:1-3).

Each one **was called** to “walk in love” (Ephesians 5:2), **exercising** whatever gift God **had** given,

for the equipping of the saints for the work of ministry, for the edifying of the body of **Christ**; till we all come to the unity of the faith and the knowledge of the Son of God, to a perfect man, to the measure of the stature of the **fullness** of Christ (Ephesians 4:12-13).

Thus, **both congregationally**, through the office of the deacon, and interpersonally, through the **mutual** ministry of the **saints**, the church was **structured** to facilitate charity.

But that wasn't all.

The families of the **church** were systematically taught principles of stewardship (2 **Thessalonians** 3:6-15). They were **disciplined** with “an eye toward good deeds (Titus 2:2-15). They were even given jurisdictional responsibilities (1 Timothy **5:3-16**). In short, the families of the church were also mobilized for charity. Their very structure was aimed at encouraging and facilitating good deeds.

Families were **to** prepare themselves for financial difficulty, that they might **avoid poverty**. Prevention is always better than cure (Proverbs 6:6-8). They were to train **their** children so that thrift, self-reliance, godliness, and diligence might protect **the** next generation from hardship (Proverbs 22:6). They were to care for their own: parents, **children**, the elderly, the sick, and the **infirm** (1 Timothy 5:8). They were **to exercise** hospitality to the

dispossessed and the **stranger** in need (Remans 12:13; 1 Kings 17:7-16). They were to pool their resources with other families in order to tackle large charitable projects, **too** monumental for **any** one family (Acts 4:32-35; 2 Corinthians 8:1-5).

According to a survey conducted by *U.S. News and World Report*, the family now ranks 17th in the list of 'institutions that **affect** the nation,' following civil government, television, bureaucracy, newspapers, and advertising! But to the **early pioneers** of the Christian faith, the **family** ranked 1st. The church relied **on** a responsibly and **charitably** structured family in order to **fulfill** its vision.

In every way, shape, and form imaginable, the church was established in abiding love so that charity could flourish. Congregational, **interpersonal**, and **familial** structures were created so that not only would charity begin at *'home*, but that charity would *begin* at home!

Changing Priorities

Somehow in the intervening years we've lost that emphasis.

We haven't had to face a **famine**. At least, not herein America. Just a little unemployment.

And even at that, we've been buried under an avalanche of need. With no apparatus to deal with it.

The church has failed her widows, her orphans, her elderly, her ill and infirmed.

The church has failed to disciple young men to live lives of diligence, industry, and productivity.

The church has failed to mobilize deacons for the work they *are supposed* to do.

The church has failed to catalyze the gifts of the body for good deeds.

The church has failed **to train** her families for victory amidst "hardship and calamity.

Instead, we've placed a heavy premium on such things as **building programs** and media ministries. Spiritual gifts have **been** harnessed for personal peace and satisfaction **rather** than for **serv-**ing the flock.

And we wonder why **our testimony** seems so terribly mundane in this day of ever-increasing fascinations.

Like the church in the first century, we have been given a tremendous opportunity to reach our civilization with the Gospel of Christ. God has afforded us magnificent privilege.

Unlike the church in the first century, we have not yet taken advantage of that opportunity.

But, of course, the day is not yet done.

Conclusion

The seventh basic principle in the **Biblical** blueprint for **welfare** is that we must begin the work of charity in the company of the faithful. **We** must take care of our own. We must create and reinforce structures in our congregations, in our families, and in our interpersonal relationships that encourage and facilitate compassion. We must uplift the uplifters.

When famine struck **Judea** in the first century, the disciples were ready. They had already effectively mobilized themselves into an apparatus of care and concern. Their very structure was designated to facilitate charity. So when the crisis came, they were able to **spring** into action. Through the deacons, through the individual gifts within the body, and through the families, **the** church was able to *demonstrate* their love for one another. They were able to bear testimony to the world of the transforming power of 'Christ.' They made certain that in the company of the faithful, there would be *no* needy persons.

If we are to achieve even a measure of the success they had in bringing the Gospel to the nations, then we too must build **structures of and** for charity. We too must begin our mission of love in the company of the faithful.

Summary

Jesus warned His disciples that hard times would come. But He assured them that hard times would actually *advance the* cause of the Gospel.

Hardship and privation provide God's people with a **tremen-**

dous opportunity for testimony. They give us the chance to show the world that the Gospel of love *really does make a difference*, not just for the hereafter, but *here and now*.

That is why God commands us to care for the people of the covenant first. We are to demonstrate the advantage of privilege of life within the Body.

The entire structure of the church was designed around this notion: elders, deacons, spirit-gifted individuals and families — the uplifters — uplifting other uplifters!

Our failure to **utilize** this Biblical structure to implement charity has minimized the impact of our testimony. Thus if we are to in any measure fulfill our evangelistic duty, we must return to the Scriptural pattern.

THE UNBROKEN CIRCLE

Now Joshua **the** son of Nun sent out two men from, Acacia Grove to spy secretly, saying, "Go, view the land, especially Jericho." So they went, and came to the house of a harlot named **Rahab**, and lodged there. And it was told the king of Jericho, saying, "Behold, men have come here tonight from the children of Israel to search out the country." So the king of Jericho sent to **Rahab**, saying, 'Bring out the men who have come to you, who have entered your house, for they have come to search out all the country.'" Then the woman took the two men and hid them; and she said, 'Yes, the men came to me, but I did not know where they were from. And it happened **as** the gate was being shut that when it was dark, that the men went out. Where the men went I do not know; pursue them quickly, for you may overtake **them**.'" (But she had brought them up to the roof and hidden them with the stalks of flax, which she had laid in order on the roof.) Then the men pursued them by the road to the Jordan, to the fords. And as soon **as** those who pursued them had gone out, they shut the gate (Joshua 2:1-7).

She was a harlot.

An outcast even from her own people, she **was especially** despisable in the sight of God's covenant people.

But **Rahab** repented.

She put **her** trust in Almighty God. And by grace through faith, she was saved, she and her entire household.

Rahab didn't stop at that though. Not only did she risk her life to save the spies, not only did she create a diversion for them, but she confessed her faith in the Lord. She threw in her lot with them and Him!

So before they lay down, she came up to them on the roof, and said to the men: 'I know that the Lord has given you the land, that the terror of you has fallen on us, **and** that **all** the inhabitants of the land are fainthearted because of you. For we have heard how the Lord dried up the water of the Red Sea for you when you came out of Egypt, and what you did to the two kings 'of the Amorites who were on the other side of the Jordan, Sihon and Og, whom you utterly destroyed. And as soon as we heard these things, our hearts melted; neither did there remain any more courage in anyone because of you, for the Lord your God, He is God in heaven above and on earth beneath" (Joshua 2:8-11).

There could be no doubt about it, **Rahab** was a believer. She was the most unlikely of candidates for **the** Kingdom of God, but by her faith (Hebrews **11:31**) and by her deeds (James **2:25**), she *demonstrated the* sincerity of her words.

The **spies** were impressed.

But then, Rahab went one step further still.

'Now therefore, I beg you, swear to me by the Lord, since I have shown you kindness, that you also will show kindness with my father's house, and give me a true token, and spare my father, my mother, my brothers, my sisters, and all that they have, and deliver our lives from death." So the men answered her, "Our lives for yours, if none of you tell this business of ours. And it shall be, when the Lord has given us the land that we will deal kindly and truly with you." Then she let them down by a rope through the window, for her house was on the city wall; she dwelt on the wall. And she said to them, 'Get to the mountain, lest the pursuers meet you. Hide there three days, until the pursuers have returned: Afterward you may go your way." Then the men said to her, "We will be blameless of this oath of yours which you have made us swear, unless, when we come into the land, you bind this line of scarlet cord in the window through which you let us down, and unless you bring your father, your mother, your brothers, and all your fathers' household to your own home. So it shall be that whoever goes outside the doors of your house into the street, his blood shall be on his own head, and we will be' guiltless. And whoever is with you in the house, his blood shall be on our head if a hand is

laid on him. **And** if you tell this business of ours, then we will be free from your oath which you made us **swear**.” Then she said, ‘According to your words, so **be** it .’ And she sent them away, **and** they departed. And she bound the scarlet cord in the window. Then they departed and went to the mountain, and stayed there three days until the pursuers returned. The pursuers sought them **all** along the way, but did not find them (Joshua 2:12-22).

In essence, what **Rahab** was asking was that she ‘and her household be **included** in the circle of God’s covenant. The phrase she used, begging that they “deal **kindly**” with her, generally applied to God’s covenant love with His people, **or** the people’s bond with one another. **Rahab** was not just trying to make a deal. She wasn’t trying to pull an “I’ll-scratch-your-back-if-you-scratch-‘mine” ploy. She was genuinely submitting herself to the God of “heaven above and earth beneath.”

She had heard “of the great and marvelous works of the **Lord**” (Deuteronomy 2:25; 7:23, 11:25; Revelation 15:3); and she *believed* what she had heard (Remans 10:17). She then made a confession of faith (Remans 10:9), and began to *do* righteousness, **authenticating** that faith with deeds (James 1:22, 3:25): Like the **children** of Israel on the night of Passover, she marked her home with *the* scarlet emblem of God’s provision (Exodus 12 :7-13), **and** then took refuge within, waiting for the redemption of her life by God’s grace (Exodus 12:21-36).

She was a believer, any way you cut it.

Later, when the walls of Jericho came **a-tumblin’** down, **Rahab** came out of her house, she and her entire household (Joshua 6:22-23) to dwell “**in** the midst of Israel” for the rest of her life (Joshua 6:25). From then on, she would enjoy the privileges of the covenant along with all the rest of the people, submitting to its justice, partaking of its inheritance, and resting in its **security**.

Rahab the harlot, though once separate from ‘the Light and Life of the ages, ‘alien **from the** commonwealth of Israel and stranger to the **covenant**, having no hope and without God **in the** world” (Ephesians 2:12), was **all** at once “brought **near**” (Ephesians 2:13). Because of god’s providential grace, and her responsive

faithfulness, she and all her loved ones were “grafted in” (Remans **11:17-24**), “no longer strangers and foreigners, but fellow citizens’ with the saints and members of God’s household” (Ephesians 2:19).

But not only was she grafted into the covenant, she was **ultimately** grafted into the royal family of Judah (Matthew 1:5), and , the Messianic line (Ruth 4:18-22).

All this, because *she was willing to submit to the terms of the covenant, the God of the covenant, and the people of the covenant.*

The Sojourner in the Land

There are no racial barriers in the Kingdom of God (Galatians 3:28-29). There never have been (Psalm 87:1-7). There never will be (Isaiah 2:2-4; Micah 4:1-4). The requirements for citizenship are ethical (Psalm 15:1-5). Anyone might be admitted to the circle of the covenant if he was willing to submit to its demands, even a wretched harlot from Jericho.

There were safeguards to be sure, to protect Israel from pagan pollution, from wolves in sheep’s clothing. So, for instance, there were ceremonial restrictions (Exodus 12:48-49), ‘marital restrictions (Deuteronomy 7:1-6), and restrictions on cohabitation (Joshua 6:23). But, because the Jews themselves were at one time sojourners themselves in Egypt (Genesis 15:13; Exodus 22:21; Deuteronomy 10:19, 23:7), they were to treat the foreigners in their midst with respect and acceptance.

Whether the sojourner was a part of an entire tribe, such as the **Gibeonites** (Joshua 9:1-27), or one of the remnant Canaanite people, or simply an individual settler, he was to receive full **justice** (Exodus 22:21, 23:9; Leviticus 19:33-34). He was to share in the full inheritance of the Kingdom (Ezekiel 47:22-23). He was to be loved as a brother (Deuteronomy 10:19). He was included in the provision made for cities of refuge (Numbers 35:15; Joshua 20:9), in the charity network (Leviticus 19:10, 23:22; Deuteronomy 24:19-21), and had equality **under** the law (Leviticus 24:22). He was even ranked with the fatherless and the widow as being defenseless; and so the Lord Himself **was** his protection, judging all his oppressors (Psalm 94:6, 146:9; Jeremiah 7:6, 22:3; Ezekiel 22:7; Zechariah 7:10; Malachi 3:5).

Of course, with special privilege came special responsibility. It the sojourner was to reap the rewards of Israel's theocratic republic, then he would have to function as a responsible, obedient citizen. Like any other member of the covenant he would have to honor the Sabbath (Exodus 20:10), the Day of Atonement (Leviticus 16:29), and the Feast of Unleavened Bread (Exodus 12:19). He shared the prohibitions on eating blood (Leviticus 17:10-13), immorality (Leviticus 18:26), idolatry (Leviticus 20:2), and blasphemy (Leviticus 24:16). He came under the shelter of God's promises because he obeyed God's commands.

Nothing could stay God's hand from blessing those who, honored Him, just as nothing could stay His hand from judging those who dishonored him. Thus, if the sojourner wished to share in the privileges of God's chosen people, he would have to honor God by keeping His Word.

Rahab, though she was not from the company of the faithful, came into the midst of it and submitted to God's rule, depending on His Word to live. Though not of God's household, she entered in, abiding by its standards, and thus obtained its securities. Her life and liberty could not have been had any other way. Israel was an opportunity society, but only for those who observed the "rules."

Likewise, Ruth was not from the company of the faithful. She was a Moabitess. A sojourner. But the charity of God's land of bounty and table of bounty was not closed to her. She was given the opportunity to labor, to work, to glean, because she had committed herself to the terms of the covenant, the God of the covenant, and the people of the Covenant (Ruth 1:16-17). The structures of charity in Israel, designed to take care of their own, expanded their reach to include her. Because the deeds of her mouth and works of her hands proved that she would depend on the Word of God to live, she was granted the privileges of the community of faith. She was brought into the circle of the covenant, yet the circle remained unbroken.

This gracious provision of God is illustrated time after time throughout Scripture,

The Ethiopian eunuch obtained an entrance into the **covenant** (Acts 8:38) because he submitted himself to the terms of the covenant (Acts 8:36-37).

Cornelius, the centurion, obtained the promises of the covenant (Acts 10:44-48) because he trusted the Gospel of hope (Acts 10:22, 31, 44).

Similarly, when Jesus was in the district of Tyre and Sidon, a Canaanite woman received privileges of the covenant because of her great faith.

And behold, a woman of Canaan came from that region and cried out to Him, saying, "Have mercy on me, O Lord, Son of David! My daughter is severely demon-possessed." But He answered her not a word. And His disciples came and urged Him, saying, "Send her away, for she cries out after us." But He answered and said, "I was not sent except to the lost sheep of the house of Israel." Then she came and worshiped Him, saying, "Lord, help me!" But He answered and said, "It is not good to take the children's bread and throw it to the little dogs." And she said, "True, Lord, yet even the little dogs eat the crumbs which fall from their masters' table." Then Jesus answered and said to her, "O woman, great is your faith! Let it be to you as you desire." And her daughter was healed from that very hour (Matthew 15:22-28).

Just as the Gospel "is the power of God to salvation for everyone who believes, for the Jews first and also for the Greek" (Romans 1:16), so the privileges of the covenant are available to everyone who submits, to the household of God first, but then also to the sojourner.

Pearls Before Swine

Jesus warned his disciples about sidestepping the boundaries of the covenant, saying,

Do not give what is holy to the dogs; nor cast your pearls before swine, lest they trample them under their feet, and turn and tear you in pieces (Matthew 7:6).

The church is to be the nursery of the Kingdom, nurturing the

nations **on** the goodness of God's bounty, but in order to **taste** of that goodness, the nations must submit to God's rule (Matthew **28:19-20**). To **dispense** the gifts of the Kingdom as an entitlement to any and all men without obligation: the ungrateful, the slothful, the degenerate, the apostate, **and** the rebellious, is to cast our pearls before swine!.

Rahab had to demonstrate her faithfulness and her integrity. She had to display **fruits** of repentance. Only then was she **allowed** to taste the inheritance of the company of the faithful.

Ruth had to work. She had **to** glean. She had to show her **dependence** on the Word of **God** for her very life. **Only** then was she allowed to reap the **benefits** of the opportunity society.

Similarly, **the** Ethiopian eunuch, Cornelius the centurion, and the Canaanite woman **all** received special blessing -from the Lord because they demonstrated special dependence on 'the Lord.

In every case, **all** those who received the benefits of the **covenant** were either **in** the covenant (in **the** company of the faithful) or **dependent on** the covenant (the sojourner in the land).

Whenever someone violated God's standards he lost his covenant privileges Esau (Genesis **25:27-34**), **Korah** (Numbers **16:1-35**), Achan (Joshua **7:1-26**), Saul (I Samuel **13:5-14**), **Tobiah** (Nehemiah **13:4-9**), **Ananias** and **Sapphira** (Acts **5:1-11**), **Demas** (II Timothy **4:10**), and **Diotrephes** (111 John 9). There was no entitlement. God did not promiscuously hand out the privileges of the Kingdom.

Beyond Entitlement

God has exercised compassion, comfort, and charity on His people. He has fed them from His rich estate! They then have been commissioned to nurse the world with similar compassion, comfort, and charity. They are to feed the world. Beginning with their own house; they are to make certain that righteousness is **done** as well as preached.

But, charity is not to be dispensed as an entitlement, a right, bearing with it no responsibilities or obligations.

Work is required because work is the **means** by which poverty is transformed into productivity.

Diligence is required because diligence' is blessed with prosperity.

Family participation is required because families are the basic building blocks of society.

Even **more than** these, though, obedience is required. *Submission* to the standards of the Kingdom is required. In order to take advantage of &e covenant privileges, a man must be *in* the covenant or dependent *on* the covenant. Even when the church reaches out into the streets, 'and lanes, and hedgerows, drawing in the cast-offs and dregs of the land, responsibility must be enforced.

Jesus said,

... **“When** you give a dinner or a supper, do not ask your friends, your brothers, your relatives, nor your rich neighbors, lest they also invite you in **back**, and you be repaid. But when you give a feast, invite the poor, the maimed, the lame, the blind. And you' will be blessed, because they cannot' repay you; for you shall be repaid at the resurrection of the just.” Now when one of those who sat at the table with Him heard these things, he said to Him, 'Blessed is he who shall eat bread in the kingdom of **God!**” Then **He** said to him, “A certain man gave a great supper and invited many” and sent his servant at supper time to say to those who were invited, **“Come**, for all things are now ready.” But they all with one accord began to make excuses. The first one said to him, “I have bought a piece of ground, and I must go and see it. I ask you to have me excused.” And another one said, **“I** have bought five yoke of oxen, and I am going to test them. I ask you to have me **excused.**” Still another said, **“I** have married a wife, and therefore I , cannot come.” So that servant **came** and reported these things to his master. “Then the master of the house, being angry, said to his servant, **“Go** out quickly into the **streets** and lanes of the city, and bring inhere the poor and the maimed and the lame and the blind.” And the servant said, “Master, it is done as you commanded, and still there is room.” Then the master said to the servant, “Go out into the highways and the hedges, and compel them to come in, that my house may be filled. For I say to you that none of those **men** who were invited shall taste of my **supper**” (Luke 14:12-25).

The poor **are** to be brought in.. They are to take **their place** around the table of the Lord. Those who **refuse** the invitation **remain** hungry outside **the** circle of hope, but **those** who accept can come in and feast with the people of hope.

But, as Matthew points, out in a **parallel** passage, the **dinner** is not without obligation. The covenant must be submitted to.

But when the 'king came in to see the guests, he saw a man there **who** did not have on a wedding **garment**, So **he** said to him, "**Friend**, how did you come in **here** without a **wedding garment**?", And he was speechless. Then the king said to the servants, "Bind, **him** hand and foot, take him away, and cast him into the outer darkness; there will be weeping and gnashing of teeth." For many **are** called, but few **are** chosen (Matthew 22:11-14).

Pearls 'must not be cast before swine. Those **who refuse** to come under the rule of God **can** not, and **must** not come under the protection **and** provision of God.

Conclusion

The eighth basic principle **in** the Biblical blueprint for welfare is that only those who are either *in God's* covenant or are dependent on God's covenant may receive charity. The **work** of charity begins in the company of the faithful, but it then extends to the four corners of the earth, to all who will submit to God's Word.

Rahab brought herself under the rule of **God's** people and God's Law and thus inherited the full security and blessings of Israel. She was grafted in, as were Ruth, the Ethiopian eunuch, Cornelius the centurion, and the Canaanite woman from Tyre. All were from outside the covenant, but made themselves dependent on it. But in order to receive its benefits they had to accept **cer-**tain responsibilities. They took on certain obligations.

Pearls must not be cast before swine. The marvels of **God's** blessing must not be tossed out as so many baubles before the enemies of the Kingdom. Thus, if any would 'taste and see **that** the Lord is good" (Psalm 34:8), they must first bow down before Him, and love and adore Him.

The blessings and privileges of God's children **remain** within. **the** circle of the covenant. And the circle must remain unbroken.

Summary

Rahab was *not* within the covenant, but by faith she submitted **herself** to the demands of the covenant and thus became an heir to the blessings of the covenant. She came in by faith.

That is the only way she *could* have received the blessings of the covenant, because they are *directly* tied to the “ethical and moral demands of God.

Whenever anyone receives the blessings of God, they must do **as** she did: submit to the moral requirements of the Kingdom. God is gracious, but He is not promiscuous.

Since we must not cast pearls **before** swine, we must be careful not, to cast the blessings and privileges of **the** covenant before those who obstinately refuse to follow God's “**rules.**”

This means that in charitable ministry to the poor we must move beyond “rights” and “entitlements” to Biblical obligations and responsibilities. **Those** who refuse to come under **the** rule of God *cannot* come under His protection **either**.

EXCEEDING WHAT IS WRITTEN

. . . It came to pass in the month of **Chislev**, in the twentieth year, as I was in **Shushan** the citadel, that Hanani one of my brethren came with men from Judah; and I asked them concerning the Jews who had escaped, who had survived the captivity, and concerning Jerusalem. And they said to me, "The survivors who are left from the captivity in the province are there in great distress and reproach. The wall of Jerusalem is also broken down, and its gates are burned with fire." So it was, when I heard these words, that I sat down and wept, and mourned for many days . . . (Nehemiah 1:1-4).

The news utterly devastated him. He was a man of high station, of great privilege. He dwelt in the lap of luxury amidst the marvelous splendor of palace life.

He was far, far removed from the world of deprivation, devastation, and destruction. He was far from the grief, the humiliation, and the shame that was Jerusalem's.

Yet, it was all driven home to him as he heard the news. Nehemiah, son of **Hacaliah**, cupbearer to the Persian monarch, **Artaxerxes**, was still, very much, a man of his people.

Something had to be done. The situation was intolerable as it was.

Nehemiah was a man of influence. He was a man of action. Perhaps he could do something.

So he did.

I was fasting and praying before the God of heaven. And I said: 'I pray, Lord God of heaven, O great and awesome God, You

who keep Your covenant and mercy with those who love You and observe Your commandments, please let Your ear now be attentive and Your eyes open, that You may hear the prayer of Your servant, which I pray before You now, day and night, for the children of Israel Your servants, and confess the **sins** of the children of Israel, **which** we have sinned against You. Both my father's house and I have sinned. **We** have acted very corruptly against You, and have not kept the commandments, the statutes, nor the ordinances which You commanded Your servant Moses. Remember, I pray, the word that You commanded Your servant Moses, saying, "If you are unfaithful, I will scatter you among the nations, but if you return to Me, and keep My commandments and do them, though some of you were cast out to the farthest part of the heavens, yet I will gather them from there, and bring them to the place which I have chosen as a dwelling for My name. **Now** these are Your servants and Your people, whom You have redeemed by Your great power, and by Your strong hand. O Lord, I pray, please let Your ear be attentive to the prayer of Your servant, and to the prayer of Your servants who desire to fear Your name; and let Your servant prosper this day, I pray, and **grant** him mercy in the sight of this man." For **I** was the King's cupbearer (Nehemiah 1:4-11).

He did something, all right.

He prayed.

He prayed a prayer of confession. He prayed a prayer of contrition. Instead of immediately charging into the throne room of Artaxerxes and demanding attention, he immediately fell to his **knees** before God upon *His* throne and admitted his inability and inadequacy to *demand* anything.

He prayed.

He knew ultimately he was going to have to remedy the situation in Jerusalem, and that in order to do that he would have to win the king's favor (Nehemiah 1:11).

But for now He just prayed.

For an entire month **he** prayed (Nehemiah 1:1, 2:1).

His response speaks volumes for **his** character and for the character of his faith. He understood clearly the consequences of sin (Jeremiah 14:1-22). He had a good grasp of the dynamics of

history (Job 42:1-2). **He** showed a thorough understanding of the doctrine of divine providence (Proverbs 21:1). He obviously comprehended the **multigenerational** nature of **the** covenant bond (Lamentations 5:19). He displayed a keen awareness of the **power** of prayer (2 Chronicles 7:13-14). But more than **anything** else, his response **gives** testimony to his utter dependence upon God, **and** his confidence in **Biblical** problem **solving** (Psalm 34:17-18). He wanted to do things God's way, in God's time, with God's help, in accord with God's will.

So, at every turn, **Nehemiah** prayed. When he **appeared** before the king to make petition to rebuild the **walls of Jerusalem**, he prayed (Nehemiah 2:4). When he entered into the ruined city to begin the task, he prayed (Nehemiah 2:12). When threats of violence and conspiracy jeopardized the fledgling reconstruction project, he prayed (Nehemiah 4:2). When there were crises among the people that required his judicious hand, he prayed (Nehemiah 5:19). When an attempt on his life threatened the entire project, he didn't panic— he prayed (Nehemiah 6:9). When his own brethren turned against him, he prayed (Nehemiah 6:14). And when he completed the work, all that he had set his hand to do— that's right; you guessed it — he prayed (Nehemiah 13:31).

Of course, praying wasn't *all* that he did. It was simply the *foundation of all* that he did. **He** planned (Nehemiah 2:5-6). He laid groundwork (Nehemiah 2:7-8). He enlisted help (Nehemiah 2:9). He encouraged (Nehemiah 2:17-18). He motivated (**Nehemiah** 4:14-20). He organized (Nehemiah 3:1-32). He anticipated difficulty and made provision for it (Nehemiah 2:19-20, 6:1-14). He improvised (Nehemiah 4:21-23). He worked (Nehemiah 4:23). He **sacrificed** (Nehemiah 5:14-19). He led (Nehemiah 13:4-30). And he governed (Nehemiah 7:1-7). But undergirding all these activities was his constant reliance upon Almighty God. Undergirding them all was prayer.

Nehemiah knew that it was pointless to attempt anything apart from God's blessing and purpose,

“Unless the Lord builds the house, They labor in vain who build it; Unless the Lord guards the city, The watchman stays

awake in vain. It is vain for you to rise up early, To sit' up late, To eat the bread of sorrows; For so He gives to His beloved sleep" (Psalm 127:1-2).

The prayer life of Nehemiah pointed to the fact that he wanted to be accountable. Accountable for his actions. Accountable for his intentions. Accountable for the fruit of his labor. Accountable to God. He wanted more than anything else to do God's will.

He had **no** desire to have God simply "okay" his plans. He wanted to do what **God** wanted him to do, nothing more and nothing less. **Prayer** held him accountable to that: It gave him the resolve to *stick* to that. Prayer gave him access to God's will, God's way, God's purposes, and God's plan.

Nehemiah was *confident* that God would give him success (Nehemiah 2:20). He was *sure* that God would give him strength (Nehemiah 6:9). He knew that God would give him favor (Nehemiah 2:18). He was, in fact, absolutely unwavering in his optimism, because the work was conceived by God, not by him (Nehemiah 2:12). It was God's project, not his (Nehemiah 7:5).

Nehemiah didn't pray in order to get something. He prayed in order to *be* something (James 4:3). He wanted to *be* conformed to God's will. He wanted to *be* used in God's work. He wanted to *be* obedient.

Prayerful Obedience

Nehemiah's conformity to God's purposes is evidenced not only in his prayer life, but in his emphasis on the Scriptures as well. In fact, his prayer life ultimately led him to the Word with renewed commitment. Because he so desired to **do** only the will of God, and because the Bible is **the** written and revealed will of God, it was only natural that Nehemiah's prayer life would be inextricably tied to Scripture.

He gave prominent place to the work of God's Law in the life of the people (Nehemiah 8:1-8). He gave the Bible "proper perspective (Nehemiah 8:9) and appropriate priority (Nehemiah 5:1-3). He encouraged its reading (Nehemiah 8:18), its exposition (Nehemiah 8:13), and its application (Nehemiah 8:14:18). He made cer-

tain that God's Word became the absolute standard for worship (Nehemiah 13:10-14), for commerce (Nehemiah 13:15-18), for **governance** (Nehemiah 13:4-9), for administrating justice (Nehemiah 13:19-22), and **for** family life (Nehemiah 13:23-29). -

He knew that to conform himself to God's will he would have to pay heed to" the eternal, established Word of Truth (Psalm 119:152).

The grass withers, the flower fades, But the Word of our God **stands forever** (Isaiah 40:8).

'For My thoughts are not your thoughts, Nor are your ways My ways," says the Lord. "For **as** the heavens are higher than the earth, So are My ways higher than your ways, And My thoughts than your thoughts. **For** as the rain **came** down, and the snow **came** down from heaven, And do not return there, But water the earth, And make it bring forth and bud, That it may give seed to the sower And bread to the eater, So shall My Word be that goes forth **from** My mouth; It shall not return to me void, But it shall accomplish what I please, And it shall prosper in the thing for which I sent it" (Isaiah 55:8-11).

This Book of the Law shall not depart from your mouth, but you shall meditate in it day and night, that you may observe to do according to all that is written in it. For then you will make your way prosperous, and then you will have good success (Joshua 1:8).

The Law of the Lord is perfect, converting the **soul**; The **testimony** of the Lord is sure, making wise the simple (Psalm 19:7).

Nehemiah prayed so that he might not fall into error. And he diligently applied himself to Scripture for&e same reason (Matthew 22:29), for the Word **gives** perfect guidance into all truth (Psalm 119:160). It is a lamp to the feet and a light to the path (Psalm 119:105). . . .

The entrance of Your words gives light; It gives understanding to the simple (Psalm 119:130).

For the commandment is a lamp, and the Law is light; Reproofs of instruction are the way of life" (Proverbs 6:23).

We also have the prophetic word made more sure, which you do well to heed as a light that shines in a **dark** place, until the day dawns and the morning star rises in your heart; **knowing** this first, that no prophecy of Scripture is of any private interpretation, for prophecy never came by the will of man, but holy men of God spoke as they were **moved** by the Holy Spirit (2 Peter 1:19-21).

All Scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness, that the man of God may be complete, thoroughly equipped for every good work (2 Timothy 3:16-17).

To go *beyond* Scripture would have meant “to evade the purposes of God (I Corinthians 4:6). The more Nehemiah drew near to God in prayer, the more he depended on Him for guidance, the more he realized that Scripture was the *only* rule he needed for life and godliness. God’s will was to be found in God’s Word. God Himself was clear enough on that score:

You shall not add to the Word which I command you, nor take anything from it, that you may keep the commandments of the Lord your God which I command you (Deuteronomy 4:2).

Whatever I command you, be careful to **observe** it; you **shall** not add to it nor take away from it (Deuteronomy 12:32).

Every Word of God is pure; He is a shield to those who put their trust in Him. Do not add to His words, Lest He reprove you, and you be found a liar (Proverbs 30:5-6).

Diligence in prayer *always* drives God’s people to dependence on the Word. Nehemiah was not a solitary example. Such was the case with David (Psalm 51: 1-19), and **Jeremiah** (Lamentations 5:1-22), and **Jonah** (Jonah 2:2-9), and the disciples (Acts 1:8-14), and the Jerusalem church (Acts 2:1-47).

Likewise, a failure to seek God in fellowship leads invariably to a violation of God’s Word and a rejection of His purposes. Such was the case with **Cain** (Genesis 4:3-8), and **Korah** (Numbers 16:1-35), and **Balaam** (Numbers 22:2-40), and **Saul** (1 Samuel 13:5-14). “

Obedience and Poverty Relief

The only **cure** for **poverty** is **productivity**. A transfer of wealth won't do it. Simpler lifestyles won't do it. Better laws won't do it. More government programs won't do it. Only productivity will do it. And the only means for **attaining** productivity is through obedience and diligence.

God blesses obedience with prosperity (Deuteronomy **28:1-14, 7:12-26, 11:13; Exodus 15:26, 23:22-27; Leviticus 26:3-13**).

Likewise, God blesses diligent labor with dominion (**Proverbs 10:4, 12:11-12, 22:29, 28:19; Zechariah 1:18-20**).

Nothing else works. *Nothing*.

That is why most poverty programs have **failed** so miserably, **including** socialism's "war on poverty."

They have tried to supplement Biblical **teaching**. Or, they have tried to *supplant* Biblical teaching. Or, they have tried to *supersede* Biblical **teaching**. Or, they have tried to *sidestep* Biblical teaching. Whatever, and however, they have failed.

They have failed because they refused to submit to God's standards. They have not been accountable to Him. They have not been dependent on Him. They have not striven for productivity through obedience and diligence. They have not *sought* Him to discover and do His will.

There are even a number of prominent **Christians** in our day who assert that there is no economic system that 'is inherently Christian in nature. They argue that there are no Biblical blueprints for charity. To their mind, God has no specific plan; He simply has vague "concerns" to be addressed through vague "principles."

Unlike Nehemiah, these men feel no obligation to humble themselves before Almighty God, making certain "not to exceed what is written" (1 Corinthians **4:6**). Instead, they plunge ahead in the grips of guilt and pity, the two faces of the church's own "Janus monster." They plunge ahead to "help the poor." **Impulsively**. Hastily. Doing what is right in their own **eyes** (Judges **21:25**).

And they wonder why they fail!

Nehemiah could have told them.

Every way of a man is right in his own eyes, But the Lord weighs the hearts. To do righteousness and justice is more acceptable to the Lord than sacrifice . . . The plans of the diligent lead surely to plenty, But those of everyone who is hasty, surely to poverty (Proverbs 21:2-3, 5).

Poverty “relief” programs always lead to *more* poverty, unless they seek and do **God’s** will.

Thus diligent prayer and strict obedience to Scripture will mark any **successful** charity outreach.

So He humbled you, allowed you to hunger, and fed you with manna which you did not know nor did your fathers know, that He might make you know that man shall not live by bread alone; but man lives by every Word that proceeds from the mouth of the Lord (Deuteronomy 8:3).

Therefore, laying aside **all** malice, all guile, hypocrisy, envy, and all evil speaking, as newborn babes, desire the pure milk of the Word, that you may grow thereby (1 Peter 2:1-2).

Accountability to God is far more important than resources, facilities, political connections, media support, community **coop-**eration, professional expertise, social acceptability, or cultural clout. After all, “if God is for us, who can be against **us?**” (Remans 8:31).

Conclusion

The ninth basic principle in the Biblical blueprint for welfare is that charity must not “exceed what is written.” It must be rooted in prayerful dependence **upon** God and God’s Word. It must seek *His will, His agenda, and His purposes.*

Nehemiah was a man who demonstrated his total dependence on God. He was a man of prayer. He was a man of the Word. As a result, in every endeavor, he sought to do the *work* of the Lord, in the *way* of the Lord. “Not my will, but **thine**” might very well have been his motto. As a result, God blessed him with *great* success. He refused to “exceed what is **written**” (1 Corinthians 4:6), and thus he never stepped beyond the bounds of God’s purposes.

Summary

Nehemiah **was** a man of prayer. He **looked** to God and to God alone for his direction.

He was also **a** man of the Word. He knew that it was essential to **the** success of any endeavor to operate within the limits set by Scripture.

God tells us what to do, when, where, how, and why. To follow any other course than the course God has set for us insures **utter** failure. Nehemiah understood that.

Unfortunately, most of our efforts on behalf of the poor are entirely uninspired. They exceed what is written, or they fall short of what is written, or they **ignore** what is written. And so they fail.

Poverty “relief” programs **always** lead to **more** poverty unless they seek and do God’s will. Thus **in** order to be effective, our charity outreaches must be marked by diligent **prayer** and’ strict **obedience** to Scripture.

No matter what task we undertake, Nehemiah’s example is instructive. We must not add to, or take away from, **God’s revelation** (Deuteronomy **4:2**; Proverbs, **30:6**; Revelation **22:18-19**). God has given **us** His blueprints. Let’s just follow the plan. Nothing more. Nothing less. “

THE FOUNDATION OF PEACE ‘

“There is no peace,” says the Lord, “for the wicked” (Isaiah 48:22, 57:21).

With that booming phrase, the great evangelical prophet Isaiah punctuated his -final series of sermons to his beloved people, the citizens of Judah.

And what a phrase!

With a remarkable economy of words, Isaiah was able to capture **the** essence of his concerns. He was able to summarize his life’s message. He was able to outline his theology. **He** was able to illustrate with absolute clarity the spiritual emphasis of his entire ministry.

All that, in one phrase.

Isaiah had dedicated himself to proclaiming to the people God’s eternal purposes for them. He was forever announcing God’s promises **to** them. He was a diligent bearer of the glad tidings of *peace*. God had established a “covenant of peace” with the people (Isaiah 54:10). Arid it was an irrevocable, everlasting covenant (Isaiah 61: 8). Thus, they would be at peace with the nations (Isaiah 26:12) and at peace with God (Isaiah 27:5). They would have “peace like a river” (Isaiah 66:12) **and** peace “like the waves of the sea” (Isaiah 48:18). There would be “peace to him who is far off and to him who is near” (Isaiah 57:19). It would be a “perfect **peace**” (Isaiah 26:3) wrought by the ‘Prince of Peace’ (Isaiah 9:6)..

But, **Isaiah** was quick to add, this great and glorious peace **would** only come upon God’s *faithful* covenant people. “There is no peace for the wicked” (Isaiah 48:22). And sadly, as Isaiah

uttered this phrase, the citizens of Judah **appeared** to be anything *but* God's **faithful** covenant people. They were treading the **dark-**some path of wickedness.

Their worship had deteriorated into meaningless ritual (Isaiah **1:11-15**). They had become proud and complacent (Isaiah **32:10**). They had entangled themselves in unholy alliances (Isaiah **30:1-3**). Their hearts were inclined to "iniquity: To practice ungodliness, to utter error against the Lord, To keep the hungry unsatisfied, And he **will** cause the drink of the thirsty to fail" (Isaiah **32:6**). They were flirting with disaster (Isaiah **5:13-17**). For, "There is no peace for the wicked" (Isaiah **48:22**).

Thus the God of peace commanded the prophet of peace to reiterate, once and for all, the *program* for peace, saying,

Cry aloud, spare not; **Lift up** your voice like a trumpet; **Tell** My people their transgression, 'And the house of Jacob their sins . . . Is this not the fast that I have chosen: To **loose** the bonds of wickedness, To undo the heavy burdens, To let the oppressed go free, And that you break every yoke? **Is** it not to **share** your bread with the hungry, And that you bring to your house the **poor** who are cast out; When you see the naked, that you cover him, And not hide yourself from your own flesh? Then your **light** shall brisk forth like the morning, Your healing **shall** spring forth speedily, And your righteousness shall go before you; The glory of the Lord shall be your rear guard. Then you shall call **and** the Lord will **answer**; You shall cry, and He will say, "Here I am." **If** you take away - the yoke from your midst, The pointing of the finger and **speaking** wickedness, If you extend your soul to the-hungry And satisfy the **afflicted** soul, Then your light shall dawn in the darkness, And your darkness **shall be** as the noonday. The Lord will guide you continually, And satisfy your soul in drought; And strengthen **your** bones; You shall be like a watered garden, And like a spring of water, whose waters do not fail. Those from among you shall build the old waste places; You shall be called the **repairer of the** breach, the restorer of streets to dwell in (Isaiah **58:1, 6-12**).

Did the people want **peace**, perfect peace, the peace that surpasses all understanding? **Did** they want to reconstruct their culture, restore the foundations; and reclaim their lost legacy? Then

they would have' to *repent* of their wickedness and *do* the works of righteousness. They would have to show forth, **the fruits** of grace. They would have to uphold their covenant responsibility. They would have to do their job. And, clearly, charity was to be one of their *prime* priorities.

\ Changing the World

Charity is rather like a lever. You can move a large, heavy **ob-**ject if you have a lever. But you also need a fulcrum. That's the Gospel, the foundation. You need them both. With both, you can move the hearts of men, if the Holy Spirit blesses your efforts. **You** can even move the world, if the Holy **Spirit** blesses your efforts. You can usher in the peace **that** surpasses **all** understanding.

Consider Isaiah's message: if you want the peace of 'God, you must *demonstrate* your commitment to Him. The way He wanted them to demonstrate their commitment was by showing *charity*.

Even though the people sought the Lord "day by **day**," delighting to know His ways, submitting to the ordinances of **the** Word, and seeking His standard of justice (Isaiah 58:2), they had fallen short., Their prayers and fasting went unnoticed (Isaiah 58:3). Their humble worship went for naught (Isaiah 58:3-5). They had fallen short simply **because** they hadn't started at the *start*. Real peace, real reconstruction, and real restoration in the land would occur only if they *began* with charity to the poor and needy.

That's where God wants His people to *start*. He wants' them to loosen the bonds of wickedness and to let the oppressed go free . (Isaiah 58:6). He wants them -to feed the hungry, shelter **the homeless**, clothe the naked, and comfort the distressed (Isaiah 58:7). *Then* cultural resurgence will occur. *Then* revival will blossom. *Then* God would turn **the** land **into** a garden like Eden (Isaiah 58:8-12). *Then* there would be peace.

Poverty relief isn't the *only* thing **in** the program for peace. It is just **the first** thing. Because poverty relief is **the first** sign, **the** initial mark of faithfulness to the call of God. True *revival* is rooted in compassion toward the poor and dispossessed (Luke 3:2-18). Charity is in fact at the very heart of the Gospel call (Luke 4:18).

Cultural renaissance **depends** upon it (Isaiah 58:12). Even, final and ultimate ' judgement is gauged-' by it (Matthew 25:31-46). "Righteousness', is sown **in** peace by those who make peace" (James 3:18).

"**Now**, wait a minute **here**," you may' be saying to yourself, "I thought that *evangelism* is what would usher in peace. Or maybe *discipleship*. Certainly not *charity*, though."

The point that Isaiah makes is that charity is the *starting place* for evangelism. **It** is where discipleship *begins*. It is **the foundation** of peace.

When a missionary goes to a new mission field, what is **the first** thing he must do? His objective of course is **to** win souls, to make known the "**peace**" of Christ Jesus, but first he must win the right to be heard. He must "exercise charity! He provides the people with medical **care**, food; shelter, clothing, pure water sources, **and** proper sanitation and hygiene. He wins the confidence of his hearers and thus wins a hearing. Then, and only then, can he win souls and make for peace (Remans 10:17).

So, charity is evangelism. It **is** discipleship. Or at least it is the *foundation* for these tasks. Charity does not *replace* preaching, teaching, or witnessing; instead it lays the groundwork for those **Gospel** tasks. Charity tills the soil so that it will be ready for and receptive to the seeds of salvation.

The people in Isaiah's day had proven by their lack of charity, and by their lack of righteous deeds, that they were indeed a faithless people. Their sure and secure foundations were thus shaken and their **peaceful** inheritance was jeopardized.

Still, God beckoned to them. Though they refused to honor the terms of the covenant, God's pledge to them was everlasting and irrevocable (Isaiah 55:3). He prodded them through His servant Isaiah to turn about and do right, saying,

Wash yourselves, make yourselves clean; Put away the evil of your doings from before **My** eyes. Cease to do evil, Learn to do good; Seek justice, Reprove the **oppressor**; Defend the fatherless, Plead for the widow. Come now, and let us reason together," Says the Lord, "Though your sins are like scarlet, They shall be as white

as snow, Though they are red like crimson, They shall be as wool.
If you are willing and obedient, You will eat the good of the land;
But if you refuse and rebel, You **shall** be devoured by the sword.”
For the mouth of **the** LORD has spoken (Isaiah 1:16-20).

The message came through loud and clear. There would never be any peace, though peace was their rightful inheritance, until they made charity a central priority. The peace that would make them like a watered garden, lit by the glories of the Lord, guarded by His very righteousness, graced by His very presence, like the garden of Eden (Isaiah 58:8-12), could not be attained until they gave themselves to the hungry, and satisfied the desire of the afflicted (Isaiah 58:10).

Notice again the emphasis here. Charity is not a peripheral matter. It is not a side issue. It is not secondary to other concerns. It is not something that believers can get around to when they jolly well please. Indeed, ‘This is pure and undefiled religion in the sight of our God and Father, to visit orphans and widows in their distress, and to keep oneself unstained by the world’ (James 1:27).

From Here to There

At a time when global thermonuclear war is an **all** too real possibility, we all know the *urgency* of peacemaking. But, dominion doesn’t happen overnight. Peace isn’t won in a day.

Even at Jericho, when God miraculously delivered the city into the hands of His people, they had to march around the walls for ‘days on end. They had to wait.

Dominion is a **multigenerational task**. It takes time. It takes work.

Jonathan knew that. So he went to work immediately. He understood the urgency of the situation, so he acted boldly. He knew that the restraints of time demanded decisiveness.,

Israel was ‘laboring under **the** terrible bondage of the Philistines. The army’ of Jonathan’s father, Saul, was defenseless and demoralized, owning no swords, and no ‘spears!

So it came about, on the day of battle, that there was neither

sword nor spear found in the hand of, any of the people 'who were with Saul and Jonathan. But they were found with Saul and Jonathan his son (1 Samuel 13:22).

Imagine that! An entire army with no weapons.

Only the king and his son had **any** really efficient armaments.

No power. No resources. No army.. No decent weapons. No hope?

Perhaps the people should wait. for another **day** to work for their deliverance. Perhaps they should wait for the day of advantage. Perhaps they should do nothing for now, waiting for a more opportune moment. After all, dominion *doesn't* happen overnight. Peace *isn't* won in a day.

But, no.

Perhaps God desires for his people to "walk by faith, not by sight" (2 Corinthians 5:7). "Perhaps . . .," thought Jonathan, 'it may be that the Lord **will** work for us. For nothing restrains the Lord from saving by many or by few" (1 Samuel 14:6).

So he set out, just he and **his** armor bearer, **alone, to** attack the Philistine garrison. To gain the promised "**peace** of the land."

Then Jonathan said, "**Very well**, let us cross over to these men, and we will show ourselves to them. If they say thus to us, 'Wait until we come to **you**,' then **we** will stand still in **our** place and not go up to them. But if they say thus, 'Come up to **us**,' **then** we' will go up. For the Lord has delivered them into 'our hand, and this shall be the sign to us.'" So both of **them** showed themselves to the garrison of the Philistine. And the Philistine said, "Look, the Hebrews are coming out of the holes where they have **hidden**." Then the men of the garrison called to Jonathan and his armor bearer, and said, "Come **up** to us, and we will show you something." **So** Jonathan said to his armor bearer, "**Come** up after me, for the Lord has delivered them into the hand of Israel.." And Jonathan climbed upon **his** hands and knees with **his armorbearer** after him; and they fell before Jonathan. And as he came after him, his armor-bearer killed them. That first slaughter which Jonathan and his **armorbearer** made was about twenty men within about half an acre **of land**. And there was trembling in the camp, in the field, and among all the people. The garrison and" the raiders also

trembled; and the earthquake, so that it was a very great trembling (1 Samuel 14:8-15).

The odds were against him. One man with his armor bearer, against the entire Philistine garrison! It was suicidal. ”

Maybe. It *looked* that way. But then, looks can be deceiving. Appearances *are* sometimes quite out of line with facts.

So, what were the facts?

Jonathan knew that the land belonged to God, not to the Philistines (Psalm 24:1). He knew that God had placed the land into the care of His chosen people, the Jews (Joshua 1:2). He knew that they had sure and secure promises that if they would obey God's Word and do God's work, they would be prosperous and successful (Joshua 1:8), that every place which the sole of their feet trod would be granted to them (Joshua 1:3), and that no man would be able to stand before them all the days of their lives (Joshua 1:5). He knew that if the people would only “dwell in the, shelter of the Most High,” in the “shadow of the Almighty” (Psalm 91:1), He would deliver them “from the snare of the fowler And from the perilous pestilence” (Psalm 91:3). He would cover them “with his feathers” (Psalm 91:4), and protect them from “the terror by night” and “the arrow that flies by day” (Psalm 91:5). And though a thousand fall at their left hand, ten thousand to the right, affliction would not approach them; they would only look and see “the reward of the wicked” (Psalm 91:7-8). They would be protected from the teeth of the devourer, encompassed with supernatural power (Psalm 91:10).

These were the facts.

Though it *looked* as if God's people were broken, scattered, defeated, and woe begotten, in truth they were *more* than conquerors (Romans 8:37). They were overcomes (1 John 5:4).

Philistine dominion was *fiction*. Israel cowering in fear was *foolish fantasy*. Pessimism about their ability to stand and not be shaken (Hebrews 12:28) was novel *nonsense*.

Jonathan knew, that.

So, he acted. He acted boldly. He acted decisively. He acted on the basis of the *truth* and *reliability* of God's Word, not on the

seemingly impossible circumstances that faced him. He acted on faith and not on sight. He acted *realistically*, knowing that God's definition of things is the *real* reality, the *only* reality, He acted with 'passion and zeal for the things he *knew* to be God's will.

And God honored him. He blessed Jonathan with great success. Unbelievable success.

Jonathan stood against the tide. By all rights, he should have been crushed under its weight, but instead, the tide turned! He won the day and' saved the nation.

Faith and Victory

"Now faith is the substance of things hoped for, the evidence of **things** not seen" (Hebrews **11:1**). "By it **the** elders obtained a good testimony" (Hebrews **11:2**). Against all odds, against all hope they obtained victory. They snatched glory out of the jaws of despair. They hurdled insurmountable obstacles to "lay hold" of the good things of the Lord (Hebrews **6:18**). By faith, they believed God for the remarkable, for the impossible (Matthew **19:26**; Hebrews **11:1-40**): Abraham (Genesis **12:1-4**), Sarah (Genesis **18:11-14**), Isaac (Genesis **27:27-29**), Jacob (Genesis **48:1-20**), **Joseph** (Genesis **50:24-26**), Moses (Exodus **14:22-29**), **Rahab** (Joshua **6:23**), Ruth (Ruth **1:16-17**), Gideon (Judges **6:1-8:35**), **Barak** (Judges **4:1-5:31**), Samson (Judges **13:1-16:31**), **Jephthah** (Judges **11:1-12:7**), David (1 Samuel **16:1-17:58**), Isaiah (Isaiah **1:1-6:13**), Samuel, **and** all the prophets (1 "Samuel **1:1-28**; Hebrews **11:32**). For by faith they "subdued kingdoms, worked righteousness, obtained promises, stopped the mouths of lions, quenched the violence of fire, escaped the edge of the sword, out of weakness were made **strong**, became valiant in battle, turned to flight the armies of the aliens" (Hebrews **11:33-34**). Though they were mocked and **persecuted**, imprisoned and tortured, **impoverished** and oppressed, they were unshaken and **eventually** obtained God's great reward (Hebrews **11:35-40**).

Therefore we also, since we are surrounded by so great a cloud of witnesses, let us lay aside every weight, **and** the sin which so easily ensnares us, and let us run with endurance the race that is set before us, looking unto Jesus, the author and finisher of our

faith, who for the joy that was set before Him endured the cross, despising the shame, and has sat down at the right hand of the throne of God (Hebrews 12:1-2).

The future is ours.

But the days are urgent. Humanism's empire of perversity and idolatry, of greed and gluttony, is collapsing like a house of cards. Peace is nowhere to be found.

The battlefields of Europe, Southeast Asia, Central America, and the Middle East give vivid testimony that humanism's hope for peace on earth is a false hope. The economic ruin of Nicaragua, Ethiopia, Afghanistan, Poland, and Russia give vivid testimony that humanism's hope for utopia is a false hope. The ovens of Auschwitz, the abortuaries of L. A., the bathhouses of New York, and the nurseries of Bloomington give vivid testimony that humanism's hope of medical and genetic perfectibility is a false hope. The ghettos of Detroit, the barrios of West San Antonio, the tent cities of Phoenix, and the slums of St. Louis give vivid testimony that humanism's hope of winning the "war on poverty" is a false hope.

But the Biblical hope has *never* yet been found. wanting.

So, what are we waiting for?

There 'is starving in the shadow of plenty. There is a job that must be done. And only we can do it.

Oh, sure, it is a monumental task. There is no denying that to tackle the hunger, hopelessness, and hopelessness that blights our land will require massive resources, unending commitment, and diligent labor. Dominion doesn't happen overnight. Peace isn't won in a day.

But . . . God has given us His blueprints. And His plan cannot fail.

It must be admitted that "there are giants in the land" (Numbers 13:33) and that "we appear to be grasshoppers in our own sight, and in theirs . . ." (Numbers 13:33).

But . . . God has given us His promises. And His Word cannot fail.

Certainly, long term unemployment, the **deinstitutionaliza-**

tion of mental patients; old age dependencies, urban **gentrification** and displacement, rural land foreclosures, industrial layoffs, and massive **aliteracy** are complex problems that cannot be solved with wishful **thinking** or naive and simplistic executive action.

But . . . God has established His priorities, laid out His strategies, and illumined His principles. And His program cannot fail.

Time to Go to Work

Jonathan faced the Philistine. He took God at His Word. He went to work, and emerged victorious. Against **all** odds, Ehud faced the power of Moab (Judges **3:12-30**); **Shamgar** faced the power of the **Philistines** (Judges **3:31**); Deborah faced the power of Canaan (Judges **4:1-5:31**); Gideon faced the power of Midian (Judges **6:1-8:35**); the apostles faced the power of the Roman empire (Acts **8:1-28:31**); and each one emerged victorious.

Against all odds!

Isn't it about time for us to demonstrate to an unbelieving world that God can *still* beat the odds? Isn't it about time for us to prove to a fallen and depraved generation that God can raise up a weak and unesteemed people against all odds, and win? **Isn't** it about time we laid the foundation of peace? Isn't it?

- For though we walk in the flesh, we do not war according to the flesh. For the weapons of our warfare are not carnal but mighty **in** God for pulling down strongholds, **casting** down arguments and **every** high thing that **exalts** itself against the knowledge of God, bringing every thought into captivity to the obedience of Christ (2 Corinthians **10:3-5**).

We are invincible (Ephesians **6:10-18**; Remans **8:37-39**). Even the gates of hell shall not prevail against us (Matthew **16:8**). If, that is, we would only do our job. If we would only take the Gospel hope beyond, to "the ends of the earth" (Acts **1:8**), if we would only "make disciples of all the nations" (Matthew **28:19**), if we would only "rebuild the ancient ruins . . . raise up the age old foundations . . . and repair the breach" (Isaiah **58:12**) by caring for the poor, the afflicted, and the dispossessed (Isaiah **58:10**).

It is time to go to work. It is time to lay the foundations of

peace. We may have to work with few, or even no resources. Like Jonathan (1 Samuel 14:6). We may have to improvise, utilizing less than perfect conditions and less than qualified workers and less than adequate facilities. Like Nehemiah (Nehemiah 1:20). We may have to battle the powers that be, the rulers and the principalities. Like Peter, James, and John (Acts 4:20). We may have to go with what we've got, with no support, no notoriety, and no cooperation. Like Jeremiah (Jeremiah 1:4-10). We may have to start "in weakness, in fear, and in much trembling" (1 Corinthians 2:3), without "persuasive words of wisdom" (1 Corinthians 2:4). Like the Apostle Paul (1 Corinthians 2:1).

Instead of allowing their limitations and liabilities to discourage and debilitate them, the heroes of the faith went to work — God's power being made manifest in their weakness (1 Corinthians 1:26-29).

It is time for us, to go to work.

Dominion doesn't happen overnight. Peace isn't won in a day. So the sooner we get started, the better off we'll be. The sooner we get started, the quicker the victory will come. In order to get from here to there, we need to set out upon the road. At the very least.

There will never be an ideal time to *begin* the work of charity. Money is *always short*. Volunteers are *always* at a premium. Facilities are always either too small, or too inflexible, or in the wrong location, or too expensive. There is *never* enough time, *never* enough energy, and *never* enough resources. ,
So what?

Our commission is **not** dependent upon conditions and restrictions. **Our** commission is dependent only upon the unconditional promises of God's Word. God has called us to peace (1 Corinthians 7:15), to be peacemakers (Matthew 5:9), "so then let us pursue **the** things that make for peace" (Romans 14:9).

We should just go. Do what **we** ought to. We 'should make peace. Starting now.

There shall be no-poor among you, since the Lord will surely' bless you in **the** land which the Lord your God is giving you to possess as an inheritance, if only you carefully obey the voice of the Lord your God, to observe with care all these" commandments **which** I command you today (Deuteronomy 15:4-5 NAS).

“There is, no peace for the wicked.” But if we will do our job, and do it now, then peace **shall** be reckoned unto us.

Conclusion

The tenth basic principle **in** the Biblical blueprint for welfare is that the work **of** *charity* is the foundation, of peace and so **must** be undertaken *now*. The **situation** is urgent.

Jonathan knew the **odds** were against him, lopsidedly so, when he faced the **Philistines** single **handedly**. But he also knew that God, blessed obedience. He knew that **God** blessed valor. **He** knew that God’s work done **in** “God’s way would never lack for God’s provision and protection. So, he set out. **And** he won! He gained peace for the land.

We are called to “**walk by faith, not by sight**” (2 Corinthians 5:7). We are to walk in the supernatural anointing of Almighty God, casting down strongholds, taking every thought, every word, every deed, every man, woman and child captive for Christ.

We are not to tremble at the “giants in the land.” For we have come as giant killers!

Ours is the “peace that surpasses all understanding.” And it shall be constant reality if we would only lay the foundation **of** peace, if we would only act charitably!

Summary

God desires for His people to obtain an inheritance of peace.

But unless we lay the foundation **for** *peace*, we shall never see that glorious day. And as Isaiah so clearly points out, that foundation is charity. *There will never be any peace until we do our job of caring for the poor.*

That of course will take time and energy. But we have **to start** somewhere. The story of Jonathan illustrates how important, how urgent, decisive action can be in times of great distress. Jonathan shows us **how** we can begin to turn things around, preparing **for** the day of peace.

It is time for us to go to work. It is time for us to evidence the kind of faith that challenges the stiffest odds. It is time for us to lay the foundation of peace. We must begin *now*, before it’s too late.

Part II

***THE BIBLICAL BLUEPRINT:
3 STRATEGIES***

Only be strong and very courageous, that you may observe **to** do according to **all** the Law which Moses My servant commanded you; do not turn **from** it to the right **hand** or to the left, that you may **prosper** wherever you go. **This** Book of the Law **shall** not depart **from** your mouth, but you shall meditate in it day and night, that you may observe to do according **to all** that is written in it. For then you will make your way **prosperous**, and **then** you will have good success.

Joshua **1:7-8**

WHAT THE **GOVERNMENT** SHOULD DO

In light of these ten basic principles in the Biblical blueprint for welfare, what should the government do?

Obviously, it shouldn't be doing what it is doing now.

In every way, shape, **and** form imaginable, government welfare obstructs compassion, short circuits efficiency, and stymies progress. Government welfare is a hopeless mess.

Wouldn't it be better to create a **messless** hope?

Only thirty cents of each anti-poverty dollar actually reaches the poor. The other seventy cents is gobbled up by the government's lumbering bureaucracy. Thus, it takes lots and lots of dollars even to make a show of it. Not surprisingly, welfare spending in the U.S. has risen by at least **5% every** year since the "war on poverty" was announced. Social **welfare** spending has **never** been cut — not in the Nixon administration, the Ford administration, or in, the Carter administration.

What about the Reagan administration? Despite **all** the liberal moaning and groaning and the media hype over so-called "budget cuts," the Reagan administration has progressively increased social welfare spending **every** year it has held office. In 1981, it spent \$68.7 billion more than the year before. In 1982, it spent \$54.2 billion more than that. In 1983, it spent \$45.8 billion more than that. And in 1984, it spent \$39.6 billion more than that. The **only** thing it has cut is the **rate** of increase in spending.

And what has this incomprehensible deluge of spending wrought? How are the lives of the poor improved?

They aren't. If anything; the poor. are worse off than ever before.

Before the “war on poverty” 13% of Americans were poor, using the official definition, with an unemployment rate of 3.6%. After untold billions of dollars spent over the past two decades, 15% of Americans are now poor, using the official definition, with an **unemployment** rate that has ranged upwards as high as 11.6%, but never , downward any lower than 5.2%. Obviously, we’re losing ground.

The evidence for this astounding, failure is irrefutable. Books like Walter William’s *The State Against Blacks* (McGraw-Hill, 1982), Lawrence Mead’s *Beyond Entitlement* (Free Press, 1986), Thomas Sowell’s *The Economics and Politics of Race* (William Morrow, 1983), George Gilder’s *Wealth and Poverty* (Basic Books, 1981), Clarence Carson’s *The War on the Poor* (Arlington House, 1969), Charles Murray’s *Losing Ground* (Basic Books, 1984), and Henry Hazlitt’s *The Conquest of Poverty* (Arlington House, 1973), have shown beyond any shadow of a doubt that the welfare system is utterly **unredeemable**. But then, we don’t really need experts and their mountains of statistics to tell us that.

Even attempts at reform—“in kind” payments, workfare,, negative income taxes, “right to work” laws, job retraining programs, and enterprise zoning—have miserably failed to shake loose the albatross of inefficiency and maladministration that hangs ‘about the neck of government welfare.

Of course, the reason Christians must oppose welfare policies is not simply that they don’t work. Christians must oppose government policies because they are *wrong*. They violate Scripture. That they don’t work is icing’ on the cake!

So, what can be done?

Or better still, what *must* be done?

Paying People Not to Work

The whole idea behind Biblical charity is to get the poor back on their feet, working again, independent and productive. It seems that the whole idea behind government welfare is exactly the opposite. It knocks the poor off their feet, keeps them from working, creates long term dependencies, and makes them completely and entirely unproductive.

Government welfare *expects* next to nothing from its beneficiaries. It extends its privileges as unquestioned and unquestionable entitlements. The poor are not obligated in any way to meet the social demands of citizenship. In this way, welfare is an especially grotesque form of *discrimination*. It creates a *separate* class of people, people who are not like all others in the society: They are not *ex-*pected to act responsibly; they are not expected to learn a *trade*; they are not expected to support their families; they are not expected to improve their lot; they are not expected to stay out of trouble with the law. This absolute absence of expectation serves as an almost insurmountable *disincentive*.

Why should a ghetto teenager even try? Why not just take the *path* of least resistance? Why not just conform? Why not just *stay in his place*?

Talk about discrimination! Talk about oppressive racism!

Why should an unskilled, inexperienced teenager take menial jobs, slowly working his way up the ladder from poverty to *pro-*ductivity, when he could make a much better living for *himself*—initially — on welfare? In New York, welfare *benefits* available to the state's poor accrue to more than one-and-a-half times the minimum wage. Who in his right mind would go to work at McDonald's for \$3.35 an hour when he could "earn" \$4.87 an hour on welfare? Only someone who is determined to get off the "new plantation: no matter what the cost. Today's "underground railroad" is a job. Unfortunately, the "railroad" *rarely* runs among the poorest of the poor.

Promiscuous handouts by the government inevitably shift the bottom third of the economy from the payrolls to the welfare rolls. In 1960, there were 3 million welfare recipients. Between 1968 and 1972, new cases were added at a double-digit increase rate, so that by the end of the Nixon administration, there were 10.8 million recipients. Today the numbers have gone into the astronomical range: Over 20.2 million Americans are on welfare.

It only makes sense. Standing in lines, filling out forms, haunting the streets, and watching TV is, at first glance anyway, a whole lot more pleasant way to spend a week than digging ditches, pumping gas, or flipping hamburgers. Welfare is a trap. It is bondage.

The only way to remove work disincentives from the welfare system is to remove entitlements. If benefits **are** to be given at all, they should carry with “them obligations-, expectations, and **responsibilities**.”

Entitlements are an oppressive bondage upon the poor. They are discriminatory. They are wicked.

Is this not the fast that **I** have chosen: To loose the **bonds** of wickedness, To undo the heavy burdens, To let the oppressed go **free**, And that you break every yoke, (Isaiah 58:6)?

Entitlements must go.

Minimum Wage Laws

Entitlements are not the only government sanctioned tools of discrimination. Minimum wage laws too, cause high unemployment among low-skilled workers, *eliminating* them from the mainstream of society.

Supposedly designed to protect workers on the lower end of the economy from exploitation, the laws actually work to **exclude** them from the economy altogether. After all, if a wage of \$3.35 an hour *must* be paid to *every* worker, then what kind of workers will be hired? Very simply, *only* those whose **skills** are “worth” \$3.35 an hour or **more**. If a laborer-is inexperienced and **unskilled**, he has little chance of **breaking** into the market. The **minimum** wage then effectively *eliminates* the opportunities of the poor. Only crime is unencumbered. **by** minimum wage laws. Ever wonder why street crime is so enticing to today’s impoverished youth?

But, besides the questions of skill or experience, minimum wage laws also raise, the question of *race*, to the detriment of minorities. If a racist employer is forced by the government to pay the same minimum wage to blacks, whites, and **hispanics**, **his hiring** criterion ceases to be **economic** and becomes instead preferential. Whom will he hire, an unskilled, inexperienced black, or an unskilled, inexperienced **white**? By **levelling** the market interests, racists are *encouraged* to **discriminate**. Blacks and other minorities suffer.

When the **first** federal minimum wage law was passed back in 1953, the rate of black teenage unemployment and the rate of white teenage unemployment were the same: about **9%**. Black teenage unemployment immediately went up, until today in some cities it is in the **50%** range. But white teenage unemployment is still in the single-digit range.

Why? Are American employers more racist today than they were in 1953? That doesn't seem likely. But employers have to take a chance with "less desirable people." Hiring such people may produce resentment in the work force. It's easier to hire your foreman's nephew for the summer. Unless you can hire a "**less desirable person**" cheaper, of course. Then the nephew will have to shape up and meet the bid.

Teenage unemployment isn't a permanent condition. When teenagers get older, they get married. Married men are far better risks for employers. Married black males are mostly working people. They seldom suffer from double-digit unemployment levels. **So** the minimum wage law's effects are not permanent.

What the law does is to *delay the entry* of less motivated minority youths into the work force. These people lose early years of experience. They suffer extra years of rejection and frustration. Nobody tells them why employers refuse to hire them; they just know that nobody will hire them. So while their white peers and black middle-class peers are finishing high school and getting college degrees, they are standing around on street corners, getting angry.

The U.S. government spends billions each year on a program for young people called Head Start. The program gets ghetto children into the public schools earlier. Then, when they become teenagers, the minimum wage law takes over. Its effects are the very opposite of Head Start. We might call it the "Head Stop" program. Or maybe, "**Head Down.**"

The legal right to make a bid is the foundation of economic freedom. "**I'll do it for less!**" is the number-one weapon for the economically disadvantaged. "**I'll do it better!**" is their second cry, their ladder out of misery.

Minimum wage laws make **the first** cry illegal. The second cry has to be proven on the job before-it can be safely believed by an employer- and minimum **wage laws** make it illegal for the person to get the **job**.

Minimum wage laws pull Up the ladder about the time the **dis-** advantaged person first gets the idea that he has to work himself out of poverty. "Sorry, **Charlie!**"

Minimum wage laws are not just a minority or youth issue. Unions have traditionally supported **the** minimum wage laws. But again, their intentions, though seemingly honorable, have only 'restricted the job market, pricing the non-union poor completely out of the economy. It has simply been a case of the haves excluding the have-nets. Minimum wage laws create protected zones for those workers who might otherwise face competition from people who are willing and able to bid their services for less than the minimum wage allows.

Minimum wage laws, and the unions and legislators that support them, have in effect, created a permanent welfare underclass, dependent on entitlements for their **very** existence. They have inflicted on the poor a yoke of bondage.

Is this not the fast that I have chosen: To loose the bonds of wickedness, To undo the heavy burdens, To let the oppressed go free, And that you break **every yoke** (Isaiah 58:6)?

Minimum wage laws must go.

Occupational Licensing

Supposedly, it is in the "public interest" for government to **reg-** **ulate** business and industry. Supposedly, without the restrictions placed by government on merchants, manufacturers, and service industries, the safety and security of the public would be at risk. Oppressors and, exploiters and any other kind of unscrupulous profiteer would swoop into the economy, raping and pillaging the land. Supposedly.

That may or may not be, but one **thing** is certain: The regulation of businesses and occupations works to the detriment of the poor.

The poor have traditionally worked their way up out of poverty through peddling or trash hauling or taxiing or trucking or-repairing or building. But now, due to the regulation of each of those occupations at the behest of organized labor and liberal legislators, the poor are excluded from the possibility of even entering into the market.

Peddlers, if allowed to exist at all, are required to buy expensive licenses, maintain careful records, and to restrict their activities to certain specified areas. Gone are the days of the roadside stands. Gone are the days of the hawkers in 'the streets. Gone even are the days of unrestricted garage sales and flea markets and craft fairs.

Trash hauling too has become a heavily regulated occupation. Load fees, safety requirements, and yearly licensing have all made extinct the neighborhood **trashman** willing and able to haul away old refrigerators, yard rubbish, and cast off refuse. Replaced by professional corporations and unions, the poor have no opportunity to build their own businesses. Free enterprise is no longer free for them.

The taxicab industry is even worse. Because taxiing requires minimal skills and minimal **capital** outlay (all you need is a car), it has always attracted a large number of poor laborers. But no more. The taxi industry is so carefully regulated and restricted that, in New York City for example, the selling price for operators licenses runs as high as \$100,000! To 'drive a taxi! The poor are *kept* in their *place*. They *are forced* to depend upon entitlements.

Trucking too once attracted the poor.. It provided, for a minimum" of overhead, an avenue for the poor to advance. Now though, due to regulation and restriction, the poor are priced out of the industry. Today an independent trucker is forced to have a capital outlay of between \$100,000 and \$500,000, just to get started!

Like the peddler, the trashman, the independent taxi driver, and the independent trucker, the neighborhood handyman is a fading memory of a once flourishing economy. The electrical and plumbing and small appliance repair trades are all so carefully

“regulated **and** restricted that it is no longer possible for a **kid** who is “good with his hands” to expect **any** kind of future as a **handy**-man. What with licensing, fees, **trade** restrictions, union **limits**, experience requirements, and decreed standardizations, the poor are never given the opportunity to compete.

Similarly, independent remodelers and carpenters have been squeezed out of the market by government regulations. **Again**, the old bugaboo, licensing, **has** proven to be the absolute-ruin of many a craftsman. Unable to **withstand** the ever escalating costs “of doing business’ with bureaucracy, the poor simply **leave** the trade.

In essence, government regulations and occupational licensing are nothing short of economic *sanctions* against the poor and **dis**-advantaged. **They force** them to rely on the handouts of the welfare state. They are a yoke.

Is this not the fast that I have chosen: To loose the bonds of wickedness, To undo the heavy burdens, To let the oppressed go free, **And** that you break every yoke (Isaiah 58:6)?

. Occupational licensing must go.

Subsidized Industry

Union leaders and legislators claim that American industry must be subsidized in order to save the jobs of millions of **Ameri**-can workers. They claim that basic heavy industries like steel, automobiles, textiles, **rubber**, and oil must be subsidized in order to survive.

Actually, quite the opposite is true.

Because American industry *has* been subsidized, the U.S. share of world trade declined **16%** during the decade of the 60s, and another **23%** during the decade of the 70s. And as a result, a tremendous number of workers have lost their jobs. Between 1975 and 1985, nearly **22%** of all manufacturing jobs in the U.S. ceased to exist. According to the Bureau of Labor statistics, another **30%** will disappear between 1985 and the turn of the century.

So what has this dismal state of affairs to do with government

subsidies?

Everything!

Because of the subsidies, industry has not been forced to deal with market trends. "It has not had to modernize. It has not had to retool.

Declining industries have been artificially sustained. So, plant closures have been kept to a minimum, and bankruptcies have been staved off. But at what cost? Inefficient and antiquated factories, unhindered by market forces, end up squandering millions upon millions of dollars that could have been invested in new ventures opening up *more* job opportunities and *more* job security for the future.

The import **controls**, tax breaks, and regulatory relief measures that government and industry leaders have won for **American** companies have only intensified the high technology and high efficiency strategies of companies in Japan, South Korea, Brazil, and West Germany. And that only pushes their American competitors further and further behind in the race for excellence and prosperity in the decades ahead.

It seems the more government meddles with the apparatus of the economy, the worse things get. Especially for the poor.

Give It Back to the Indians -

The welfare state idea is a very old, old idea. The Incas subscribed to it. So did the Romans. **But** the model for our present system is actually a home grown heresy. When the 60s "war on **poverty**" activists were looking for something to replace the old Elizabethan Poor Law ethic, they had to look no **further** than the Washington office of the Bureau of Indian Affairs (a.k.a. the Office of Indian Affairs).

The Office of Indian Affairs was established in 1824. It was operated by the War Department. In 1849, it was transferred to the newly created Bureau of the Interior. It is **doubtful** that any U.S. bureaucracy in the 19th century was more infamous, more riddled with corruption, than the Office of Indian Affairs.

The OIA ran the reservations. It created a *welfare state* for **con-**

quered tribes. It was understood as an instrument of *conquest* and *subjection*. The Indians were placed on the reservations in order to control them. “There was no doubt about **this** in anyone’s mind. The government funneled money down the **bureaucracy**, and the Indians wound up in poverty.

That’s where they still are. There is no group beneath them. Every **American** realizes that the Indians are on the bottom of the pile: little hope, not much future, and not **much** money:

What most Americans don’t understand is that the **government** funnels about a billion and a half dollars through the BIA every year. Now, there are **about 735,000** Indians in the U.S. This means that the government spends \$2,000 per year on every Indian— man, woman, and child. If families **average** six people, this is \$12,000 a year.

Indians on the reservations pay no **taxes**, state, local, or federal. Thus, a reservation family can earn money without suffering any tax consequences. Furthermore, almost no zoning or other state and local regulations apply, so they can start any sort of **business** on the reservations.

Here we see the incredible failure of a socialist experiment that is well over a century old. The Indians are in poverty, yet **the** government is providing **the** BIA with enough money to make every Indian family middle class, and upper middle class if they earn as **little** as \$5,000 per family.

Conclusion: the Indians aren’t getting the “money. The BIA bureaucracy is.

But this is only part **of the story**. The federal government owns the reservations’ 52 million acres of land. It holds this land “in trust” for the Indians. Tribal councils (socialist bureaucracies) have some say in the use of **the** land. But only *some*.

R. J. Rushdoony served for a decade as a missionary to the Western Shoshone Indians in **the Idaho-Nevada** area. Here was his evaluation of the program in 1954:

Whatever the pre-reservation Indian was and his faults were real - he was able to take care of himself and had a character becoming to his culture and religion. He was a responsible person. Today

he is far from that. The wretched security he has had, beginning with the food and clothing dole of early years, designed to enforce the reservation system and destroy Indian resistance, has sapped him -of character. The average Indian knows that he can gamble and drink away his earnings and still be sure that his house and land will remain his own; and, with his hunting rights, he can always eke out some kind of existence.

Government men too often hamper and impede the man with initiative and character. This is because their program inevitably must be formulated in terms of the lowest common denominator, the weakest Indian. In addition, the provisions of the government for the "welfare" and "security" of the Indians remove the consequences from their sinning and irresponsibility. The result is a license to irresponsibility, which all the touted government projects cannot counteract ." (R. J. Rushdoony, "Life on the Reservation," in *Essays on Liberty*, Vol. 2 [Irvington-on-Hudson, New York: Foundation for Economic Education, 1954], pp. 49-50.)

So, having seen the system in action, the politicians voted for a national program for *all the* minority groups in the 60s. The results were predictable to anyone who had ever been on a reservation. The promises by the politicians were the same sorts of promises given to the Indians. "Just do what we say, **Chief**, and you'll be taken care of."

It isn't the Indians who are the Indian-givers; it's the welfare state politicians.

Why not create profit-seeking corporations for every tribe, turn all the **BIA** property (including the land) over to these corporations, and distribute the shares on a per capita basis to every family head?

Why not allow these corporations to operate federal tax-free for, say, ten years?

Why not allow the reservations to retain their "zero tax, zero regulations" status? They could become "**free** trade zones" overnight. Businesses would flock to them.

In short, why not **take the** whole reservation system and give it back to the Indians?

Why not? Because it would cripple the bureaucracy, that's why not ! It **would** set dangerous precedents for all of the other "**kept**" minorities, that's why not! It would eliminate the entire **welfare** mentality, that's why not!

A Policy for the '90s

So what should the **government** do? How can the **humanitarians** and philanthropists **who** create, public policy actually render aid to the needy in our land? “-

Very simply, government should *return* to the free enterprise system. The government **should** take every measure to ensure that ours is once again a **“Land of Opportunity.”**

Welfare entitlement **programs** should be completely **dismantled** and **eliminated**.

Minimum wage laws should be abolished.

Occupational licensing restrictions should be kept to a bare minimum-for **the** purposes of public safety only.

Industry subsidies and protectionist trade- policies should never even be considered.

In short, the government should get out of the way!

If legislators and union leaders would only realize that by reducing the level of interference in the economy and by ridding the nation of various constraints on **the** market, they could help the poor more than **welfare**, food stamps, and Social Security ever have, maybe a real **“war on poverty”** could be fought in this land of plenty. Jobs would be created, work incentives would abound, investment would be stimulated, productivity would, soar, and technology would advance. And the poor would benefit from a turn around like that, *more* than anyone else.

But legislators and union leaders refuse to make that kind of turn around. **Thus** it is not at all unreasonable to ask, **“Do union** leaders *really* want to help the poor? Do “liberal” politicians *really* care? Or is **all** their talk of **“fairness”** and “justice” just rhetoric to manipulate the masses? The truth about minimum wage laws was widely exposed over twenty years ago. Concerned, **thinking** people have argued **for** its abolition for two decades. They are still **here**.

Sinful men **dominate** our society, men who **really** *don't care* about **the** poor at all. We can expect no help from them.

In the Meantime

Ultimately the sinful men who dominate our society, “those who have encumbered the poor with the. bondage of welfarism,

must be ousted from their places of influence and power. We must *vote them out*. But, that may take quite a while.

So, what do we do in the meantime? And how do we prepare for the transition?

First, the church must take up her philanthropic mantle *now*, even before the welfare state has been dismantled. No real **political** action can be taken to transfer welfare responsibilities to the church if the church is unprepared, " inexperienced, and uninspired. **Conservatives** have been rabble-rousing for years about how churches and families can do a better job of taking care of the poor than the government. It's time to *prove it*. No one in Washington is going to take significant steps toward eliminating public welfare programs if we don't start developing *real and reliable alternatives*. If welfare were abolished tomorrow, millions of Americans would suffer calamitously. The short-term chaos would virtually offset any long-term benefits. The church isn't ready to take up her responsibilities, so she needs to get *ready*. Only then can we expect tangible political reform,

Second, we must begin a **program of** privatization similar to the Thatcher initiatives in Great Britain. For more than five years now the ruling Conservative Party **there** has been slowly, quietly, and efficiently returning billions of dollars of government property and resources to the private sector. Socialized welfare programs are gradually but systematically being surrendered into the hands of the citizenry. The entire economy is inching toward the healthy decentralized free -market system that made Britain great in the first place. **Similar** measures in the U.S. could see the **gradual** transfer of public and subsidized housing to the tenants. They would become *homeowners* instead of federal dependents. Don't you know that the quality of those projects would instantly **improve**? Privatization could arrange for the transfer of federal properties to various functioning-charities. **It** could also provide for the transfer of responsibility from the well-heeled but **ineffective** government bureaucracies to the cash-poor but efficiently run private sector. Washington would save billions, the charities would gain essential properties and resources, and the poor would

be compassionately cared for in a way never before possible.

Third, a number of economic steps could be taken to encourage the **re-mantling** of private welfare and the **dis-mantling** of public welfare. Tax credits -or better yet dollar for dollar **tax** reductions- could be implemented for all donations to legitimately functioning local relief charities. This would encourage a much needed infusion of capital into the private sector. But it would also send a much needed message to Washington: People would rather pay Peter and Paul than they would Caesar **Augustus**, especially when what they're paying for is **kindness** and compassion. Since **this** kind of measure would only be temporary-until the **public-to-private** transfer was completed- questions of legitimacy could be handled by temporary certification boards appointed locally by participating churches and private agencies.

Fourth, enterprise zones should be established in the inner cities. Where urban renewal failed, **free** enterprise can succeed magnificently if only given the chance. Look what it has done for that tiny **resourceless rock on the tip of** China: Hong Kong. There is no other way to explain its fabulous productivity and unlimited opportunity. *Free* enterprise, ethically restrained by God's standards can transform poverty into productivity **like** nothing else on earth. It could turn our slums into hives of industry and centers of entrepreneurial zeal. But we must free businesses and property owners in those areas of governmental interference, overbearing taxation, and trade restrictions.

Once these measures have been enacted, only then can the 'reservation' system of **the** welfare state be abolished. Only then will the elimination of entitlements, minimum wage laws, **occupational** licensing, and industrial subsidies be even remotely feasible in the political **arena**.

Of course, in order for these measures to even be considered, "significant changes will have to occur in the lives and the thinking **of** America's citizens. Revival will have to erupt. Political reformation cannot precede spiritual reformation. Government cannot get **out** of the way if the church does not get in the way.

Thus, we must turn to the church.

Conclusion

In order for us to fully implement the Biblical blueprint for charity we must get the government *out* of the way. Government welfare is inefficient, unproductive, and destructive.

Entitlement programs discriminate against the poor by keeping them out of the work force.

Similarly, minimum wage laws, occupational licensing, subsidized industries, and protectionist trade policies, though designed to protect the populace and save jobs, actually only deepen the downward spiral of unemployment and privation. They become a trap, a yoke for the poor.

Thus, government must move away from its recent pattern of interventionism and restriction and toward a genuinely free market. Government must create opportunity by leaving the economy alone.

It is time government took some *real* affirmative action by providing equal opportunity to all men, including the poor, the unskilled, and the inexperienced.

Summary

Government **welfare**, because it is *completely out of line* with God's plan and purpose, inevitably does *more harm than good*.

Entitlements, minimum wage laws, occupational" licensing, and industrial subsidies **all** work to undermine the opportunities of the poor. They are in effect *economic sanctions* against the poor.

Unfortunately, because the private sector and the church have been so complacent for so long, an immediate dismantling of the **welfare** system would cause short term chaos. Therefore we need to begin immediately implementing steps of transition: church programs, privatization, tax credits, enterprise zones, and deregulation.

Once these steps of transition have been adequately undertaken we **can** then get the government *completely* out of the welfare business .

WHAT THE CHURCH SHOULD DO

In the mid-nineteenth century, the prominent English economist John Stuart Mill pointed out that the difficulty of leaving relief entirely to private charity is that such charity operates “uncertainly and casually. . . (it) lavishes its bounty in one place, and, leaves people to starve in another.”

His charge has yet to be adequately answered. That must change.

If **conservative** Christians are going to oppose, the **federal dole**, then they must come up with workable alternatives. They must realize that it is foolish and fruitless to try and fight something with nothing.

We need alternatives. We need models.

Application must be undertaken. It is not enough to know that the socialistic and humanistic experiments in the “war on **poverty**” have been dismal failures. It is **not** enough to know that the ‘social Gospel’ approach to ministry proposed by liberal Christians is in adequate **and** impotent. It is not even enough to formulate dynamic theological affirmations from our academic ivory towers. We must get **our** hands dirty in the work of caring for the poor, correctly, sanely, and Biblically. We must translate **the** basic ‘principles *of* Biblical charity into basic strategies *for* Biblical charity.

Despite the magnitude of the Biblical evidence to the **contrary**, the church **has**, over the **last** generation, acted as if charity was not particularly important. We have allowed the powers **and** principalities, the governments and bureaucracies to steal away from us this particular duty, simply because we haven’t seen it as central to the Gospel mission.

What has been the result of this neglect? A loss of the church's authority. Remember the fundamental Biblical principle of dominion: *dominion through service*. Authority flows to those individuals and institutions that voluntarily take responsibility.

As in the education of our children, in the administration of our hospitals, and in the dissemination of our culture, the church has lost control of the apparatus of charity in our society because the church has been in a conscious and deliberate *retreat* from the world. We've become so heavenly minded that we're no earthly good!

Our Perilous Times

Ours are perilous times. Virtually all the experts agree. Western civilization seems bent on a collision course with disaster.

Military strategists tell us that with *détente* on its last leg and worldwide terrorism on the rise, "Wars and rumors of wars" will soon be nostalgically recalled as "the joyous days of old."

Environmentalists tell us that due to gross negligence and mismanagement, the ecological balance of planet Earth is in very real jeopardy. In fact, they say, vast regions of our globe are now little more than semi-civilized hazardous waste dumps.

Economists tell us that the Third World is irrevocably buried beneath an avalanche of need, while the super powers are frozen in unproductive patterns of sloth and envy. The mini-recession of '79 through '83 was just a taste of what is to come. The shift from the assembly lines to the breadlines will continue to be one of the most prominent features of our economic landscape.

On and on and on they go. Doomsayers at every turn. Meteorologists say that, because of shifts in the global weather patterns, we can expect one natural catastrophe after another. Agricultural experts are predicting dire days of shortages and even famines just ahead. Sociologists, fearing a massive collapse of confidence and cultural apathy, can talk of little else.

And, as if all that were not enough, it appears as if a moral degeneration has set in as well. "Eat, drink, and be merry, for tomorrow we may die" has become the ethical emblem of an entire

generation. Standards are crumbling. Morality is vanishing. Faithfulness and other such **victorian** notions **have** been washed away by a tidal, wave of promiscuity, vice, and corruption. Drugs, pornography, lawlessness, homosexuality, terrorism, abortion, rape, and infanticide have actually become commonplace. It **seems** as if nothing is sacred any longer.

So, what do these experts recommend? What hope can they offer us?

Some play the part of Machiavelli, advocating a central and all-powerful state. Deferment of a few individual liberties is a small price to pay for corporate survival, they say.

Some play the part of Peter Pan, saying, in effect, "**Que sera, - sera. Whatever will be, will be.**" "Let the cards fall where they may," they tell us, "There is no need to get all worked up about **things we** can't do anything about. Live and let live. Grab for **all** the gusto and forget about the mess we're inn

Others simply shrug their shoulders and, like Gaughin, effect a Whence? What? and Whither?" despondency.

But regardless of their ideology and predisposition to action or inaction, the experts, with one voice, assert that stability in the Western world is indeed on a short tether. Change is in the wind.

Sowing and Reaping

How has this come to be? How could we, who had risen to such heights, have plunged to such depths?

The Bible teaches that there is a direct cause and effect principle at work in our culture, and in fact, in all cultures. There always has been and there always will be.

When a civilization takes seriously the commands of God for every area of **life**, it will be blessed. When its laws are in conformity with the Scriptural standards, when **its** institutions emulate the Scriptural models, when its character is shaped by the Scriptural edicts, then it will prosper and flourish (Deuteronomy **28:1-14**).

If, on the other hand, a civilization ignores the commands of God for every area of life, it will be **curse**d. When its laws are in disharmony with Scriptural standards, when its institutions **con-**

tradict the Scriptural models, when its character defies the Scriptural edicts, it will flounder and fail (Deuteronomy **28:15-68**).

Christianity was once the authoritative voice and conscience of Western culture. Erected upon a Biblical base, the culture flowered magnificently. In every realm, from the arts to the sciences, incredible advances were made. Blessing was evidenced round about in abundance.

But in recent years our culture has witnessed a coup **d'état**, a bloodless revolution. Christianity has been overthrown and replaced by a totally **contrary** world and life view called humanism. This is the primary cause of our cultural decline. The principle faith of our people has slowly eroded from Biblical productivity to humanistic irresponsibility.

Humanism is, according to Dr. Francis A. **Schaeffer**, "The placing of man at the center of **all** things and making him the measure of all things." Or, as Aleksandr **Solzhenitsyn** has said, it is "the proclaimed and practiced autonomy of man from any higher force above him." According to humanism, there is no notion of absolute right and **wrong**. There are no clear-cut **standards**. Standards flex with the whims of fashion. **According** to humanism, men's passions are not to be restrained: Passions are to be unshackled, floating free in the ever-shifting, ever-changing, currents of the day. Humanism tosses to the wind that which made the blessings of Western culture possible: obedience to the unchanging, inerrant Law of God.

Taken **together**, the lessons of history and the lessons of Scripture are clear enough. If we sow seeds of obedience to God's Law, we will reap a bounteous harvest of blessing. If **we sow** seeds of rebellion against God's Law, we will reap a destitute harvest of cursing (**Galatians** 6:7). If we sow Christian principles, our culture will reap productivity, stability, and justice. If we sow humanistic principles, our culture will reap perversity, unrest, and tyranny.

Statisticians may pour over their flow charts from now until the end of time, but they will never discover another, viable explanation for the rise and fall of cultures. None other exists.

So, back to our original question: How is it that we have come

so close to the **brink** of destruction? Very simply, we have yielded to a humanistic world and life-view, a view that contravenes the Word of God at every turn.

When we violate God's Law of gravity, we suffer very clear and obvious consequences. Is it any wonder that when, we violate God's Laws of economics, or civics, or psychology, or **philosophy**, or science, or morality, we should similarly suffer **clear** and **ob-**vious consequences? **Not** hardly.

Humanism is wreaking havoc in catastrophic proportions simply because it is out of step with reality. Only God's Laws fit what is there. Thus, only God's Laws can lay foundations for **peace**, prosperity and genuine social security.

Humanism, and **the** culture which it spawns, are but dust in **the** wind.

The Failure **of** the Church

So. Christianity has been overthrown as the foundational authority in Western culture. No **longer**, is the Bible the final court of appeal in matters of law, economics, or **ethics**. Thus, the very nature of Western culture is undergoing a dramatic metamorphosis. It is undergoing a comprehensive philosophical and moral reversal. This radical reversal is not occurring because our **civili-****zation** has been **overrun** by bands of barbarians from the hinterlands. It is, not occurring because the Communists have been successful in **infiltrating** and sabotaging our governmental apparatus. It is not occurring because **Madison Avenue** has corrupted our youth. It is occurring because **of** the ineptness of **the** church. . It is occurring because we Christians have **failed**.

Despite multi-million dollar budgets, despite a gargantuan constituency, despite a **millennium-long** legacy, the church has failed. Why? Why, on the very doorstep of the largest, most powerful, richest, best organized, and **most** vocal evangelical **Chris-**tian movement ever, is this immoral, unstable, and humanistic mentality able to control the cultural apparatus? By all rights, evil . ought to be converted and contained by 'the church, **but the** very opposite is true. Why? Why have Christians been so ineffective and unproductive in the world?

Why? Because Christians have abandoned the world. We “ have abandoned our God-ordained commission to be salt and light (Matthew 5:13-16). We have abandoned our dominion mandate (Genesis 1:28). Instead, we have emphasized a view of spirituality that is based on the pagan teachings of Plato. Accordingly, a sharp division is generally made between the ‘spiritual’ and the ‘material.’ Since the ‘spiritual’ realm is considered superior to the ‘material,’ **all** things physical, **all** things temporal, and all things earthly are spurned. Art, music, and ideas are ignored except for their value as propaganda. Activities that do not significantly contribute to piety are neglected. The Christian intellect is held **sus-**pect, if not altogether shunned. And pleasures of the flesh, regardless of how innocent or sacred, are condemned outright.

According to this popular perspective, ‘all our efforts should be directed toward producing individualistic piety. Bible study, prayer, church attendance, and evangelism compose, the totality of tasks for the Christian. Anything and everything else is a distraction and is worldly. Certainly, with this fortress mentality, we would never condone confronting the prevailing assumptions of the fallen **culture** nor constructing a program of reform for society.

As righteous as all this may sound at first hearing, it is patently unscriptural. Although the Biblical mandate includes as **an** integral aspect of its plan for victory deep devotion, piety, and holiness (Matthew 5:48), it also requires us to think **hard** about the nature of Christian civilization (1 Peter 1:13), to try to develop Biblical alternatives. to humanistic civilization (Matthew 18:15-20), and to prophesy Biblically to the cultural problems of our ‘age (Isaiah 6:8). These ‘things, too, are true piety.

According to the Bible, “The earth is the Lord’s, **and all** its fullness” (Psalm 24:1). But, in the minds of many believers, only the spirit is the Lord’s. All else is tainted beyond reclamation by the stench of sin. According to the Bible, Jesus is Lord over the totality of life (**Colossians** 1:15-17; **Hebrews** 1:2-3). But, in the minds of many believers, Jesus is Lord, but only over a “religious cubbyhole” **in** “life. According to the Bible, Christians are to confront, transform, and lead human culture (Matthew 5:13-16). But in **the**

minds of many believers, Christians are to withdraw from human culture in order to focus **on** ‘religious’ exercises.

By clinging to **a** defective, incomplete view of Christ’s Lordship, we scuttle ourselves into a cultural backwater. By clinging to a defective, incomplete view of spirituality, we imprison ourselves within an evangelical ghetto. By clinging to a defective, incom-**plete** view **of spirituality**, we minimize **all** impact, we sequester all significance, and we stifle **all** hope.

Rather than **nurturing** the flock of God and the world at large with the rich truths of practical Biblical instruction, we **indulge** in theological junk food. **Rather** than building all of life upon the unwavering foundation-of God’s Word, we humor ourselves with intellectual white elephants.

Is **it-any** wonder **that** the sober secularists have captured the attentions of our leaders? Is it any wonder that the humanists have moved with ease into the vacuum? Is it any wonder that Christianity has been overthrown as the foundational authority in Western culture? The Bible has answers to the great and perplexing problems **of** our perilous times, **but** because the church has failed, **those** answers have gone largely unheard.

The, collapse of Western **culture, thus**, has two ‘culprits.’ First, there is inhuman humanism; but there also is irrelevant, ‘passive Christianity.

Standing at **the** Crossroads

Our culture, thus, stands at the crossroads. On the brink of crisis due to the twin evils of an aggressive, godless humanism and a passive, irrelevant church, we now must make a choice. We **can** either do nothing while our loved ones **march glibly** down the road to ruin, or we can” reclaim all areas of life and culture to the sanity of Scriptural moorings. The choice is ours.

. . . Choose for yourselves this day whom you will serve . . .
But as for me **and** my house, we will serve the Lord (Joshua 24:15).

Okay. So, *what* do we do? What *should* the church do? Now

that we're at the crossroads, which-way **should** we go?

First, we must **stop** our incessant retreat. We must advance. We must begin to rebuild the ruins and repair the breach and **re-store** the foundation (Isaiah 58:12). And, as ought to be quite evident by now, the way *that* is done is to care for the poor. Pseudo-piety 'is reversed and humanism is put on the defensive when we give ourselves to the hungry and satisfy the desire of the afflicted (Isaiah 58:10-11). All of culture must be reclaimed for Christ: politics, art, music, science, medicine, law, architecture, economics, **agriculture**, and literature, but the place to *begin* is charity.

Second, we must stop *talking* about it, *theorizing* about it, and *formulating* our strategies 'for it, and just *do* it. We' must become Good Samaritans, not just ideologically or theologically, but *practically*. (My book, *Bringing in the Sheaves: Transforming Poverty 'into Productivity*, from American Vision Press, provides a step-by-step plan for establishing a poverty ministry in the church. Its forthcoming sequel, *To the Work: Action Alternatives for Biblical Charity*, provides innumerable ideas, tried and true, for tackling specific **problems** like hunger, homelessness, underemployment, crisis pregnancies, the mentally ill, farmers, abandoned women and children, and the dependent elderly.) We must go to work.

Returning to the Word

But **where** do we start? **How** do we actually set our hand to the **task**?

The whole reason the church abandoned the world in the first place was that Platonic theologies so corrupted our vision that we actually abandoned the Word. Thus, we must return to the Word. Doing-our job depends, on this.

The Bible is the **Word** revealed. Though we have professed belief in the Bible, we perpetuate a drought of Scriptural instruction within the local church and the **spectacularization** of half-truths. It is amazing to witness the fascinations many Christians 'entertain today. Demonology, **angelology**, and **eschatology** have each been bloated beyond recognition by unverifiable horror stories of hitch-hiking angels, ' trilateral conspiracies,

“beast-coded? social security checks, and backward masking in rock music. To be certain, we are living in a day **of** unmitigated evil. Our culture is **velvet-lined** with corruption. There is little doubt in **the minds of God’s people** that we are seeing accelerated” **occultic** activity, abandonment of traditional values, and domination by systematic injustice. But our **imbalance**, imprecision, and inconsistency have deterred **us** from our **tasks**. We are called to be Christ’s **ambassadors**, not investigative reporters. We are **ministers** of a new covenant, not marshals for a witch hunt. Until our preaching **and teaching reflect** a Biblical agenda, we will continue **to** be harassed by the tangential ravings of the **fantastic**. Rumor **will** prevail. **Surely** we can call sin “**sin,**” stand by our convictions, and sound **the** prophetic alarms without indulging in nonsense (Proverbs **6:16-19; Amos 8:11-12**).

We have *abandoned the Word*. This **hermeneutical** irresponsibility in turn encourages moral irresponsibility.

Christ is the *Word* incarnate. And our moral **laxity**, our ethical irresponsibility, has led us to abandon Him. We have left our first love (Revelation **2:4**). Our addiction to mediocre scholarship and preaching has proven itself a **spawning ground** for a “**devil-made-me-do-it**” generation. And our escapist worship has **created an** escapist faith. As long as we can lay off on someone or something else the responsibility for sin, we feel we can escape its consequences. This **wholesale** denial of basic Biblical principles **has short-circuited** the very effectiveness and productivity of our ministries, but worse, it has set us at enmity with our Lord, the Captain of Life, **Christ** Himself.

Scripture lays upon believers the awesome task of introducing help, hope, and healing in a hurting land. When a culture fails morally, Scripture looks to the impotent witness of the church as first’ cause (2 Chronicles **7:14**). **Judgement** befalls a people **when** the saints refuse to humble themselves. Sickness in a society is not measured by conspiracies, manifestations, or political orientations, but by the dearth of costly discipleship among God’s own (Galatians **6:7; James 1:14-15**).

We have abandoned the Word. **Hermeneutical** irresponsibility

and moral irresponsibility lead inevitably to ecclesiastical irresponsibility.

Communion is the *Word* made manifest. But our spiritually polluted thinking has reduced Sacramental worship to a mere ceremonial nicety. We dispatch our task of making present among the people an awareness of the reality of the New Covenant (1 Corinthians 11: 25), of the Body of Christ (1 Corinthians 11:24), and of the divine sacrifice on Calvary (1 Corinthians 11:26). Instead, we embrace a breezy, empty-headed extemporaneity, short on content, but long on “feel-good” experience. ‘In the garden, Eve committed adultery with the serpent by eating his *food*, thus *wedding* herself to the corruption of **the** world (2 Corinthians, 11:2-3; Genesis 3:1-13). Communion is the marriage *supper* of **the** Lamb wherein our unity with Christ is “remembered” and **made** manifest. ‘To abandon it is to go the way of Eve, the way of Cain, to rush headlong into the error of **Balaam**, and ultimately to perish **in** the rebellion of Korah (Jude 11).

Hermeneutical irresponsibility. Moral irresponsibility. **Ecclesiastical** irresponsibility.

All because we *have-abandoned* the Word: the Word **revealed**, die Word incarnate, and the Word made manifest in **the** Lord’s Supper.

If there is to be any agenda for the church in the days **ahead**, this is it: Return to the Word.

What has this to do with poverty relief?

Everything. Absolutely everything.

Any kind of group or organization can **operate** a soup kitchen, open a shelter, give away cheese and butter, redistribute wealth, and provide a job service. But only the **church** can provide the things that the poor need the most. Only the church—as she holds steadfastly to the Word revealed, the Word incarnate, **and the** Word made manifest – can **transform** poverty **into** productivity.

This is due to several great truths.

First, the church *renews the minds* of the poor through the teaching of the Word. Right doctrine shatters ‘old habits, explodes bad thoughts, and establishes *real* hope. The Gospel changes people.

Thus, our charity **agenda** must not simply be one more **conservative**, deregulated, family-centered, work-oriented, and **decentralized** program. **It** must be forthrightly evangelistic. The **poor need** good news. They need *the* Good News.

Second, **the** church readjusts the poor to both God's **society** and the world in worship, through the Lord's Supper. To take the Lord's Supper is not to indulge in an abstract theological ritual. It is a tangible offering *to God*, a consecration *before God*, a communion *with God*, and a transformation *in God*. It is thus a conscious **drive at** the heart of reality. In this simple yet profound act of worship, the meaning and value of **all** life is revealed and fulfilled. The poor, like all men, need a double dose of reality. And only **the** church can serve up **that** reality as she gathers around the , sacramental altar.

Third, **the** church reforms the lifestyles of the poor. The **discipling** and disciplining process of life in the local church **repat-**terns' a man's ways according to the ways of the Lord.

As we bring up our children "in the nurture and admonition of the Lord" (**Ephesians 6:4 KJV**), there are several measures that we must diligently undertake to ensure that "when they are old, they will not depart" from "**the** way they should go" (**Proverbs 22:6**). So, for instance, we redirect **their** childish foolishness (**Proverbs 22:15**) by instilling in them Godly habits. Through **ritual** and **repetition** we **train** them to walk the "paths of justice" (**Proverbs 2:8**) and to avoid the "ways of darkness" (**Proverbs 2:13**). Through routines of righteousness we **establish** them in "every good path" (**Proverbs 2:9**) so that they may ever afterward "**trust** in the Lord with all (their) heart, And lean not on (their) own understanding, In all (their) ways acknowledging **Him,**" so that then "He shall direct (their) paths" (**Proverbs 3:5-6**).

But not only do we seek to build in the lives of our children Godly habits, we are concerned to immerse them in the life of the community of faith. Knowing that "**bad** company corrupts good **character**" (**1 Corinthians 15:33 NIV**), we strive to surround our children with good influences, with **righteous** role models, with positive reinforcement, and with joyous fellowship.

And finally, as we raise our children we provide constructive chastisement. When they violate God's standards, we discipline them (Proverbs 13:24). This "boundary of fear" restrains them from **ill**, and protects them from evil (Proverbs **1:8-9**; Proverbs **23:13-14**).

What does this have to do with a church's ministry to the poor? Quite a bit, as it turns out. You see, like our children, the poor desperately need lifestyle adjustments that only life in the Body can effect. The *ritual* of worship and consistent discipleship trains them **in** humility, joy, perseverance-, diligence, **and** responsibility, and gives them a "new song." It instills in them Godly habits. It *repatterns* them according to the ways of God.

Through' constant fellowship within the community of faith, the poor have these new habits reinforced. Their expectations and desires are slowly brought into conformity with the expectations and desires of the righteous. They **are reformed**.

And finally, the "boundary of fear" restrains **the** poor from old patterns of **sloth** and self-destruction. Through work requirements,, moral expectations, and community obligations, all enforced by church discipline (Matthew **18:15-20**; 1 Corinthians **5:1-13**), they are encouraged to grow in grace and maturity. They learn that their attitudes, actions, and inactions have very real consequences (**Galatians 6:7**). They who are "weary and heavy laden" are *liberated* from the slave market shackles of the world and are yoked with the "gentle" and 'easy" discipline of Christ instead (Matthew **11:28-30**).

An Agenda for the '90s .

For the church, the agenda is plain and simple: Return to the Word that renews the minds of the poor; return to the Word that readjusts them to God's society and the world; return to the Word that reforms their lifestyles.

According to the Word, the church is the protector of covenant families. Thus, after Gospel and Sacrament, the first item on the church's agenda is to get those families in order. The deacons should **systematically** visit every' family in the church, teaching

them **providence**, thrift, and **planning**. They should **teach** the discipline of budgeting, the routine of Godly **stewardship**, and the necessity to provide adequately for dependents. So, for instance, the deacons **should** see to it that every wife is **covered** by a low-cost term insurance policy on her husband's life. They should also make sure that each family has a good, reliable health **plan**. To place the church's membership under the fearful threat of **having** the moral obligation to support a destitute family is wrong when low-cost insurance is available to offset the risk. If necessary, the deacons can use church funds to pay **the** premiums until the family's breadwinner can get control over his finances.

Second, the church "should setup a charity outreach **program** " with food pantries, sheltering options, emergency counseling, referral resources, clothes closets, etc. (Again, for a **comprehensive** plan of implementation, see my books, *Bringing in the Sheaves* and *To the Work*, both **from American Vision Press**.)

Third, successful churches should help **poor** churches setup similar indigenous care programs." They can also do such things as provide scholarship money for poor **families'** children to attend Christian schools. Or **better** yet, churches with **schools** can teach pastors in poor churches how to set up and run a local Christian school. This is vital **if** Christians **are** ever to break the domination of the humanist state.

Deacons in churches that have developed successful family **financial** budgeting programs can work with deacons in poorer churches to set up similar programs. (A program such as the MECA Corporation's *Managing Your Money* computerized budgeting program — \$200 **retail**; \$100 with discount — should be in every church office. **Each** family should do monthly-or at least quarterly — budgeting by **using** this program. An IBM portable computer **is** cheap now; a "clone" of an IBM PC is even **cheaper**: under \$1000 and dropping 'fast. Churches should own one.)

Fourth, **churches** can systematically monitor the performance of local private charities. They **can** then recommend that families **support** the well-run ones. The charity that submits to **careful** scrutiny and passes the test can be put **on a** list of recommended

charities. Members would rely on the deacons to make such investigations. It is a simple matter of good stewardship.

Fifth, churches can mobilize “and utilize existing resources within the community networking businesses, service groups, and civic coalitions. For example, the deacons can set up and maintain an employment placement service that would benefit both local businesses and the poor. Or, they can approach landlords with low occupancy rates in their apartment ‘complexes, **proposing** to place poor families in those units in exchange for mowing the lawns, cleaning the grounds, and light maintenance work.

God’s authority must be upheld and the authority of His Word must be established. The church serves as a judge. He who pays the piper calls the tune. He who feeds the piper orders the meal. If someone is **under** the table of the Lord begging for crumbs, he had better understand just why he is under the table, and just how risky it is to receive scraps from God’s table. Paul warned **all** such dependent sinners about **the** risk of remaining under the table and refusing God’s saving grace:

Beloved, do not, avenge yourselves, but rather give place to ‘wrath; for it is written, “Vengeance is Mine, I will repay,” says the Lord. “Therefore if your enemy hungers, feed him; If he thirsts, give-him a drink; For’in so doing you will heap coals of **fire** on his head” (Remans 12:19-20).

Conclusion

The church is **to** do the work of charity, but **the** modern church has not yet shown itself *capable* of doing it. Paralyzed by theologies of escapism, pessimism, and **experientialism**, the church lost its position of influence **in** society and saw humanism take **its** place. And charity was thus yielded over to bureaucracies and governments.

In order for the **church to** regain its place, in order for it **to actually do the** work of charity, providing answers, alternatives, and models, it must *return* to the *Word*. She must return to the Word **revealed**, the Word incarnate, and the Word made manifest.

The church also needs to understand the basic principle of

dominion through service. It also needs to recover's **full** understanding of just what **the** Bible demands- **from** families, civil governments, and churches. **It** must restore the proper use and teaching of the **Sacraments**, and it must train families to exercise charity. It must stand as the protector of the **families** of church members. It must not assume more responsibility than is proper, and therefore it must limit its charitable giving, for it" is not to accumulate unwarranted power. Power flows to those who exercise **responsibility**, as the creators and defenders of the messianic state understand so well.

Above all, the church must use its teaching ministry to challenge the moral and economic foundations of modern humanism, which in turn undergirds socialism. The messianic state must be challenged from **the** moral high ground before it attempts to weaken the church by **replacing** "the church's welfare functions and the welfare functions of **families**."

Summary

Due to passive and inadequate theologies within the church, Western civilization **is** facing a series of grave crises **that** threaten to destroy everything that we hold near and dear.

The enemies of the Gospel have been able to gain control of our culture simply because the church has abandoned the Word — the Word **revealed**, the "Word incarnate, and the Word made manifest.

The central agenda of the church then for the days ahead is simple: Return to the Word.

When the church adheres to the Word she can help the poor in ways no other institution — public or private — possibly can: she renews men's minds, she readjusts them to reality, **and** she reforms their lifestyles.

Thus, the church must' 'reassert her proper- place in society: mobilizing deacons, instructing families, discipline sinners, encouraging other churches, establishing shelters, etc.

The transformation of our society depends on the faithfulness of the church in this critical matter.

WHAT FAMILIES SHOULD DO

Jesus said, “If you love Me, keep my commandments” (John 14:15). And again, “He who has My commandments and keeps **them**, it is he who loves Me. And he who **loves** Me will be loved by My **Father**, and I will love him and manifest Myself to him” (John 14:21).

Similarly, the Apostle John wrote,

Now by this we know that we know Him, if we keep His **commandments**. He who says, “I know Him,” and does not keep His commandments, is a liar, and the truth is not in him. But whoever **keeps** His word, truly the love of God is perfected in him. By this we know that we are in Him. He who says he abides in Him ought himself also to walk just as He walked. Beloved, I write no new commandment to you, but an old **commandment** which you have had from the beginning. The old **commandment** is the word which you heard from the beginning (1 John 2:3-7).

The unmistakable mark of a faithful people is obedience. Believers are proved as “doers of the word, and not hearers **only**, deceiving yourselves” (James 1:22). They keep the commands of God’s **Word**.

Thus James could ask,

What does it profit, my brethren, if someone says he has faith, but does not have works? Can faith save him? If a brother or sister is naked and destitute of daily food, and one of you says to them, “Depart in peace, be warmed and be **filled**,” but you do not give them the things which are needed for the body, what does it profit? Thus **also** faith by itself, if it does not have works, is dead. But

‘someone will say, ‘You have faith, and I have works. Show me your faith **without** your works, and I will show you my faith by my works” (**James 2:14-18**).

Regardless of what the ‘government does, regardless even of what the church does, families and individuals have a responsibility to obey God, **Every** believer has an irrevocable **duty-to** demonstrate the authenticity of their **faith**. Each of us is called to keep **Christ’s** commandment to show compassion and care for the hurting and for the needy. And each of us is called to lead our family to such service.

There is simply no **getting** around it. We can make excuses all day long, but they won’t change the fact that *we* are obligated by our faith in the Lord Jesus to do **right**. Our federal bureaucracy may be **mired** in unjust and unfair patterns of welfare oppression, our deacons may utterly **ignore** their calling in favor of **administrative** trivialities, and our churches maybe sidetracked by theological side issues or evangelical sideshows, but *we* still have no “outs.” We have a job to do. We *must* **keep** His commandments.”

But our obedience must not simply be a dry, lifeless compliance to the **letter of** the Law. Our righteousness must surpass that of the scribes **and** the Pharisees (Matthew 5:20). Our righteousness must be marked by love. Our obedience is to be a joyous exercise of **lovingkindness** (Psalm 109:16).

Just as our obedience **is** evidence that our love for *God* is authentic, so our love for *man*’ is evidence that our *obedience* is authentic.

Once again, the Apostle of obedience **and love**, John, asserts.

We know that we have passed from death to life, because we love the brethren. He who does not love **his** brother abides in death (1 John 3:14).

And again he says,

‘By this we know love, because He laid down His life for us. And we **also** ought to lay **down** our lives for the brethren. But **who**ever has this world’s goods, and sees his brother in need, and shuts up his heart from him, how does the love of God abide in **him**? My

little children, let us not love in word or in tongue, but in deed and in truth, And by this we know that we are of the truth, and shall assure our heart before Him (1 John 3:16-19).

- When asked by the scribes, “What commandment is the foremost of all?” Jesus answered,

The first of all the commandments is, “Hear, O Israel, the Lord our God, the Lord is one. And you shall love the Lord your God with all your heart, with all your soul, with all your mind, and with all your strength.” This is the first commandment. And the second, like it, is this, “You shall love your neighbor as yourself.” There is no other commandment greater than these (Mark 12:29-31).

Our love of God is shown by obedience. Our obedience is shown by love of man. It is an endless cycle. It is a marvelous cycle that makes faith in Christ not just right, and not just true, but abundantly satisfying as well (John 10:7-18).

The Love Connection

“Love” is an overused, much abused word in our everyday vocabularies. When we say that we “love” Mom, hot dogs, apple pie, and ‘baseball, we reduce the word’s impact terribly. When “love” can mean one thing to a Hollywood starlet, another to a Madison Avenue ad man, another to a gay activist on Castro Street in San Francisco, another to an Arab terrorist for Qaddafi or Khomeini’s Jihad, and still another to the man on the street in Tulsa, Oklahoma, “love” ceases to mean much at all. In fact, a word that can mean almost anything. to anybody will soon come to mean almost nothing to everybody. But, even though our culture may-be a bit muddy in its understanding of “love,” the Bible is absolutely clear.

Love suffers long and is kind; love does not envy; love does not parade itself, is not puffed up; does not behave rudely, does not seek its own, is not provoked; thinks no evil; does not rejoice in iniquity, but rejoices in the truth; bears all things, believes all things, hopes all things, endures all things. Love never fails. But whether there are prophecies, they will fade; whether there are tongues, they

will cease; whether there is knowledge, it **will** vanish away (1 Corinthians 13:4-8).

Love involves ‘compassion, kindness, humility, gentleness, and patience’ (Colossians 3:12-14). It involves singleness of mind (Philippians 2:2). It involves purity of heart, a good conscience, and “a faith unfeigned” (1 Timothy 1:5). It involves diligence (2 Corinthians 8:7), knowledge (Philippians 1:9), service (Galatians 5:13), righteousness (2 Timothy 2:22), sound judgement (Philippians 1:9), and courtesy (1 Peter 3:8). Love is the royal Law (James 2:8). It is the capstone of Godly character (1 Corinthians 13:13). It is the message that we have heard from the beginning (1 John 3:11).

Interestingly, the word that the King James translators chose to use **in** each of these passages was ‘charity.’ That word catches a special dynamic of meaning that “**love**” has lost in our day of muddy definitions. “Charity” accurately **communicates the fact** that love is not simply a feeling. Love is something you **do**. Love is an **action**. Love is a **commitment**, and an **obligation**, and a **responsibility**. Love is **charity**.

Thus, we are to **pro**w “the sincerity of love” (2 Corinthians 8:8), and we are to do it by following “after **charity**” (1 Corinthians 14:1), by having “fervent charity” among ourselves (1 Peter 4:8), and by being **an** example to others “in **charity**” (1 Timothy 4:12). For “charity shall cover the multitude of sins” (1 Peter 4:8).

Though I speak with the tongues of men and of angels, and have not charity, I am become as sounding brass, or a tinkling cymbal. And though I have the gift of prophecy, and understand all mysteries, and all knowledge; and though I have **all** faith, so that I could remove mountains, and have not charity, I am **nothing**. And though I **bestow all** goods to feed the poor, and though I give my body to be burned, and have not charity, it **profiteth** me nothing (1 Corinthians 13:1-3 KJV).

There is simply no getting around it.

It is a Christian **necessity** to do the work of charity, to love not just “in word or in tongue, but in deed and in truth” (1 John 3:18).

Even if no one else cares. Even if no one else helps. Even if no one else tries. We *must*. Our families *must*.

Encouraging Others

But, wait . . .

Just because *right now* you're the only one you know concerned about the plight of the poor doesn't mean that the situation will *always be* that lonely!

As Christians we are to "consider one another in order to stir up love and good works" (Hebrews 10:24).

We are to *encourage those we know* to live lives of love, charity, and compassion (Hebrews 10:25). We should encourage each other by example (1 Timothy 4:12), by intercession (Philippians 1:9), by correspondence (Philippians 2:2), by exhortation (Galatians 5:13), and by entreaty (2 John 5).

We can encourage our pastor, elders, and deacons to study the Scriptures **and** to deal with the crisis at hand. We can relieve their burdens, protect their time, and enhance their ministry so that they can do what God has *called* them to do. We can give them books, and tapes, and **pamphlets**. We can share with them our ideas, offer them our service, and give them our friendship. We can,, in short, *encourage* them.

Similarly, we can *encourage* our magistrates. We can write our congressmen, visit our city councilmen, and meet with local bureaucratic administrators. We can become information services for them. We can offer them our resources. We can encourage them.

Charity ultimately can't work, as conceived in Scripture, if only a few isolated individuals, families, and churches participate. But . . . charity *can*' get *started* with only "a few isolated **individuals**, families, and churches. (Again, step-by-step procedures, examples, and ideas on how to get started maybe found in my book, *Bringing in the Sheaves: Transforming Poverty into Productivity*, from American Vision Press, and its forthcoming sequel, *To the Work: Action Alternatives for Biblical Charity*).

Those few isolated individuals, families, and churches *can* make a difference. A *big* difference.

But only **if they** are willing to **show their unwavering allegi-**
 ante to Christ by “keeping **His commandments.**” No matter what.

A **Commitment** for **the** '90s “

So then, in practical terms, what does this really mean? .

What can we *do*?

What can our families do?

First, each of our families can seek ways to help the poor in **an**
 immediate, tangible way. Now. We can open our homes to shelter
 battered children **or women** in the midst of a crisis pregnancy. We
 can start a food pantry at the church. On our own. Of our own ini-
 tiative. We can “adopt” another family in the church or local com-
 munity that is struggling. We can volunteer part time to work
 with a Biblical charity ministry in **our** town, or to work in a crisis
 pregnancy **center**, or in a home for abused wives. We can utilize
 alms giving and our **tithe** to extend the reach of our family and the
 church to the hurting and the dispossessed. We can plant a gar-
 den, donating the harvest to a foodbank. We can assemble holiday
 food baskets, teach a Sunday School class on charity, organize a
 youth missions project, or disciple a young husband or wife on
proper stewardship. We can do *something*.

Second, each of our families can utilize its gifts and its influ-
 ence within the church to encourage a higher profile for **Godly**
 deeds of charity. We can encourage, support, and inform our
 leaders. We can facilitate *their* outreaches. We can open doors of
 compassion by opening eyes of awareness. We can do *something*.

Third, each of our families can work to **coordinate community-**
 wide participation in charity projects. We can work with other
 pastors, deacons, church benevolence groups, and, even other
 families to build a network of care in our neighborhoods. We can
 initiate city-wide programs. We can stimulate media interest. We
 can hold informative meetings, press conferences, and **seminars**.
 We can invite guest lecturers. We can establish task forces and
 community coalitions. We can do *something*.

Fourth, each of our **families** can knit providence, thrift, and
 compassion into the fabric of our life **together** so that we will never

fall into the trap of poverty ourselves. We can teach our children financial and resource management through the use of basic Biblical strategies like budgeting (**Luke 14, 16**), saving (Proverbs 6), setting goals (Proverbs 1), investment (Matthew 21), the eradication of debt (Remans 13:8), and of course the tithe (Malachi 3:8-12). We can **teach them** proper standards of physical health through regular hygiene (Leviticus 15; Numbers 19; Deuteronomy 23), exercise (1 Corinthians **6:18-21**; 3 John 2), nutrition (Leviticus **7:22**; Deuteronomy **32:14-15**), and rest (Exodus **20:8-10**; Psalm 23; Hebrews 4; Mark **6:31-32**). We can nurture in them literacy and education, building Godly character through discipline and learning (Deuteronomy **4:9, 6:6-8**; 2 Timothy **4:13**; Proverbs 3:1-12). We can help them develop future or alternate careers through family businesses, entrepreneurial projects, and learning a secondary trade. We can provide social, emotional, and spiritual strength by spending quality time with them (1 Samuel 7-8; Leviticus 23:40-43), by utilizing our leisure hours wisely (**Ecclesiastes 11:9-10**; Proverbs **8:30-31**), and by exercising strict discipline (1 Kings **1:6**; Proverbs **22:6, 22:25**). We can even begin a program of home production and storage, providing for our family contingency plans in times of emergencies (Proverbs **29:18**; Luke **12:48, 16:11**; Genesis 41). We can do *something*.

Certainly there is no lack of places to start.

So what is holding us back? Why do we hesitate?

A new **commandment** I give to you, that you love one another; as I have loved you, that you also love one another (John **13:34**).

Therefore, **pursue** charity (1 Corinthians **14:1**), . . . to prove the sincerity of your love (2 Corinthians **8:7**).

Conclusion

Love is something you do, not just something you feel. **This** truth is underlined in Scripture time after time. And this love, this active love, this charitable love, then becomes evidence that we really do love Christ. We obey His commandments, but we obey in the context of love.

This is the bottom line.

So, it doesn't really matter what the **government** does or doesn't **do**; we' have a responsibility to do our job. To love. To obey.

That means, that we need to go to work. We need to mobilize our families. We need to take the Biblical *principles* for welfare **and** hammer them into practical strategies for charity.

But, we don't have to **do** that **all** alone. We **can** encourage. We can coordinate. We can lay the foundations. We can **do something**.

We can. ,

And we **must**.

After all, there is starving in the shadow **of** plenty.

Summary

Regardless of what the government does, regardless of what the **church** does, families and individuals have a responsibility to obey God.

Since charity is primarily a function of the Christian family working in concert with other Christian families, it is essential that each of those Christian families begin to implement the love of God practically, tangibly, and forthrightly.

Charity begins at home: educating children, caring for the helpless, and shoring up the weak. It begins as we encourage others, coordinate resources, network with existing programs, and spearhead **new** efforts.

Something *can* be done. So, something *must* be done. After all, there is starving in the shadow of plenty.

FOR FURTHER READING

David Chilton, *Productive Christian-sin an Age of Guilt-Manipulators*, (Tyler, Texas: Institute for Christian Economics, 1981; 3rd edition, 1985).

Gary DeMar, *God and Government: A Biblical and Historical Study*, (Atlanta, Georgia: American Vision Press, 1982).

_____. *God and Government: Issues in Biblical Perspective*, (Atlanta, Georgia: American Vision Press, 1984).

_____. *God and Government: The Restoration of the Republic*, (Atlanta, Georgia: American Vision Press, 1986).

George Gilder, *Wealth and Poverty*, (New York, New York: Basic Books, 1981).

George Grant, *Bringing in the Sheaves: Transforming Poverty into Productivity*, (Atlanta, Georgia: American Vision Press, 1985).

_____. *The Dispossessed: Hopelessness in America*, (Fort Worth, Texas: Dominion Press, 1986).

_____. *To the Work: Action Alternatives for Biblical Charity*, (Atlanta, Georgia: American Vision Press, 1987).

James B. Jordan, *The Sociology of the Church*, (Tyler, Texas: Geneva Ministries, 1986).

Lawrence Mead, *Beyond Entitlement: The Social Obligations of Citizenship*, (New York, New York: The Free Press, 1986).

Charles Murray, *Losing Ground: American Social Policy 1950-1980*, (New York, New York: Basic Books, 1984).

Gary North, *The Sinai Strategy: Economics and the Ten Commandments*, (Tyler, Texas: Institute for Christian Economics, 1986).

- _____ *Unholy Spirits: Occultism and New Age Humanism*, (Fort Worth: Dominion Press, 1986).
- _____ *An Introduction to Christian Economics*, (Nutley, New Jersey: Craig Press, 1973).
- R. J. Rushdoony, *The Institutes of Biblical Law*, (Phillipsburg, New Jersey: Presbyterian and Reformed Publishing Company, 1973).
- Herbert Schlossberg, *Idols for Destruction*, (Nashville, Tennessee: Thomas Nelson Publishers, 1983).
- Ray Sutton, *That You May prosper: Dominion by Covenant*. (Fort Worth, Texas, Dominion Press, 1986).
- Thomas Sowell, *Civil Rights: Rhetoric or Reality?*, (New York, New York: William Morrow and Company, 1983).
- Walter Williams, *The State Against Blacks*, (New York, New York: McGraw Hill Book Company, 1982).

SCRIPTURE INDEX

OLD TESTAMENT

Genesis

1:28	34, 141
2:16	33
2:17	12
3:1-13	145
3:7-8	9
3:12	10
3:13	10.
3:14-19	9
3:15	9
3:16	9
3:17	9
3:18-19	9
3:21	9, 10
3:23-24	9
4:3-8	103
12:1-4	114
13:2	50
15:13	91
15:18-21	31
18:11-14	114
19:1-26	4 2
25:27-34	94
27:27-29	114
30:31-43	50
45:19-20	31
48:1-20	114
50:24-26	114

Exodus

3:7-10	32
3:8	63

Exodus

12:7-13	90
12:19	92
12:21-36	90
12:48-49	91
14:22-29	114
15:26	104
16:4	33
16:12	33
18:1	68
18:9	68
18:10-11	68
18:14-16	67
18:17-23	68
18:21	69
18:24-26	68-69
19:6	43, 69
20:8-10	157
20:10	92
22:21-24	33, 71
22:21	91
22:22-24	71
22:25-27	74
23:9	33
23:9	61, 91
23:22-27	1 0 4
25:30	62
31:2-5	50
31:6	5
34:11-12	42
35:1-35	71

0

Exodus**35:13** 62**Leviticus**

7:22 157
16:29 92
17:10-13 92
19:9-10 28, 58, 60, 61, 91
19:15 73
19:32-34 33
19:33-34 91
20:2 92
23:22 61, 91
23:40-43 157
24:8 62
24:16 92
24:22 91
25:35-37 74
25:35-55 28
26:3-13 104
26:6 22
26:14-35 79

Numbers

3:1-4:49 72
4:7 62
13:23-27 33
13:33 115
16:1-35 94, 103
18:24 75
19:11-16 28
22:2-40 103
35:15 91

Deuterono

1:17 73
2:25 90
4:2 103
4:9 157
6:6-8 157
6:20-25 73
7:1-6 91

Deuteronomy

7:12-26 104
7:23 90
8:3 105
8:18 52, 69
8:19-20 69
10:19 91
11:25 90
12:32 103
142 43
14:22-29 28
14:29 75
15:1-11 28
15:4-8 6, 117
18:1-22 72
18:9-13 43
21:17 73
22:4 27
23:7 9 1
23:24-25 61
24:17-22 61
24:19-22 28, 91
24:19 61
26:12-13 27, 28
26:18 69
28:1-14 79, 104
28:15 6
28:15-68 79, 139
30:11-14 6
32:14-15 157

Joshua

1:2 113
1:3 113
1:5 113
1:7-8 120
1:8 6, 102, 113
2:1-7 88
2:8-11 89
212-33 89-90
6:22-23 90, 114

Joshua

6:23 91
 6:25 90
 7:1-26 94
 9:1-27 91
 13:1-14:15 7 2
 20:9 91
 24:15 142

Judges

3:31 51
 4:1-5:31 114, 116
 4:17-22 51
 6:1-8:35 114, 116
 6:11 50
 7:13-23 51
 9:50-54 51
 11:1-12:7 114
 13:1-16:31 114
 15:14-16 51
 19:1-30 72
 21:25 6, 104

Ruth

1:1-6 33
 1:2 58
 1:6 58
 1:14 58
 1:16-17 59
 1:16-17 92, 114
 2:2-3 59
 2:2 58, 61
 2:4-7 59
 2:4-16 74
 2:8-18 59-60
 4:1-17 72
 4:18-22 91

1 Samuel

1:1-28 114
 13:5-14 103

1 Samuel

13:19-14:23 51
 13:22 111-112
 14:6 112, 117
 14:8-15 112-113
 16:1-17:58 114
 17:15 50
 17:40-50 3 1
 21:1-6 62
 21:1-6 33, 61

1 Kings

1:6 157
 6:1 70
 15:16-19 4 2
 17:1-16 33
 17:1 79
 17:7-16 85
 19:1-8 33
 22:24-33 4 2

2 Kings

16:5 38
 16:10-14 40
 16:15 4 0
 16:17-18 4 0
 17:24-41 2 6

1 Chronicles

9:32 62

2 Chronicles

7:13-14 100, 144
 18:1 42
 20:35-37 4 3
 28:1 38
 28:5-8 38
 28:8-15 29
 28:16 39
 28:17-19 4 0
 28:20-21 40
 28:21 40
 28:24-25 4 0

Nehemiah

1:1-4	98
1:1	99
1:4-11	98-99
1:11	99
1:20	117
2:1-20	73
2:1	99
2:4	100
2:5-6	100
2:7-8	100
2:9	100
2:12	100, 101
2:17-18	100
2:18	101
2:19-20	100"
2:20	"101
3:1-32	73, 100
4:2	100
4:14-20	1 0 0
4:21-23	100
4:23	100
5:14-19	100
5:19	100
6:1-14	100
6:9	100
6:9	101
6:14	100
7:1-7	100
7:5	101
8:1-8	101
8:9	101
8:13	101
8:14-18	101
8:18	101
9:1-3	101
13:4-9	94
13:4-9	102
13:4-30	100
13:10-14	102
13:15-18	102

Nehem

13:19-22	102
13:23-29	102
13:31	100
Job	
5:11-16	53
29:16	28
42:1-2	100
<i>Psalms</i>	
1:1-2	42
3:3	39
7:1	39
10:17-18 "	53
11:3	36
15:1-5	91
18:1-3	32
19:7-11	6
19:7-11	64, 102
23:5	34
24:1	113, 141
29:11	22
34:8	9-6
34:17-18	1 0 0
41:1-3	2, 22
41:1	5, 22, 27
41:3	22
51:1-22	103
55:22	63
62:2	39
68:5-6	32
68:6	74
72:13	27
82:4	28-29
85:8	22
87:1-7	91
91:1	113
91:3	113
91:4	113
91:5	1 1 3

Psalms

91:7-8 113
91:10 113
94:6 91
103:6 53
104:1-35 78
109:16 28
109:16 152
109:30-31 53
112:7-9 79-80
119:105 6, 102
119:130 1 0 2
119:152 102
119:160 102
127:1-2 101
140:12 53
146:5-9 52-53
146:9 91
147:2 63
147:3 63
147:6 63
147:7-9 63-
147:14 63

Proverbs

1:8-9 147
2:8 146
2:9 146
2:13 146
3:1-12 157
3:5-6 6, 146
4:14-19 41-42
5:10 55
5:22-23 54
6:6-8 84
6:9-10 5 4
6:11 55
6:16-19 144
6:23 102
8:30-31 157

Proverbs

10:3 33, 55
10:4 52, 54, 55,
 104
10:26 54
11:24 55
11:25 22
12:11-12 104
12:13 54
12:24 54
12:27 54
13:4 54
13:18 55
14:21 22
14:23 5 5
15:19 54
19:15 54, 55
20:4 54
21:2-3 105
21:5 105
21:17 55
22:6 73, 84, 146,
 157
22:9 27
22:15 146
22:25 1 5 7
22:29 104
23:13-14 1 4 7
23:21 5 5
24:30-34 54
26:14 54
26:16 54
28:19 104
28:22 55
28:27 22
29:7 27
29:18 36, 157
30:5-6 103

<i>Ecclesiastes</i>		Isaiah	
2:24	52	58:6	55, 109, 124, 126, 128
3:22	52	58:7	55, 109
10:18	54	58:10-12	34, 143
11:9-10	157	58:10	36, 111, 116
Isaiah		58:11	46
1:1-6:13	1 1 4	58:12	36, 46, 110, 116, 143
1:11-15	108	61:8	107
1:16-20	110-111	66:12	107
2:2-4	51-52	<i>Jeremiah</i>	
4:2	79	1:4-10	117
5:13-17	108	5:30-31	43
6:8	141	7:6	91
7:1	38	14:1-22	99
7:3-9	39	22:3	91
9:6	107	<i>Lamentations</i>	
26:12	22, 107	5:1-22	103
27:5	107	5:19	100
30:1-3	108	Ezekiel	
30:1	40	22:7	91
32:6	108	34:13-14	3 3
32:10	108	47:22-23	91
40:8	102	<i>Hosea</i>	
42:6	43, 69	2:21-23	7 9
48:18	107	<i>Amos</i>	
48:22	107, 108	4:6	78
49:6	43	7:14	50
54:10	107	8:11-12	1 4 4
55:3	110	Jonah	
55:8-11	102, 108	2:2-9	
56:9-12	43	<i>Habakkuk</i>	
57:19	107	3:17-19	
57:21	107		
58:1	13, 108		
58:2	"109		
58:3-5	109		
58:3	109		
58:6-7	23, 55		
58:6-12	13, 23, 108,		
	111		

<i>Haggai</i>		<i>Zechariah</i>		
1:5-11	79	7:10	91	..
<i>Zechariah</i>		<i>Malachi</i>		
1:18-21	50, 104	3:5	9	1

NEW TESTAMENT

Matthew		Mark		
1:5	91	2:23	61	
4:15	29	2:27	63	
5:3-16	63, 141	6:31-32	157	
5:9	117	12:29-31	153	
5:13	35	12:31	28	
5:20	152			
5:48	141	Luke		
6:1	47	1:79	2	2
6:25-33	63	3:2-18	109	,
7:6	9 3	4:18-19	14, 53, 109	
7:13-23	14	4:21	14	
11:28-30	14	5:1-11	53	
11:28	63	5:12-16	53	
11:28-30	47.	5:20-26	16	
15:14	43	5:27-32	53	
15:22-28	93	6:20-21	63	
15:27 "	45	7:18-23	53	
16:8	116	7:22-23	54	
18:15-20	141, 147	9:10-17	53	
19:26	114	10:2	49	
22:11-14	96	10:25-28	25	-
22:29	102	10:29	27	
23:13-36	15	10:29-37	26, 74	
23:23-24	64	10:31-32	27	
23:37-38	79	10:37	27	
24:7	78	11:28	7	
25:31-46	110	12:48	157	
25:26	54	12:22-34	53	
28:18-20	15	14:12-25	95	
28:19-20	34, 35, 43, 94, 116	14:15	35	
		14:16-24	35	

<i>Luke</i>		A c t s	
16:11	157	6:4	82
21:10-13	78	8:1-28:31	1,16
21:12	78	8:36-37	93
21:13	79	8:38	93
22:20	44	9:36-41	30
22:25-30	41, 44	10:22	93
		10:31	31
<i>John</i>		10:31	93
6:1-14	33	10:34-35	20
6:31	43	10:34	43
6:33	33	10:36	2 0
6:35	33	10:44-48	93
6:47-51	33	10:44	93
6:60	33	11:25-30	49.
8:48	29	11:27-30	30, 79
10:7-18	153	14:8-19	49
10:35	7	16:14	50
13:34	157	18:2-3	49, 50
13:35-	79	20:28	81
14:15	151	20:34-35	50
14:21	151	22:3	49
14:27	22,		
16:33	78	<i>oma</i>	
		1:16	9 3
A c t s		1:18-23	6
1:8-14	103	3:10-18	22
1:8	116	6:23	12
2:1-47	103	8:28	78
2:17	72	8:31	105
4:13	50	8:37-39	116
4:20	1 1 7	8:37	113
4:32-35	85	10:9	90
4:32-37	74	10:17	9 0 , 1 1 0
4:33-37	30	12:6	72
4:34	81, 83	12:7	83-
4:35	75	12:8	83
4:36	83	12:10-13	84
5:1-11	94	12:13	85
6:1-6	72, 82	12:15	84
6:1	82	12:16	8 4 "
6:3	82	12:19-20	149

Romans

13:8 157
 13:10 28
 14:9 117
 16:1-2 30
 16:3 50
 16:9 50
 16:21 50

1 *Corinthians*

1:26-29 117
 2:1 117
 2:3 117
 2:4 117
 4:6 25, 103, 104, 105
 4:12 50
 5:1-13 147
 6:18-21 157
 7:15 117
 9:14-15 50
 11:18-19 44
 11:21-22 44
 11:23-25 33-34, 44, 145
 11:24 4 3
 11:26-27 44, 145
 11:27 50
 11:28-29 4 4 "
 12:4-7 83
 12:7 72
 12:13 50

12:28 72
 13:1-3 15, 82, 154
 13:4-8 153-154
 13:13 154
 14:1 154, 157
 15:33 42, 146
 16:2 75
 16:17-18 30-31

2 *Corinthians*

1:3-5 32-33
 5:7 112, 118

2 *Corinthians*

5:10 82
 6:14-7:1 43-44
 8:1-5 30, 85
 8:1-7 80
 8:1-9:15 7 5
 8:7 154, 157
 8:8 154 "
 8:9 14
 8:16-17 3 0
 9:1-2 80
 9:6-15 81
 10:3-5 116
 11:2-3 145
 11:17-24 9 1
 11:25 49
 11:26 49
 11:27 49

Galatians

2:9-10 30
 3:28-29 9 1
 5:13 154; 155
 6:2 81,83
 6:7-10 81
 6:7 139, 144, 147
 6:10 78, 81

Ephesians

1:10 "35
 2:8-10 21
 2:12 90
 2:13 90
 2:19 91
 3:15 75
 4:1-3 84
 4:11 72
 4:12-13 8 4
 4:12 72
 4:15-16 7 2
 5:2 84
 5:6 6

Ephesians

6:1-4	73, 146
6:10-18	116
6:21-22	31

Philippians

1:9	154, 155
2:2	154, 155
2:7-10	15
2:25-30	31
2:25	50
4:3	50
4:12	49

Colossians

1:15-17	141
2:8	6
2:13-15	51
3:12-14	154
3:12	28

1 Thessalonians

2:9	50
4:11	50

2 Thessalonians

3:6-15	55-56, 84
3:8	50
3:10	49, 61
3:15	55

1 Timothy

1:5	154
3:8-9	82
3:8-13	81
3:12	82
3:13	82
4:12	154, 155
5:2-16	82
5:3-16	84
5:8	67, 84
6:19	23

2 Timothy

2:22	154
3:16-17	6, 103
4:1-8	49
4:4-5	72
4:9-12	49
4:10	94
4:13	157

Titus

1:5	21
1:6	21
1:9	81
1:12	20.
1:13	21
2:1	21
2:2-10	21
2:2-15	84
2:3-5	72
2:5	21
2:6-8	72
2:7	21
2:10	21
2:11-14	20, 22
2:14	22
2:15	21
3:1-2	21
3:1	21
3:8	21, 22
3:12-13	21
3:14	21

Philemon

1	50
5	31
24	50

Hebrews

1:2-3	141
4:1-11	63
6:12	54
6:18	114

Scripture Index

Hebrews

9:2 62
10:24-25 83, 155
11:1-40 114
11:2 114
11:31 89
11:32 114
11:33-34 114
11:35-40 114
12:1-2 114-115
12:17 72
12:28 113
13:17 81

James

1:14-15 144
1:17 69
1:22 4, 90, 151
1:27 111
2:8 81, 154
2:14-17 8, 17; 22, 151-52
2:14-26 22
2:25 8 9
3:18 110
3:25 9 0
4:3 101

1 Peter

1:13 141
2:1-2 105
2:9 69
3:1-4 73
3:8 154
4:8 154
4:9-10 83

1 Peter

4:10 83
5:8 35

2 Peter

1:19-21 103

1 John

1:1 43
2:3-7 1 5 1
3:11 154
3:14 152
3:16-19 152-153
3:18 79 154
4:12 81
5:4 113

2 John

5 155

3 John

2 157
9 9 4

Jude

11 145

Revelation

2:4 144
2:19 31
3:20 34
7:8 78
15:3 90
19:7-9 34
22:17 43

‘ SUBJECT INDEX

- AFDC, 5
Athanasius, 18
Augustine, 18, 19
Almshouse, 18, 19
- Bernard of **Clairveaux**, 18, 19
Body of Christ, 133-134, 136-150
boundary of fear, 147
- Camelot, 4
Calvin, John, 19.
Carey, William, 19
Communion, 31-36, 43-46, 145, 146,
147
covenant, 90-96
Carter, Jimmy, 121
Carson, Clarence, 122
church, the, 133-134, 136-150
cause and effect, 138-140
crisis pregnancy center, 156
- decentralization, 71-77
deacons, 81-86, 147-149
discrimination, 123, 124-126
declining industries 129
dominion, 34, 40-41, 43-46, 50-51,
111-114, 137, 150
discipline, 147
- evangelism, 9-24, 26, 32-35, 79-81
elders, 81
entitlement, 55-56, 93-96, 122-124,
132
Eisenhower, Dwight, 5
enterprise zones, 134
- ecclesiastical irresponsibility, 145
employment placement, 149
encouragement, 155, 156
- Food stamps, 5
Francis of Assisi, 19
families, 72-76, 83-85, 147-149,
151-158
famine, 78-81
Ford, Gerald, 121
fairness, 132
fellowship, 146
food pantries, 148, 156
family businesses, 157
- Great Society, 4
Great Awakening, 18, 19
gleaning, 58-66
Gilder, George, 122
Gaughin, Paul, 138
- hopelessness, 3, 4
humanism, 5-6, 115, 139-140
hospitals, 18
Hus, Jan, 18-19
Hazlitt, Henry; 122
hermeneutical irresponsibility,
143-144
- Indian Affairs, 129-131
in-kind payments, 122
i n s u r a n c e , 148 ,
- Johnson, **Lyndon**, 4
justice, 132

- Lord's Supper, 31-36, 43-46, 145-146
 ritual, 146-147
 Law and Love, 27-31, 153-155 "racism", 26-27, 91, 123, 124-126
 Landlords, 149
- minimum wage, 124-126, 132
 Mead, Lawrence, 122
 Murray, Charles, 122
 Mill, John Stuart, 136
 Machiavelli, 138
- Nursery of the Kingdom, 43-48
 Nixon, Richard M., 121, 123
 nutrition, 157
- orphanages, 18, 19
 oppressed, 52-56
 opportunity, 60-66
 obedience, 101-105, 151-153
 occupational licensing, 126-128, 132
- Polycarp, 19**
 Pendergast, Tom, 46
 productivity, 104-105
 prayer, 99-103
 privatization, 133-134
 pietism, 35, 140-142
 Plato, 141
 public housing, 133-134
 personalism, 72-75
- Reagan cuts, 121
 rescue missions, 18, 19
 Rushdoony, R. J., 130
 regulation, 126-128
 revival, 134
 right doctrine, 145-146
- scrooge sentiment, 4
 soup kitchens, 18, 19, 145
 Spurgeon, Charles Haddon, 19
 Schaeffer, Francis, 19, 139
 sluggards, 52, 54-56
 spiritual gifts, 69-72, 81-85
 sojourners, 91-93
 subsidized industry, 128-129
 Sowell, Thomas, 122
 sowing and reaping, 138-140
 sacrament, 31-36, 43-46, 145, 146, 147
- Truman, Harry, 46
 tax credits, 134
 tithe, 157
 Thatcher, Margaret, 133
- unequally yoked, 38-48
- Van Til, Cornelius, 7
 Volker, William, 46-47
- War on poverty, 3-6, 75-76, 104, 121-129
 workman's compensation, 5
 Wyclif, John, 18, 19
 Whitefield, George, 19
 Wesley, John, 19
 work ethic, 49-57, 94, 122-124
 welfare spending, 121
 Williams, Walter, 122
 worship, 146

WHAT ARE BIBLICAL BLUEPRINTS?

by *Gary North*

How many times have you heard this one?

“The Bible isn’t a textbook of. . .”

You’ve heard it about as many times as you’ve heard this one:

“The Bible doesn’t provide blueprints for . . .”

The odd fact is that some of the people who assure you of this are Christians. Nevertheless, if you ask them, “Does the Bible have answers for the problems of life?” you’ll get an unqualified “yes” for an answer.

Question: If the Bible isn’t a textbook, and if it doesn’t provide blueprints, then just how, specifically and concretely, does it provide answers for life’s problems? Either it answers real-life problems, or it doesn’t.

In short: *Does the Bible make a difference?*

Let’s put it another way. If, a mass revival at **last** hits this nation, and if millions of people are regenerated by God’s grace through faith in the saving work of Jesus Christ at Calvary, will this change be visible in the way the new converts run their lives? Will their politics change, their business dealings change, their families change, their family budgets change, and their church membership change?

In short: Will conversion make a visible difference in our personal lives? If not, why not?

Second, two or three years later, will Congress be voting for a different kind of defense **policy**, foreign relations policy, environmental policy, immigration policy, monetary policy, and so forth?

Will the Federal budget change? If not, why not?'

In short: Will conversion to Christ make a visible difference in our civilization? If not, why not?

The Great Commission

What the Biblical **Blueprints** Series is attempting to do is to outline what some of that visible **difference** in our culture ought to be. The authors are attempting to set forth, in clear language, *fundamental Biblical principles* in numerous specific areas of life. The authors **are** not content to speak in vague generalities. These books not only set **forth** explicit principles that are found in the Bible and derived **from** the Bible, they also offer specific practical suggestions about what things need to be changed, and how Christians can begin programs that will produce **these** many **changes**.

The authors see the task of American Christians just as **the** Puritans' who came to North America in the 1630's **saw** their task: *to establish a city on a hill* (Matthew 5:14). The authors want to see a Biblical reconstruction of the United States, so that it can serve as an example to be 'followed all over the world. They believe that God's principles are tools of evangelism, to bring the nations to Christ. **The** Bible promises us that these principles will produce such good fruit that the whole world will **marvel** (Deuteronomy 4:5-8). When nations begin **to** marvel, they will begin to soften to the message of the gospel. What **the** authors are calling for is *tomprehensive revival*— a revival that will **transform** everything on **earth**.

In other words, the authors are calling Christians to obey God and take up the Great Commission: to "disciple (discipline) **all** the nations of the earth (Matthew 28:19).

What each author **argues** is that there are God-required principles of thought and practice in areas **that** some people today believe to be outside the area of "**religion**." What Christians should know by now is that *nothing* lies outside religion. God is judging all of our thoughts and acts, judging our institutions, and working through human history to bring this world to a final judgment.

We present the case that God offers *comprehensive salvation* - regeneration, healing, restoration, and the obligation of total social reconstruction — because the world is in *comprehensive sin*.

To judge the world it' is obvious that God has to have standards. If there were no absolute standards, there could be no earthly judgment, and no **final** judgment because men could not be held accountable.

(Warning: these **next** few paragraphs are very important. They are the base of the **entire** Blueprints series. It is important that you understand my, **reasoning**. I really believe that if you understand it, you- will agree with it.)

To argue that God's, standards don't apply to **everything** is to , argue that sin hasn't **affected** and infected everything. To argue that God's Word doesn't give us a revelation of God's requirements for us is to argue that we are flying blind as Christians. It is to argue that there are *zones of moral neutrality* that God will not judge, either today or at the **day** of judgment, because these zones somehow are *outside His jurisdiction*. In short, 'no law-no jurisdiction.'

But **if God does have** jurisdiction over the whole universe, which is what every **Christian** believes, then there must be universal standards by which **God** executes judgment. The authors of this series argue **for God's comprehensive judgment**, and we declare His *comprehensive salvation*; We therefore are presenting a few of His *comprehensive blueprints*.

The Concept of Blueprints

An architectural blueprint gives us the structural requirements of a building. A blueprint isn't intended to tell the owner where to put the **furniture** or what color to paint the rooms. A blueprint does place **limits** on where the **furniture and** appliances should be put — laundry here, kitchen there, etc. — but it doesn't take away **our** personal **options** based on personal taste. A blueprint just specifies what **must** be done during construction for the building to do its job **and to** survive the test of time. It gives **direc-**

tion to the contractor. Nobody **wants to** be on **the twelfth** floor of a building that collapses.

Today, we are unquestionably on the twelfth floor, and maybe even the **fiftieth**. Most of today's "buildings" (institutions) were designed **by** humanists, **for** use by **humanists**, but-paid **for** mostly by Christians (investments, donations? **and taxes**). These "buildings" aren't safe. Christians (and a lot of non-Christians) now are hearing the creaking and groaning of **these** tottering. **buildings**. Millions of people have now concluded that it's time to: (1) call in a totally new team of foundation and structural specialists to begin a complete renovation, or(2) **hire** the original contractors to make at least temporary structural modifications until **we can** all move to safer quarters, or (3) call for an emergency helicopter team because time has just about run out, and the elevators aren't safe either.

The writers of this series believe **that the** first option is the wise one: Christians need to **rebuild the** foundations, using **the** Bible as their guide. **This** view is ignored **by those** who still hope **and** pray for the third approach: God's helicopter escape. Finally, those who have faith in minor structural repairs **don't** tell us what or where these hoped-for safe quarters are, or how humanist contractors are going to build them any safer next time.

Why is it that some Christians say that **God** hasn't drawn up any blueprints? If God doesn't give us blueprints, then who does? If God doesn't set the permanent **standards**, then who does? **If** God hasn't any standards to judge men by, then who judges man?

The humanists' answer is inescapable: *man* does— autonomous, design-it-yourself, do-it-yourself man. **Christians call this man-glorifying** religion the religion of humanism. It is amazing how many Christians until quite recently have believed humanism's first doctrinal point, **namely**, that God has not established permanent blueprints for man and man's institutions. Christians who hold such a view of God's law serve as *humanism's chaplains*.

Men are God's appointed "contractors." We were never supposed to draw up the blueprints, but we **are** supposed-to execute **them**, in history-and then 'alter the resurrection. Men have been

given dominion on the **earth** to subdue it for God's glory. "So God created man in His own **image**; in the image of God He created him; male and female He created them. Then God blessed them, and God said to them, 'Be fruitful and multiply; fill the earth and subdue it; have dominion over the fish of the **sea**, over the birds of the air, and over every living thing that moves on the earth'" (Genesis 1:27-28).

Christians about a century ago decided that God never gave them the responsibility to do any building (except for churches). That was just what the humanists had been waiting for. They immediately stepped in, took over the job of contractor ("Someone has to do it!"), and then announced that **they** would also be in charge of drawing up the blueprints. We can see the results of a similar assertion in Genesis, chapter 11: the tower of Babel. Do you remember God's **response** to that particular humanistic public works project?

Never Be **Embarrassed** By the Bible

This sounds simple enough. Why should Christians be **embarrassed** by the Bible? **But they are** embarrassed . . . millions of them. The humanists **have** probably done more to slow down the spread of the gospel by **convincing** Christians to be embarrassed by the Bible than by **any** other strategy they have adopted.

Test your own thinking. Answer this question: "Is God mostly a God of love or mostly a God of wrath?" Think about it before you answer.

It's a trick question. The Biblical **answer** is: "God is equally a God of love and a God of wrath." But **Christians** these days will generally answer almost automatically, "God is mostly a God of love, not **wrath**."

Now in their hearts, **they** know this answer can't be true. God sent His Son to the **cross** to die. His own Son! That's how much God hates sin. That's wrath with a capital "W."

But why did He do **it**? Because He loves His Son, and those who follow His Son. So, **you** just can't talk about the wrath of God without talking about the **love** of God, and vice versa. The cross is

the best proof we have: God is both wrathful and loving. **Without** the fires of hell as the reason for the cross, the agony **of** Jesus Christ **on** the-cross was a mistake, a **case** of drastic overkill.

What about heaven and hell? **We know** from John's vision of the day of judgment, "**Death** and Hades [hell] were cast into the lake of fire. This is the second death. And anyone not found written in the Book **of** Life was cast into the lake of fire" (Revelation 20:14-15).

Those whose names are in the **Book of** Life spend eternity **with** God in their perfect, sin-free, resurrected bodies. The Bible **calls** this the New **Heaven** and the New Earth.

Now, which 'is more eternal, the lake of fire, or the New Heaven and the New Earth? Obviously, they are both eternal. So, God's wrath is equally ultimate with His love throughout eternity. 'Christians *all admit this*, but sometimes only under extreme pressure. And that is precisely the problem.

For over a hundred years, theological liberals have blathered on and on about the **love** of God. But when you ask them, "What about hell?" they start dancing verbally. If you press them, they eventually deny the existence of **eternal** judgment. *We must* understand: they have no doctrine of the total love of God **because** they have no doctrine of the total wrath of God. **They** can't really understand what it is that God is His grace offers us in Christ because they refuse to admit what eternal judgment tells us about the character of **God**.

The doctrine of eternal fiery judgment is by far the most unacceptable **doctrine** in the Bible, as far as hell-bound humanists are concerned. They can't believe that Christians can believe in such a horror. But we do. We must. This belief is the foundation of Christian evangelism. It is the motivation for Christian foreign missions. **We** shouldn't be surprised that the God-haters would like us to drop this doctrine. When Christians believe it, they make too much trouble for God's enemies.

So if we believe **in this** doctrine, the doctrine above **all** others that ought to embarrass us before humanists, then why do **we** start to squirm when God-hating people' ask us: 'Well, what kind

of God would require the **death** penalty? What kind of God would send a plague (or other **physical** judgment) on people, the way He sent one on the Israelite, killing 70,000 of them, even though they had done nothing **wrong**, just because David had conducted a military **census** in peacetime (2 Samuel 24:10-16)? What **kind** of God sends **AIDS**?" The **proper** answer: "**The** God of the Bible, **my** God."

Compared to the **doctrine** of eternal punishment, what is some two-bit **judgment** like a **plague**? Compared to eternal screaming agony in the lake of fire, **without** hope of **escape**, what is the death penalty? The **liberals** try to embarrass us about these earthly "**down** payments" on **God's** final judgment **because** they want to rid the world of the idea of final judgment. So they insult the character of God, and also the character of Christians, by sneering at the Bible's account of who God is, what He has done **in** history, and what He requires from men.

Are you tired of **their** sneering? I know I am.

Nothing in the Bible should be an embarrassment to any Christian. We may not know for certain precisely how some Biblical truth or historic event should be **properly** applied in our day, **but** every historic record, law, announcement, prophecy, judgment, and warning in the Bible is the very **Word** of God, and **is** not to **be** flinched at **by** anyone who calls Himself by Christ's name.

We must never doubt **that** whatever God did in the Old Testament era, the Second Person of the Trinity also did. God's counsel and judgments are not **divided**. We must be careful not to regard Jesus Christ as a sort of "**unindicted** co-conspirator" when we read the Old Testament. "**For** whoever is ashamed of **Me** and My words in this adulterous and sinful generation, of him **the** Son of Man also will be ashamed when He comes in the glory of His Father with the holy angels" (Mark 8:38).

My point here is simple. If we as Christians can accept what is a very hard principle of **the** Bible, that Christ was a **blood** sacrifice for our individual sins, **then** we shouldn't flinch at accepting any of the rest of God's principles. As **we** joyfully accepted His **salvation**, so **we** must joyfully **embrace** all of His principles that **affect** any and every area of **our** lives.

The Whole Bible

When, in a court of law, the witness puts his hand on the Bible and **swears** to tell the truth, the whole ‘truth, and nothing but the truth, so help him God, he thereby swears on the Word of God - the *whole* Word of God, and *nothing but* the Word of God. The Bible is a unit. It’s a “package deal.” The New Testament doesn’t overturn the Old Testament; it’s a *commentary* on, the Old Testament. It tells us how to use the Old Testament properly in the period after the death and resurrection of Israel’s messiah, God’s-Son.

Jesus said: ‘Do not think that **I** came to destroy the Law or the Prophets: I did not come to destroy but to fulfill. For assuredly, I say to you, till heaven and earth pass away, one jot or one tittle will by **no** means pass from the law till all is fulfilled. Whoever therefore breaks one of the least of these commandments, **and teaches** men to do so, **shall** be called least in **the** kingdom of heaven; but whoever does and teaches them, he shall be called great in the kingdom of heaven” (Matthew 5:17-19). The Old **Testament** isn’t a discarded first draft of God’s **Word**. It **isn’t** ‘God’s, Word emeritus.”

Dominic Christianity teaches that there are four covenants under God, meaning four kinds of vows under God: personal (individual), and the three institutional covenants: ecclesiastical (the church), civil (governments), and family. All other human **institutions** (business, educational, charitable, etc.) are to one degree or other under the jurisdiction of these four covenants. No single covenant is absolute; therefore, no single institution is all-powerful. Thus, Christian liberty is *liberty under God and God’s law*.

Christianity therefore teaches **pluralism**, but a very special kind of pluralism: plural institutions under God’s comprehensive law. It does **not teach** a pluralism of law structures, or a pluralism of moralities, for ‘as we will see shortly, this sort of ultimate pluralism (as distinguished from *institutional* pluralism) is always either polytheistic or humanistic. Christian people are required to take dominion over the earth by means of all these God-ordained institutions, **not** just the church, or just the state, or just **the** family.

The kingdom of God includes every human institution, and every aspect of life, for all of life is under God and is governed by His unchanging principles. All of life is under God and God's principles because God intends to judge all of life in terms of His principles.

In this structure of plural governments, the institutional churches serve as advisors to the other institutions (the Levitical function), but the churches can only pressure individual leaders through the threat of excommunication. As a restraining factor on unwarranted church authority, an unlawful excommunication by one local church or denomination is always subject to review by the others if and when the excommunicated person seeks membership elsewhere. Thus, each of the three covenantal institutions is to be run under God, as interpreted by its lawfully elected or ordained leaders, with the advice of the churches, not the compulsion.

Majority Rule

Just for the record, the authors aren't in favor of imposing some sort of top-down bureaucratic tyranny in the name of Christ. The kingdom of God requires a bottom-up society. The bottom-up Christian society rests ultimately on the doctrine of self-government under God. It's the humanist view of society that promotes top-down bureaucratic power.

The authors are in favor evangelism and missions leading to a widespread Christian revival, so that the great mass of earth's inhabitants will place themselves under Christ's protection, and voluntarily use His covenantal principles for self-government. Christian reconstruction begins with personal conversion to Christ and self-government under God's principles, then spreads to others through revival, and only later brings comprehensive changes in civil law, when the vast majority of voters voluntarily agree to live under Biblical blueprints.

Let's get this straight: Christian reconstruction depends on majority rule. Of course, the leaders of the Christian reconstructionist movement expect a majority eventually to accept Christ as savior. If this doesn't happen, then Christians must be content with only partial reconstruction, and only partial blessings from

God. **It** isn't possible to ramrod God's blessings from the top down, unless you're God..Only **humanists** think that man is God. **All** we're trying **to** do is get the ramrod away from them, and melt it down. The melted ramrod could then be used **to make** a great grave, marker for humanism: "The God That Failed."

The., Continuing Heresy of Dualism'

Many (of course, not **all!**) of the objections to the **material** in this book series will come from people who have **a worldview** that is very close to an ancient church **problem: dualism**. A lot of **well-meaning** Christian people **are** dualists, although they don't even know what it is.

Dualism teaches that **the** world is inherently divided: spirit vs. matter, or law vs. mercy, or mind vs. matter, "or nature vs. **grace**." What the Bible teaches **is** that this world is divided **ethically** and **personally**: Satan vs. God, right vs. wrong. The **conflict** between God and Satan will end at the **final** judgment. Whenever Christians substitute some other **form** of dualism for ethical **dualism**, they **fall** into heresy and **suffer** the consequences. **That's** what **has** happened today. We are suffering from revived versions of ancient heresies.

Marcion's Dualism

The Old Testament was written by the same God who wrote the New Testament. There were not two Gods in history, meaning there was no dualism or radical split between the two testamental periods. There is only one God, **in** time and eternity.

This idea has had opposition throughout church history. An ancient two-Gods heresy was first promoted in the church about a century after Christ's crucifixion, and the church has always regarded it as just that, **a heresy**. It was proposed by a man named **Marcion**. Basically, this heresy teaches that there are two completely different law systems in the Bible: Old Testament law and New Testament law (or non-law). But Marcion took the logic of his position all the way. He argued that two law systems means two Gods. The God of wrath wrote the Old Testament, and the God of mercy wrote the New Testament, In short: **"two laws-two Gods."**

Many Christians still believe something dangerously close to **Marcionism**: not a two-Gods view, exactly, but a **God-who-changed-all-His-rules sort** of view. They begin with the accurate teaching that the ceremonial **laws** of the Old Testament were fulfilled by Christ, and therefore that the *unchanging principles* of Biblical worship are *applied differently* in the New Testament. But then they erroneously conclude that the whole Old Testament system of civil law was dropped by God, and *nothing Biblical was put in its place*. In other words, **God** created a sort of vacuum for state law.

This idea turns civil law-making **over** to Satan. In our day, this means that civil law-making is turned over to humanists. *Christians have unwittingly become the philosophical allies of the humanists with respect to civil law*. **With** respect to their doctrine of the state, therefore, most **Christians** hold what is in effect a two-Gods view of the Bible.

Gnosticism's Dualism

Another ancient heresy that is still with us is Gnosticism. It became a major threat to **the** early church almost from the beginning. It was also a form of **dualism**, a theory of a radical split. The **gnostics** taught that the **split** is between evil matter and good spirit. Thus, their goal was to escape this material world through other-worldly exercises that punish the body. "They believed in *retreat from the world of human conflicts and responsibility*. Some of these ideas got into the church, and people started doing ridiculous things. One "saint" sat **on** a platform on top of a pole for several decades. This was **considered** very spiritual. (Who fed him? **Who** cleaned up **after** him?)

Thus, many Christians came to view "the world" as something permanently outside the kingdom of God. They believed that this hostile, forever-evil world **cannot** be redeemed, reformed, and reconstructed. Jesus didn't **really** die for it, and it can't be healed. At best, it can be subdued **by** power (maybe). This dualistic view of the world vs. God's kingdom narrowly restricted any earthly manifestation of God's **kingdom**. Christians who were influenced by **gnosticism** concluded that **God's kingdom** refers only to the insti-

tutional church. They argued that **the** institutional church is the *only* manifestation of God's kingdom.

This led to two opposite and equally evil conclusions. *First*, power **religionists** ("salvation through, political power") who accepted this definition of God's kingdom tried to put the institutional church **in** charge of everything, since it is supposedly "the only manifestation of God's kingdom on earth." To subdue the supposedly **unredeemable** world, **which** is forever outside the kingdom, the institutional church has to rule' with the sword. A single, monolithic institutional church then. gives, orders to the state, and the state must without question enforce these orders with the sword. The hierarchy of the institutional church concentrates political and economic **power**. *What then becomes of liberty?*

Second, escape **religionists** ("salvation is exclusively internal") who also accepted this narrow definition of the kingdom sought refuge from the evil world of matter and politics by fleeing to hide inside the institutional church, an exclusively "spiritual **kingdom**," now narrowly defined. They abandoned the world to evil tyrants. *What then becomes of liberty?* What becomes of the idea of God's progressive restoration of **all** things under Jesus Christ? What, finally, becomes of the idea of Biblical dominion?

' When Christians improperly narrow their definition of the kingdom of God, the visible influence 'of this comprehensive kingdom (both spiritual and institutional **at** the same time) begins to shrivel up. The first heresy leads to tyranny *by* the church, and the second heresy leads to tyranny *over* the church. Both of these narrow definitions of God's kingdom destroy the liberty of the responsible Christian man; self-governed under God and God's law.

Zoroaster's Dualism

The last ancient pagan idea that still lives- on is also a variant of dualism: matter vs. spirit. It teaches that God and Satan, good and evil, are forever locked in combat, and that good never **triumphs** over evil. The Persian religion of Zoroastrianism has held such a view for over 2,500 years. The incredibly popular "Star Wars" movies were based on this view of the world: the "dark" side of "the force" against its "**light**" side. In modern versions of this **an-**

cient dualism, the “force” is usually seen as itself impersonal: individuals personalize either the dark side or the light side by ‘plugging into” its power.

There are millions of Christians who have adopted a very pessimistic version of this dualism, though not in an impersonal form. God’s kingdom is battling Satan’s, and God’s is losing. History isn’t going to get better. In fact, things are going to get a lot worse externally. Evil will visibly push good into the shadows. The church is like a band of soldiers who are surrounded by a huge army of Indians. **“We can’t win boys, so hold the fort until Jesus comes to rescue us!”**

That doesn’t sound like’ Abraham, Moses, Joshua, Gideon, and David, does it? Christians read to their children one of the children’s favorite stories, David and Goliath, yet in their own lives, millions of Christian parents really think that the **Goliaths** of this world are the unbeatable earthly winners. Christians haven’t even picked up a stone.

Until very recently.

An Agenda for Victory

The change has come since 1980. Many Christians’ thinking has shifted. Dualism, Gnosticism, and “God changed His program midstream” ideas have begun to be challenged. The politicians - have already begun to reckon with the consequences. Politicians are the people we pay to raise their wet index fingers in the wind to sense a shift, and they have sensed it. It scares them, too. It should.

A new vision has captured the imaginations of a growing army of registered voters. This new vision is simple: it’s the old vision of Genesis 1:27-28 and Matthew 28:19-20. It’s called *dominion*.

Four distinct ideas must be present in any ideology that expects to overturn the existing view of the world and the existing social **order**:

- A doctrine of ultimate truth (permanence)**
- A doctrine of providence (confidence)**
- Optimism toward the future. (motivation)**
- Binding comprehensive law (reconstruction)**

The **Marxists** have had such a vision, or at least those Marxists who don't live inside the bureaucratic giants called the **Soviet** Union and Red China. The radical (please, not "fundamentalist") Muslims of Iran also have such a view.

Now, for the first time in over 300 **years**, Bible-believing Christians have rediscovered these four points in the **theology** of **Christianity**. For the first time in over 300 years, a growing number of Christians are starting to view themselves as **an** army on the move. This army will grow. This series is designed to help it grow. And **grow** tougher.

The authors of this series are determined to set the agenda in world affairs for the next few centuries. We **know** where the permanent **answers** are found: in the Bible, and *only* in the Bible. We believe that we have begun to discover at least preliminary **an-**'swers to the key questions. There may be better **answers**, clearer answers, and more orthodox answers, but they must be found in the Bible, not at Harvard University or on "the CBS Evening News.

We are self-consciously firing the opening shot. We are calling the whole Christian community to join with us in a very serious debate, just as Luther called them to debate him when he nailed the 95 theses to the **church** door, over four and a half centuries ago.

It is through such an exchange of ideas by those who take the Bible seriously that a nation and a civilization can be saved. There are now 5 billion "people in the world. If we are to win our world (and these billions of souls) for Christ we must lift up the message of Christ by becoming the city on the hill. When the world sees the blessings by God upon a nation run by His principles, the mass conversion of whole nations to the Kingdom of our Lord will be the most incredible in of all **history**.

If we're correct **about** the God-required nature of our agenda, it will attract a dedicated following. It will produce a social transformation **that** could dwarf the **Reformation**. This time, we're not limiting our call for reformation to the institutional church.

This time, we mean business.

Geneva Ministries
P.O. Box 131300
Tyler, TX 75713

Gentlemen:

I just finished reading George Grant's *In the Shadow of Plenty*. I understand that your organization makes available several newsletters that include articles by Rev. Sutton, as well as articles by James B. Jordan, George Grant, David Chilton, and Gary DeMar. Please send me additional information and put me on your mailing list.

name

address

city, state, zip

area code and phone number

Enclosed is a tax-deductible donation to help meet expenses.

HELP Services
P.O. Box 1141
Humble, TX 77347

Gentlemen:

I read about your organization in George Grant's book, *In the Shadow of Plenty*. I want to subscribe to your newsletter *Gleanings*. Enclosed is a gift to cover the cost of printing and postage.

name

address

city, state, zip

area code and phone number

Also, please send me more information about your other publications, *The Texas Education Review* and *To the Work*.

•/ Please accept this enclosed gift of \$ _____ for your ongoing work with the poor, needy, and unborn.

Dr. Gary North
Institute for Christian Economics
P.O. Box 8000
Tyler, TX 75711

Dear Dr. North:

I read about your organization in George Grant's book, *In the Shadow of Plenty*. I understand that you publish several newsletters that are sent out for six months free of charge. I would be interested in receiving them:

•1 *Biblical Economics Today*
Christian Reconstruction
and Dominion Strategies

Please send any other information you have concerning your program.

name

address

city, state, zip

area code and phone number

Enclosed is a tax-deductible donation to help meet expenses.

The *Biblical Blueprints Series* is a multi-volume book series that gives Biblical solutions for the problems facing our culture today. Each book deals with a **specific** topic in a simple, easy to read style such as economics, government, law, crime and punishment, welfare and poverty, taxes, money and banking, politics, the environment, retirement, and much more.

Each book can be read in one evening and will **give** you the basic Biblical principles on each topic. Each book concludes with three chapters on how to apply the principles in your life, the church and the nation. Every chapter is summarized so that the entire book can be absorbed in just a few minutes.

As you read these books, you will discover hundreds of new "ways to serve God. Each book will show you ways that you can start to implement God's plan in your own life. As hundreds of thousands join you, and millions more begin to follow the example set, a civilization can be "changed."

Why will people change their lives? Because they will see God's blessings on those who, live by His Word (Deuteronomy 4:6-8).

Each title in the *Biblical Blueprints Series* is available in a deluxe paperback edition for \$6.95, or a classic leatherbound edition for \$14.95.

The following titles are scheduled for publication in 1986:

- . Introduction to Dominion: Biblical Blueprints on Dominion
- Honest Money **Biblical** Blueprints on Money and Banking
- . Who Owns the Family?: Biblical Blueprints on the Family and the State
- **In the Shadow** of Plenty Biblical Blueprints on Welfare and **Poverty**
- Liberator of the Nations Biblical Blueprints on Political Action
- . Inherit the Earth: Biblical Blueprints on Economics
- . Chariots of God: **Biblical** Blueprints on Defense
- . The Children Trap Biblical Blueprints on Education "
- Entangling Alliances **Biblical** Blueprints on Foreign **Policy**
- . Ruler of the Nations Biblical Blueprints on Government
- . Protection of the Innocent: Biblical Blueprints on Crime and Punishment

Additional Volumes of the Biblical Blueprints Series are **scheduled** for 1987 and 1988.

Please send more information concerning this program.

name

address

city, state, zip

Dominion **Press** • P.O. Box 8204 • Ft. Worth, TX 76124 .